

FUZZY LOGIC BASED ADAPTIVE RESONANCE THEORY-1 APPROACH FOR OFFLINE SIGNATURE VERIFICATION

Charu Jain¹, Priti Singh² and Ajay Rana³

¹Assistant Professor,

Department of Computer Science,

Amity University Haryana

²Professor, Head,

Department of Electronics and Communication,

Amity University Haryana

³Professor, Director,

Amity Training & Placement Centre,

Amity University Uttar Pradesh

January 15, 2018

Abstract

This paper presents the use of fuzzy logic with adaptive resonance theory-1 in signature verification. Fuzzy model is capable of stable learning of recognition categories in response to arbitrary sequences of binary input pattern. The work was carried out on two famous available signature corpuses i.e. MCYT (Online Spanish signatures database) and GPDS (Grupo de Procesado Digital de la seal). Local binary patterns (LBP) and Gray Level Co-occurrence Matrices (GLCM) features were calculated for robust offline signature verification system. Training and verification was done using fuzzy adaptive resonance theory-1 (FART-1).

The system is trained and verified for different datasets to increase the accuracy of the classifier. The results thus obtained are robust than other existing techniques. The FAR and FRR for the system is 0.74% and 0.83% respectively.

Key Words : Offline Signature Verification System, FART1 (Fuzzy Adaptive Resonance Theory1), SVM Classifier (Support Vector Machine), LBP (Local Binary Patterns), GLCM (Gray Level Co-occurrence Matrices)

1 INTRODUCTION

The adaptive resonance theory (ART) has capability of learning in response to significant input patterns retaining stability during production of irrelevant patterns. Hence, the designed system can learn new information while keeping previous learned information. This is possible because of good feedback mechanism which ensures internal control mechanisms. This neural network stops learning when an appropriate pattern is developed and weight adaptation occurs. But, ART1 is an unsupervised learning algorithm. It can respond to any external signal or information. It estimates do not possess the statistical property of consistency.

A fuzzy adaptive resonance theory (ART) model is capable of rapid stable learning of recognition categories in response to arbitrary sequences of analog or binary input patterns (Gail A. Carpenter, Stephen Grossberg 2002). When ART 1 neural network is combined with fuzzy logic, the resultant model becomes capable of learning binary input patterns and the resultant model does supervised learning. Fuzzy ART is a combination of basic features of ART systems and fuzzy operators. It is capable of pattern matching between bottom-up input and top-down learned prototype vectors. This pattern matching process leads either to a significant state or to a self-regulating parallel memory search. The significant state will focus attention and triggers stable prototype learning. In case of parallel memory search, the search can either ends by selecting an established category or it ends by selecting a previously untrained node. The category's prototype may be further improved to include new information in the input pattern. The selection of untrained node will lead to learning of a new category.

The fuzzy ART model developed herein generalizes ART-1 to be capable of learning stable recognition categories in response to both analog and binary input patterns. Under supervised learning conditions, ART-1 internal control mechanisms create stable recognition categories of optimal size by maximizing predictive generalization while minimizing predictive error in an on-line setting. Hence, this network gives optimum performance in image processing. The simple learning equations of this model help to learn within small number of iterations.

Simulations are performed and the results indicate that this fuzzy ART network provides a promising technique for signature verification. The learning efficiency of ART-1 and fuzzy inference system collectively provide more efficient system. Fuzzy logic picks random values from the trained network and work on it. Since we cannot predict the proper vigilance value in advance, with the help of fuzzy logic, the ART1 network can be insensitive to a given initial vigilance values. Nevertheless, our computer simulations show that the final vigilance will converge to the target range.

2 Methodology

This section describes the methodology behind the system development. The block diagram of offline signature verification based on fuzzy adaptive resonance theory 1(FART-1) is discussed in detail and is as shown in the Figure 1. The process starts with data acquisition.

2.1 DATABASE

Experiments are performed on two offline signature databases. The offline MYCT database containing data of 50 persons. The GPDS (Grupo de Procesado Digital de la seal) database containing data from 960 individuals: 24 genuine signatures for each individual, plus 30 forgeries of his/her signature. Skilled forgeries are good imitations in the GPDS database. MYCT database consists of Spanish signatures.




Figure 1: Block Diagram for Fuzzy ART- 1

Total number of samples used for database are 1200. We have used this database because of following reasons: first, there is strong intra-class variability; second, as people were asked to sign in a zone delimited by a rectangle, some signatures touch and exceed the latter, and thus it is difficult to distinguish between the hand-drawn signature and the rectangle. Hence, this enables to test our system tolerance under different situations.

