

## THE STABLE B-CHROMATIC GRAPHS

A. Jeeva<sup>1</sup>, R. Selvakumar<sup>2</sup>, M. Nalliah<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1,2,3</sup>School of Advanced Sciences

VIT University

Vellore, 632014, INDIA

---

**Abstract:** A  $b$ -coloring is a proper  $k$ -coloring of the vertices of a graph such that each color class has a vertex that is adjacent to a vertex of every other color class. The  $b$ -chromatic number of a graph  $G$  is the largest integer  $k$  such that  $G$  admits a  $b$ -coloring with  $k$  colors. A graph  $G$  is said to be *stable  $b$ -chromatic* graph if  $b(G.uv) = b(G)$  for every  $u, v \in V(G)$  with  $u$  is adjacent to  $v$ . In this paper we obtain some basic properties of  $b_s$ -chromatic graphs.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 05C15

**Key Words:**  $b$ -coloring,  $b$ -chromatic number,  $b$ -system,  $b_s$ -chromatic graphs

---

### 1. Introduction

By a graph  $G = (V, E)$  we mean a finite, undirected graph with neither loops nor multiple edges. The order and size of  $G$  are denoted by  $n$  and  $m$  respectively. For graph theoretic terminology we refer to Chartrand, Lesniak and Zhang [1].

A vertex  $u$  is called a *neighbor* of a vertex  $v$  in  $G$  if  $uv$  is an edge of  $G$ . The set of all neighbors of  $v$  is the *open neighborhood* of  $v$  and is denoted by  $N(v)$ ; the set  $N[v] = N(v) \cup \{v\}$  is the *closed neighborhood* of  $v$  in  $G$ . If  $S \subseteq V$ , then  $N(S) = \bigcup_{v \in S} N(v)$  and  $N[S] = N(S) \cup S$ . We observe that  $N[v] \neq N(v)$  but we can have  $N[S] = N(S)$  such that  $S$  is the set of two vertices from  $K_3$ . The *degree* of a vertex  $v$  in a graph  $G$  is defined as the number of edges incident with

$v$  and is denoted by  $\deg(v)$ . A vertex of degree zero in  $G$  is an *isolated vertex* and a vertex of degree one is a *pendant vertex* or a *leaf*. An edge  $e$  in a graph  $G$  is called a *pendant edge* if it is incident with a pendant vertex. Any vertex which is adjacent to a pendant vertex is called a *support vertex*. The minimum of  $\{\deg(v) : v \in V(G)\}$  is denoted by  $\delta(G)$  or simply  $\delta$  and the maximum of  $\{\deg(v) : v \in V(G)\}$  is denoted by  $\Delta(G)$  or simply  $\Delta$ . A set of vertices  $S$  is *independent set* if every two vertices of  $S$  is non adjacent.

The *subdivision graph*  $S(G)$  of a graph  $G$  is the graph obtained from  $G$  by replacing each edge  $uv$  of  $G$  by a vertex  $w$  and edges  $uw$  and  $vw$ . If  $G = K_n$  is a complete graph, then the new graph obtained from  $G$  by inserting  $m$  vertices to every edge of  $G$  is denoted by  $S_m(G)$ .

A graph  $G.uv$  is obtained from  $G$  by identifying the vertices  $u$  and  $v$ , add a new vertex  $(uv)$  which is adjacent to all the vertices of  $G - \{u, v\}$  that were originally adjacent to either  $u$  or  $v$ .

A graph  $G$  is  $k$ -colorable if there exists an  $s$ -coloring of  $G$  for some  $s \leq k$ . The minimum integer  $k$  for which graph  $G$  is  $k$ -colorable is called *chromatic number* and is denoted by  $\chi(G)$ . In given coloring of  $G$ , a set consisting of all those vertices assigned the same color is referred to as a *color class* and it is denoted by  $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k$ .

