Low Power 3 Value Logic 9T SRAM Cell Design based on CNTFET at 18nm Technology
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Abstract

This paper proposes a new design of highly stable and low power SRAM cell using carbon nanotube FETs (CNTFETs) at 18nm technology node. As device physical gate length is reduced to below 65 nm, device non-idealities such as large parameter variations and exponential increase in leakage current make the I-V characteristics substantially different from traditional MOSFETs and become a serious obstacle to scale devices. CNFETs have received widespread attention as one of the promising successor to MOSFETs. The proposed circuit was simulated in HSPICE using 18nm Stanford CNFET model. Analysis of the results shows that the proposed CNTFET based 3VL 9T SRAM cell, power dissipation, and stability substantially improved compared with the conventional CMOS 6T SRAM cell by 51% and 58% respectively at the expense of 4% write delay increase.
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1 INTRODUCTION

For the foreseeable feature, static random access memory (SRAM) will likely remain as the embedded memory technology of choice for many microprocessors and systems on chips (SoCs) due to the speed advantage and compatibility with standard logic processes. With the advent of SoC, the design of highly stable and power efficient SRAM structures has become highly desirable. Therefore, it is essential to develop a low power SRAM design technique for the new device technology such as CNTFET. Carbon Nanotube Field Effect Transistor (CNFET) is the most promising technology to extend or complement the traditional silicon technology due to the following three reasons: First, the operation principle and the device structure are similar to CMOS devices, and the established CMOS design infrastructure can be utilised. Second, the CMOS fabrication process can still be utilised. And the most important reason is that CNFET has the best experimentally demonstrated device current carrying capability so far. Several researches have been done to estimate the performance of CNFET at a single device level in the presence of process related non-idealities and imperfections at the 18 nm technology node using compact CNFET SPICE model [1][2]. In this paper, as a circuit level design of CNTFET, a novel low power and highly stable 3 VI 9T SRAM cell design is proposed and its performance and viability are demonstrated by performing various simulations. The stability and power consumption of the 9T SRAM cell based on CNTFET are compared with that of the conventional CMOS 6T SRAM cell design to show the viability of the CNTFET based SRAM cell design. The circuit simulation in this paper uses a 18nm CNFET HSPICE model that includes the practical device non-idealities for CNFET [3][4] and the 18nm BSIM PTM (predictive technology model) for Si MOSFET [5]. This paper is organised in the following manner: The characteristics and physical features of CNTFET Transistor are explained in section II, section III describes the 3value logic and section IV describes, the mechanisms of the read and write operations of the proposed 9T CNTFET SRAM cell and the schemes for deciding the number of nanotubes of each. The simulation results are presented in section IV to compare the performance and viability of the CNTFET technology with that CMOS technology, and followed by the
Carbon nanotube Field Effect transistors (CNTFETs) utilise semiconducting single-wall CNTs to assemble electronic devices; CNTFETs have been shown to have similar properties to MOSFETs. A single-wall carbon nanotube (or SWCNT) consists of only one cylinder, and the simple manufacturing process of this device makes it a very promising alternative to today's MOSFET. An SWCNT can act as either a conductor or a semiconductor depending on the angle of the atom arrangement along the tube. This is referred to as the chirality vector and is represented by the integer pair \((n, m)\) \cite{6}. A simple method to determine if a carbon nanotube is metallic or semiconducting is based on considering the indices \((n, m)\), i.e. the nanotube is metallic if \(n = m\) or \(n-m=3i\) where \(i\) is an integer. Otherwise, the tube is semiconducting. The diameter of the CNT can be calculated from \cite{6} as a function of \(m\) and \(n\). Fig. 1 shows the schematic diagram of the CNTFET \cite{6}. Similar to the silicon device the CNTFET has four terminals, a dielectric film is wrapped around a portion of the undoped semiconducting nanotube, and a metal gate surrounds the dielectric. Fig. 2 shows the equivalent circuit model implemented in HSPICE as proposed in \cite{6}. Heavily doped CNT segments are placed between the gate and the source/drain to allow for a low series resistance during the on-state \cite{7}. As the gate potential increases, the device is electrostatically turned on or off via the gate. The current-voltage (I-V) characteristics of the CNTFET are shown in Fig. 3, and they are similar to those of MOSFET. The CNTFET device current is saturated at higher \(V_{ds}\) (drain to source voltage) as channel length increases as shown in Fig. 3, and the on-current decreases due to energy optimisation in the axial
Fig. 1 Schematic diagram of a carbon nanotube transistor (CNTFET): (a) sectional view; (b) top view