We have trained our system using four different datasets. Our main aim was to evaluate performance of our FART model. First dataset consists of 200 genuine signature samples for training, 60 genuine signature samples and 40 forgery signature samples for testing. Dataset 2 consists of 400 genuine signature samples for training, 100 genuine signature samples and 100 forgery signature sam-

ples for testing. Dataset 3 consists of 600 genuine signature samples for training, 200 genuine signature samples and 100 forgery signature samples for testing. Dataset 4 consists of 800 genuine signature samples for training, 200 genuine signature samples and 200 forgery signature samples for testing. Figure 2 shows genuine signatures.




Figure 2: Genuine Signatures a) Gray-level b) Binary

2.2 SIGNATURE PRE-PROCESSING

Pre-processing is required to process the image for feature extraction. The scanned image contains spurious pixels (noise) which has to be removed to achieve accuracy in further processing steps. Noise is removed using median filter. Image is then converted to grayscale, which is then converted into binary by using OTSU's method. OTSU method assumes that image contains two classes of pixels i.e. foreground and background pixels, it then calculates the optimum threshold separating the two classes. Threshold value based on this method will be between 0 and 1. In next step, thinning is performed to get a smaller size thinned signature image. This process helps to perform feature extraction more efficiently. Figure 3 shows the simulation of pre-processing steps.




Figure 3: Pre-Processing Steps (a) Original Image, (b) Binarised Image (c) Thinned image

2.3 FEATURE EXTRACTION

Feature extraction is essential to the success of a signature verification system. In an offline environment, the signatures are acquired from a medium, usually paper, and preprocessed before the feature extraction begins. Local binary pattern (LBP) is a powerful feature proposed to capture the texture in objects (T. Ojala, M. Pietikinen, D. Harwood 1996). It is commonly used in object recognition with good success. Indeed, it was used previously in signature verification (Yasmine Serdouk et al. 2015) as well. Various forms of local binary patterns such as center symmetric LBP (CS-LBP), uniform LBP, local derivative patterns (LDerivP) were successfully used for offline signature verification (Miguel A. Ferrer et al. 2012).

The LBP tests the relation between pixel and its neighbors, encoding this relation into a binary code. Current pixels intensities are compared with neighboring pixels. So, for instance, to encode relationship of center pixel with its 3x3 neighborhood, binary code is produced of length 8. This binary code (0 and 1s) is based on the relative intensities of the neighboring pixels. This is also termed as LBP_8 operator and it produces 256 (2^8) different output values, corresponding to the 256 different binary patterns that can be formed by the eight pixels in the neighbor set.

The generalized LBP operator, given in equation 1, is computed on the basis of a circularly symmetric neighbor set of P members on a circle of radius R (S. Singh, A. Kaur 2014). Spatial resolution of the operator is determined by R and angular spaces quantization is controlled by parameter P .

$$LBP^{P,R}(J_C) = \sum_{p=0}^{P-1} s(I(J_p) - I(J_c)).2^p \quad (1)$$

Where

$$S(I) = F(x) = \begin{cases} 1, I \geq 0 \\ 0, I < 0 \end{cases}$$

In equation 1, the intensity values of the neighboring and center pixels are denoted by $I(J_P)$ and $I(J_C)$ respectively and P is the index of each pixel in neighborhood of center pixel, C .

When neighboring pixel has lower value (i.e. $I(J_P) - I(J_C) < 0$) then it is replaced by zero and for higher value it is replaced with one. Further, each bit in circular neighborhood is assigned a weight (i.e. 2^P).

Figure 4 shows computation performed by LBP operator. For counting the number of occurrences of all binary codes, a histogram is generated. Actually, the histogram of these binary codes contains information on the distribution of the edges, spots, and other local figures in an image.

Figure 4 shows how LBP divides the signature into grids. For each grid it generates a binary code. Each binary code is further represented in the form of histogram. Finally, all histograms are joined together and quantized into feature vector.




Figure 4: LBP Pattern Extraction Algorithm

During monotonic changes in gray scale. The LBP operator was extended to use neighborhoods of different sizes (T. Ojala et al. 2002). Using a circular neighborhood and bilinearly interpolating values at non-integer pixel coordinates allow any radius and number of pixels in the neighborhood. The gray scale variance of the local neighborhood can be used as the complementary contrast measure.

Gray-level spatial dependence matrix which is popularly known as grey-level co-occurrence matrix (GLCM) is a statistical method which examines texture of spatial connection of pixels. The GLCM functions characterize the texture of an image by calculating how often pairs of pixel with specific values and in a specified spatial relationship occur in an image, creating a GLCM, and then extracting statistical measures from this matrix. The number of grey levels i.e. intensity values in the image determines the size of the GLCM. The GLCM is a tabulation of how often different combinations of pixel gray levels could occur in an image. The goal is to assign an unknown sample image to one of a set of known texture classes. Textural features can be scalar numbers, discrete histograms or empirical distributions. They characterize the textural properties of the images, such as spatial structure, contrast, roughness, orientation, etc. and have certain correlation with the desired output.