Irvin and Manlove [2] introduced  $b$ -chromatic number of  $G$ . A vertex  $u \in V_i$  is said to be a  $b$ -vertex if  $u$  has at least one neighbor in each color class except  $V_i$ . Otherwise  $u$  is said to be *non  $b$ -vertex*. A  $b$ -coloring of a graph  $G$  is proper  $k$ -coloring such that each color class contains at least one  $b$ -vertex. The maximum integer  $k$  for which  $G$  is  $b$ -coloring is called  *$b$ -chromatic number* and is denoted  $b(G)$ . A set  $S$  is said to be  *$b$ -system* if every vertex  $u \in S$  is a  $b$ -vertex. Let  $G$  be a  $b$ -coloring graph. Then there exists a  $b$ -system  $S$  such that  $|S| = k$ . Several authors studied  $b$ -chromatic number of graph  $G$  in [3, 4, 5]. Also easily observed a trivial upper bound of  $b$ -chromatic number of graph is  $b(G) \leq \Delta + 1$ .

A set of vertices of  $G$  is a *dominating set* if every vertex of  $V(G) - S$  is adjacent to at least one vertex in  $S$ . The minimum cardinality among the dominating sets of  $G$  is called *domination number* of  $G$  and is denoted by  $\gamma(G)$ . A dominating set of cardinality  $\gamma(G)$  is referred to as a *minimum dominating set* and is denoted by  $\gamma$ -set. Also a set  $S$  of vertices in a graph  $G$  is called an *independent dominating set* of  $G$  if  $S$  is both an independent and a dominating set of  $G$ .

In this paper we define a stable  $b$ -chromatic graph and we prove some basic properties of  $b_s$ -chromatic graphs.

## 2. Main Results

The  $b$ -chromatic number of the  $G.uv$  is equal to  $b(G)$  or less than  $b(G)$  or greater than  $b(G)$ . So that we define as follows. A graph  $G$  is said to be *stable  $b$ -chromatic* if  $b(G) = b(G.uv)$  and is denoted by  $b_s$ -chromatic. A graph  $G$  is said to be *lower  $b$ -chromatic* if  $b(G) < b(G.uv)$  and is denoted by  $b_l$ -chromatic. A graph  $G$  is said to be *greater  $b$ -chromatic* if  $b(G) > b(G.uv)$  and is denoted by  $b_h$ -chromatic.

In this section we investigate the  $b_s$ -chromatic graphs. If  $G$  is a  $b_s$ -chromatic graph then there exists a  $b$ -coloring of  $G$  with a  $b$ -system  $S$  contains every two  $b$ -vertices having distinct colors or some of the two  $b$ -vertices having same colors or both and is denoted by  $S_0$  or  $S_1$  or  $S_2 = S_0 \cup S_1$ . Therefore naturally we arise the following question.

**Question 1.** Characterize the  $b_s$ -chromatic graphs with  $b$ -system  $S_0$  or  $S_1$  or  $S_2$ .

**Observation 2.** If  $G$  is a  $b_s$ -chromatic graph then there exists a  $b$ -system  $S$  contains  $S_0$  or  $S_1$  or  $S_2$ .

**Observation 3.** If  $G$  is a  $b_s$ -chromatic graph and there exists a  $b$ -system  $S_0$  such that  $|S_0| = k$  then  $\deg(u) \geq k - 1$  for all  $u \in S_0$  and  $\deg(v) < k - 1$  for all  $v \in V - S_0$ .

**Observation 4.** If  $\deg(u) = k - 1$  for all  $u \in S_0$  then there is a no pendant vertex in  $V - S_0$ .

**Theorem 5.** If  $G$  is a  $b$ -coloring graph and for every  $x, y \in S_0$  such that  $N(x) \cap N(y) = \phi$  then  $G$  is a  $b_s$ -chromatic graph.

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be  $b$ -coloring graph. Then the color classes are  $V_0, V_1, \dots, V_k$  and there exists a  $b$ -system  $S_0$  with  $|S_0| = k$ . Let  $x, y \in S_0$  and let  $v \in V - S_0$ . Then by Observation 3,  $\deg(v) < k - 1$  for all  $v \in V - S_0$ . If  $G$  is a  $b$ -coloring graph with  $N(x) \cap N(y) = \phi$  for every  $x, y \in S_0$  then there is two possibilities of cases as follows.

1.  $x$  is adjacent to  $u$  for all  $x \in S_0$  and  $u \in V - S_0$
2.  $u$  is adjacent to  $v$  for all  $u, v \in V - S_0$ .

**Case 1.**  $x$  is adjacent to  $u$  for all  $x \in S_0$  and  $u \in V - S_0$ .

Let  $x \in V_i$  and  $u \in V_j$ . Then the vertex  $u$  has no neighbor in  $V_j$  and hence  $u$  has neighbor in  $V_l, j < l$  or  $u$  has neighbor in  $V_i, i < j, l$ .

**Subcase 1.**  $u \in V_j$  has neighbor in  $V_l, j \neq l$ .

If  $|N(x)| = k - 1$ , for all  $x \in S_0$  then  $z \in V_l, l \neq i$  is the neighbor of the vertex  $u$  and hence the vertex  $x$  has two neighbors in  $V_k$  say  $z, w$  in the new graph  $G.xu$ . also  $x$  has no neighbor in  $V_j$  in  $G.xu$  with  $|N(x)| = k - 1$ . Since the neighbor of  $z$  is not in  $V_j$ , it follows that a vertex  $z \in V_j$  and hence  $x$  is a  $b$ -vertex. Suppose  $z$  is adjacent to a  $b$ -vertex  $v \in V_m, m \neq l, j$ . Then  $v$  has two neighbors  $z, t \in V_j$ . Thus  $t \in V_l, l \neq i, j$  and hence  $b(G.xu) = b(G)$ . Suppose  $|N(x)| > k - 1$  with more than two neighbors in  $V_j$ . Let  $u, v \in V_j$ . Then  $x$  is a  $b$ -vertex of  $G.xu$  and hence  $b(G.xu) = b(G)$ .

**Subcase 2.**  $u \in V_j$  has neighbor in  $V_i$ .

Let  $z \in V_i$  and let  $y \in V_j$ . If  $z$  is adjacent to  $y$  then  $z \in V_j, y \in V_i$  and hence  $V_j$  has no  $b$ -vertex. Let  $w \in V_l$ . Then  $w$  is a neighbor of  $y$ . If  $y \in V_l$  then  $V_l$  is not an independent. Therefore  $b$ -vertex  $s \in V_l$  which implies  $s \in V_j$ . If the vertex  $w$  is adjacent to neighbors of  $s$  then the  $deg(w) < k - 1$  and  $w \in V_m, l \neq m$  and each color classes are independent. Thus a color class  $V_l$  has two  $b$ -vertices  $y$  and  $s$ . Since  $V_j$  has no  $b$ -vertex, it follows that  $s \in V_j$  and  $V_j$  is not an independent. Then there exists a vertex  $t \in V_j$  is also a neighbor of  $s$  and hence  $s$  is adjacent to  $t$ , where  $s, t \in V_j$ . If the vertex  $t$  is a neighbor of  $s \in V_j$  then  $t \in V_l, l \neq j$ . Thus  $s$  is a  $b$ -vertex of  $V_j$ . Hence  $b(G.xu) = b(G)$ . If  $w$  is not adjacent to neighbor of  $b$ -vertex  $s$  say  $g$  such that  $g \in V_p, p \neq l$ . Suppose  $s \in V_j$ . Then  $V_j$  is not an independent. Let  $s \in V_j$  be a vertex incident an edge  $e = st$  where  $t, s \in V_j$ . Then  $g$  is adjacent to  $t$ . if  $g \notin V_j$  then it is not necessary for  $g$  is adjacent to  $t$  such that  $t \in V_l$  and hence  $s$  is a  $b$ -vertex of  $V_j$ . Thus  $b(G.xu) = b(G)$ .

**Case 2.**  $u$  is adjacent to  $v$  for all  $u, v \in V - S_0$ .

Let  $u \in V_j$  and  $v \in V_i$ . If the vertex  $v$  has neighbor is either a  $b$ -vertex or non $b$ -vertex in  $V_j$  then  $V_j$  is not an independent in  $G.vu$  Therefore a  $b$ -vertex  $y \in V_i$  and  $u \in V_l, l \neq i, j$  in  $G.vu$  then by subcase 2, we get  $b(G.xu) = b(G)$ .

Hence,  $G$  is  $b_s$ -chromatic graph. □

**Theorem 6.** The graph  $G \cong P_n$  or  $C_n$  is  $b_s$ -chromatic graph iff  $n \geq 6$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $n \geq 6$ , then  $b(P_n) = b(C_n) = 3$ . Since the graphs  $P_n.uv$  or  $C_n.uv$  is respectively a  $P_{n-1}$  or  $C_{n-1}$ , it follows that, we get  $b(G.uv) = b(G)$ .