We have created a GLCM using the gray comatrix function. In the signature image, gray comatrix function is dependent on the number of pixels in the strokes of signature instead of the size of image. A matrix $T(i, j | \Delta x, \Delta y)$, $0 \leq i \leq GL-1$, $0 \leq j \leq GL-1$ represents the GLCM of an image $I(x, y)$, where number of grey levels (GL) is equal to the number of rows and columns. The matrix element $T(i, j | \Delta x, \Delta y)$ is the relative frequency with which two pixels with grey levels i and j occurs separated by a pixel distance $\Delta x, \Delta y$ (J.F. Vargas, M.A. Ferrer 2011). Further, we have used mean of all the four statistics: contrast, energy, homogeneity and correlation.

2.4 TRAINING AND TESTING THROUGH FART

To apply FART to signature verification system, the architecture is trained using vectors got from the feature extraction stage. This step starts with training through adaptive resonance theory neural network. Adaptive resonance theory 1 makes use of ordinary differential equations which leads to self-stability and convergence of its adaptive weights. ART1 is characterized by a system of ordinary differential equations with associated theorems proving its self-stabilization property and the convergence of its adaptive weights

(Mohamad H. Hassoun 1995). Hence, its property to easily adapt to change in environment makes it suitable for training our feature vector.

Fuzzy ART is a supervised learning method used for data clustering purposes in data mining field. It is formed by incorporating fuzzy logic into ART modules (Antu Annam Thomas, M. Wilsy 2010). The fuzzy ART network is a step forward network which reduces the architectural redundancy and computational overhead. The model uses simple learning equations with a single user selectable parameter and it can learn every single training pattern within a small number of training iterations.

The fuzzy logic is applied on trained output obtained through ART 1. A fuzzy inference system (FIS) essentially defines a non-linear mapping of the input data vector into a scalar output, using fuzzy rules. Fuzzy relations present a degree of presence or absence of association or interaction between the elements of two or more sets.

2.4.1 FUZZY ART ARCHITECTURE

Figure 5 shows the architecture of FART architecture. Numbers of d features fed in the complement code are input vectors. All the input vectors complemented values are summed to double the size.




Figure 5: Architecture of Fuzzy ART Network

In figure 5, I_a is the complement code input of 2d size. These inputs are introduced into the input layer. Top down weights (W_{ji}) is the connection between output category nodes (O_N) input layer vectors. The output category layer mapfield contains the labels for the M categories or classes that the network has to learn for each one of the input vectors. FART network is very subtle to the absolute sizes of the inputs and their instabilities; therefore, normalization of all the inputs into the same value range is essential.

If no existing category matches the statistics of the input vector, a new category is formed based on learning and other parameters. When there is a match, the category satisfying the match function will use the current input vector to refine its weight value in order to incorporate more general (spectral) characteristics for this category. The weight vector refining method can be considered as extending the classification process. Matching process will generate more categories and will ensure that the similarity in spectral space is transferred and represented in the category's weight vector.

In order to capture the spectral variance in inputs relating to the class, Fuzzy ART can use several categories to represent one class. The inter-class and intra-class variability among classes is also categorized by Fuzzy ART.

3 DISCUSSION

The experiment is conducted using four different size databases. First dataset consists of 200 genuine signature samples for training, 60 genuine signature samples and 40 forgery signature samples for testing. Dataset 2 consists of 400 genuine signature samples for training, 100 genuine signature samples and 100 forgery signature samples for testing. Dataset 3 consists of 600 genuine signature samples for training, 200 genuine signature samples and 100 forgery signature samples for testing. Dataset 4 consists of 800 genuine signature samples for training, 200 genuine signature samples and 200 forgery signature samples for testing.

The vigilance parameter is selected so that the number of categories to which the network settles is same as the number of classes in the training data. Signature verification using FART has shown vigilance parameter value of 0.75. The network took only 2 epochs

for completing its learning or training phase. The time that it took for learning is negligible (order of seconds) and thus can be labeled as very efficient in terms of time complexity.

Once the training is over and the top-down weight matrices have been obtained, the testing can be carried out using the matrix equivalent of the test input image.

An offline signature verification system authenticates the signer based on two parameters: false acceptance rate (FAR) and false verification rate (FRR). FART technique is applied on preprocessed set of images and has given better results as compared to adaptive resonance theory 1 and vector quantization technique used in previous chapters. Table 1 shows results with different datasets.