Suppose  $G = P_n$  is a  $b_s$ -chromatic graph of order  $\leq 5$ . Then there exists a  $b$ -system  $S_0$  for  $P_n, n = 2, 5$  and hence  $b(G.uv) < b(G)$ . Also there exists a  $b$ -system  $S_1$  for  $P_n, n = 3, 4$ . Hence  $b(G.uv) = b(G)$ .

Suppose  $G = C_n$  is a  $b_s$ -chromatic graph of order  $\leq 5$ . Then there exists a  $b$ -system  $S_0$  for  $C_n, n = 3, 5$  and hence  $b(G.uv) < b(G)$ . Also there exists a  $b$ -system  $S_1$  for  $C_4$ . Hence,  $b(G.uv) > b(G)$ . □

**Remark 1.** From the above Theorem 6 we observed that graph  $P_n$  is a  $b_s$ -chromatic graph with a  $b$ -system  $S_0$  and  $S_1$  for  $n \geq 6$  and a  $b$ -system  $S_1$  for  $n = 3, 4$ . Hence,  $P_n, n > 2$  is a  $b_s$ -chromatic graph.

**Observation 7.** If  $G = K_n$  is the complete graph then  $G$  is not a  $b_s$ -chromatic graph.

*Proof.* Let  $G = K_n$  be a complete graph with  $b(G) = n$ . Then there exists a  $b$ -system  $S_0$  and hence  $V - S_0 = \emptyset$  and  $b(G) = n$ . Since the graph  $K_n.uv \cong K_{n-1}$ , it follows that, we get a  $b$ -system  $S_0$  for  $K_{n-1}$ . Thus  $b(K_{n-1}) = n - 1$ . Hence  $K_n$  is not a  $b_s$ -chromatic graph.  $\square$

**Remark 2.** From the Remark 1 and Theorem 6, the graph  $S_2(K_2) \cong P_4$  and  $S_2(K_3) \cong C_9$  are  $b_s$ -chromatic graph.

**Definition 8.** A graph  $G$  is said to be *domination dot stable* if  $\gamma(G.uv) = \gamma(G)$  for all  $u, v \in V(G)$ .  $u$  is adjacent to  $v$ .

**Theorem 9.** If  $G$  is a  $b_s$ -chromatic graph with a  $b$ -system  $S_0$  and  $|S_0| = k$  such that  $|N(u)| = k - 1$  for all  $u \in S_0$  then  $G$  is a stable domination.

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a  $b_s$ -chromatic graph. Then there exists a  $b$ -system  $S_0$  with  $|S_0| = k$  such that  $|N(u)| = k - 1$  for every  $u \in S_0$  and hence clearly  $S_0$  is independent and a minimum dominating set of  $G$ . Thus  $S_0$  is a independent dominating set of  $G$ . Hence  $G$  is a stable domination.  $\square$

The converse of the Theorem 9 need not be true.

**Observation 10.** If  $G$  is a domination dot stable graph then  $G$  need not be a  $b_s$ -chromatic graph. For example, consider the graph  $P_6 = (v_1v_2v_3v_4v_5v_6)$  then  $\gamma(P_n) = 2$ ,  $n = 5, 6$  and  $b(P_6.uv \cong P_5) = 3$ . From the Theorem 6,  $P_5$  is not a  $b_s$ -chromatic graph.

### 3. Conclusion and Scope

In this paper we proved some benchmark results of  $b_s$ -chromatic graphs with a  $b$ -system  $S_0$ . This provides scope for further investigation on  $b_s$ -chromatic graph with  $b$ -system  $S_1$  or  $S_2$ .

### References

- [1] G. Chartrand, L. Lesniak, P. Zhang, *Graphs & Digraphs, CRC Press, (2010)*.

- [2] R. W. Irving, D. F. Manlove, *The b-chromatic number of a graph*, *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, **91**, No. 1 (1999), 127-141.
- [3] M. Blidia, N. I. Eschouf, F. Maffray, *b-coloring of some bipartite graphs*, *Australasian Journal of Combinatorics*, **53**, (2012), 67-76.
- [4] C. T. Hoàng, T. Chinh, M. Kouider, *On the b-dominating coloring of graphs*, *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, **152**, No. 1 (2005), 176-186.
- [5] J. Kratochvíl, Z. Tuza, M. Voigt, *On the b-chromatic number of graphs*, In: *Graph-Theoretic Concepts in Computer Science*, Springer Berlin Heidelberg (2002), 310-320.