Figure 6: Results of FART based Offline Signature Verification System

Dataset	Phase	Genuine Signature Samples	Forged Signature Samples	FAR%	FRR%
I	Training	200		0.435	0.536
	Testing	60	40		
II	Training	400		0.235	0.256
	Testing	100	100		
III	Training	600		0.154	0.201
	Testing	200	100		
IV	Training	800		0.74	0.83
	Testing	200	200		

4 CONCLUSION

Experiments are conducted by increasing samples per person for training. Results show that there is no significant impact on values of FAR and FRR. The most important advantage of fuzzy system is that it adjusts uncertainty with input data. So, it can be used in testing signatures with intra personal variations. Our ART-1 when combined with capabilities of fuzzy logic leads to mixing power of supervised and unsupervised learning.

LBP and GLCM features are mostly used for texture analysis.

The mixture of these features has a great ability to recognize forgeries and tolerate intrapersonal variations. After feature extraction we use FART classifier which gives us optimum performance with FAR and FRR values better as compared to other designed systems. The training time of designed signature verification system is negligible as the FART model provides learning in small number of iterations.

References

- [1] A. A. Thomas, M. Wilscy, *Face Recognition Using Simplified Fuzzy ARTMAP*, Signal & Image Processing : An International Journal(SIPIJ), vol. 1, no. 2 (2010), pp. 134-146.
- [2] A. Bansal, D. Garg and A. Gupta, *A Pattern Matching Classifier for Offline Signature Verification*, IEEE Computer Society First International Conference on Emerging Trends in Engineering and Technology, ISSN: 2157-0477 (2008): pp. 1160-1163.
- [3] A. Pham, H. Ha Lee and N. Toan Do, *Offline Handwritten Signature Verification using Local and Global Features* Journal Annals of Mathematics and Artificial Intelligence (Springer), vol. 75, no. 1-2 (2015): pp. 231-247.
- [4] C. Aykanat, *Offline Signature Recognition and Verification using Neural Network*, Proceedings of the 19th International Symposium on Computer and Information Sciences, Berlin, New York: Springer, (2004): pp. 373-380.
- [5] C. Jain, P. Singh, *Learning Approach for Offline Signature Verification using Vector Quantization Technique*, AISC series of Springer, vol. 1 (2014): pp. 337-344.
- [6] C. Jain, P. Singh, *An Offline Signature Verification System: An Approach Based on Intensity Profile*, International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Computational and Applied Sciences, vol. 3, no. 8 (2014): pp. 143-146.

- [7] C. Jain, P. Singh, *An Offline Signature Verification using Adaptive Resonance Theory 1 (ART1)*, International Journal of Computer Applications, vol. 94, no. 2 (2014): pp. 8-11
- [8] D. Uppalapati, *Integration of Offline and Online Signature Verification systems* Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, I.I.T., Kanpur, July (2007).
- [9] D. Ping Tian, *A Review on Image Feature Extraction and Representation*, International Journal of Multimedia and Ubiquitous Engineering, vol. 8, no. 4 (2013): pp. 385-395.
- [10] G. A. Carpenter and S. Grossberg, *Adaptive Resonance Theory*, in The Handbook of Brain Theory and Neural Networks, Second Edition, edited by Michael A. Arbib. Department of Cognitive and Neural Systems, Boston University: MIT Press, (2002).
- [11] H, K. R. M. Mohan, S. Chakraborty, G. Garg, *Fuzzy Modeling based Signature Verification System*, Proceedings of Sixth International Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition, IEEE Explore, (2001): pp. 110-114.
- [12] J. F. Vargas, M. A. Ferrer, C. M. Travieso and J. B. Alonso, *Off-line Signature Verification based on Grey Level Information using Texture Features*, Pattern Recognition, vol. 44 (2011): pp. 375385.
- [13] J.F. Vargas and M.A. Ferrer, *Texture Analysis for Off-Line Signature Verification*, Chap. 13, edited by Mr. Zahid Riaz, Spain, (2011): pp. 219-235.
- [14] M. Hanmandlu, M. Hafizuddin, M. Yusof and V. K.Madasu, *Off-line Signature Verification and Forgery Detection using Fuzzy Modeling*, Pattern Recognition (Elsevier).” vol. 38 (2005): pp. 341356.
- [15] S. Rajasekaran and G. A. Vijayalakshmi Pai, *Image Recognition Using Simplified Fuzzy ARTMAP Augmented with a Moment based Feature Extractor*, International Journal of Pattern Recognition and Artificial Intelligence, vol. 14, no. 8 (2000): pp. 10811095.