Fig. 2 Equivalent circuit model for the intrinsic channel region of a CNTFET [6]

3 THREE VALUE LOGIC DESIGN

A three-value logic system was first developed by Jan Lukasiewicz, in 1920, in its popular paper O Logice Trojwarkoscioewj, [12], as abstraction of the traditional binary logic. Three-value logic system has better characteristic compared to previous binary system such as increased bit handling capability per unit area, reduced number and complexity of interconnections, as well as reduced number
of active FETs inside a chip. Hence, in the circuit which designs base on three-valued logic, circuits will be simpler and more flexible also more easy. By using 3VL higher speed and less power dissipation will be achieved [13] [14]. To obtain 3value logic system we need three values, in conventional binary system we have two logics values 0 and 1, these logics represented by 0V and Vdd respectively. By supplementing one state between these two logics of conventional binary system ternary logic system can be achieved. In 3value logic system values represented by 0V, Vdd/2 and Vdd which denote 0, 1 and 2 respectively. In principle, 3VL can provide a means of increasing data processing capability per unit chip area. The serial and serial-parallel arithmetic functions can be carried out faster if the 3value logic is employed. One of the main merits of 3value logic is that it reduces the number of required computation steps. As each input can have three distinct values, the number of digits required in a 3VL family is log32 times less than that required in binary logic. It is assumed that 3value logic elements can operate at a speed approaching that of the corresponding binary-logic elements. However, if the 3VL and binary logic gates are used to take advantage of their respective merits, performance could be significantly improved because 3value logic gates are good candidate for decoding block since it requires less number of gates while binary logic gates are a good candidate for fast computation modules. Thus, 3value design technique combined with the conventional binary logic gate design technique also provides an excellent speed and power consumption characteristics in memory circuits. Three value logic functions are defined as the functions having convincing if a third value is introduced in to the binary logic. Here, 0, 1, and 2 denote the 3 logic values to represent true, intermediate, and false, respectively. Any n-variable Z1, . . . , Zn 3 value logic function f(Z) is defined as a logic function mapping 0, 1, 2 n to 0, 1, 2, where Z = Z1, . . . , Zn. The basic operations of 3value logic can be defined as follows, where

\[ Z_i - Z_j = \max Z_i, Z_j \]
\[ Z_i \& Z_j = \min Z_i, Z_j \]
\[ Z_i = 2 Z_i \]

where denotes the arithmetic subtraction, the operations —, and are referred to as the OR, AND, and NOT in 3value
logic, respectively. The 3 value logic gates are designed according to the convention defined in above equation. Here work the set 0, 1, 2 is used, where 0 = false, 1= intermediate, and 2 = true. Table 1 shows the truth table of all the basic 3Value logic gates. The choice of these values leads in a more natural way the adaptation of the ideas from the binary logic

4 PROPOSED 9T CNTFET SRAM CELL

A new 3 VL 9T CNTFET SRAM cell structure is proposed in this paper to increase SNM and to reduce the power consumption of the SRAM cell. A. Write and Read Operations The write and read bits are separated in this new 9T CNTFET SRAM cell. While in traditional 6T CMOS SRAM both bit and bit-bar lines are used for writing data, but in this newly proposed 9T CNTFET SRAM cell only Write Bit is used to write both 0 and 1 data, as shown in fig. 4. The writing operation starts by disconnecting the feedback loop of the two inverters. By setting W_bar signal to 0, the feedback loop is disconnected. The data that is going to be written is determined by the Write_Bit voltage. If the feedback connection is disconnected, SRAM cell has just two back-to-back connected inverters. Write_Bit transfers the complementary of the input data to Q2, which drives the other inverter (M1 and M2) to develop Q_bar. Write_Bit have to be pre-charged "high" before and right after each write operation. When writing "0" data at Q2, negligible writing power is consumed because there is no discharging activity at Write_Bit. To write 1 data at Q2, the Write_Bit have to be dis-charged to ground level just like 6T CMOS SRAM cell. In this case, the dynamic power consumed by the discharging is the same as 6T CMOS SRAM. The write circuit does not discharge for every write operation but discharges only when the cell writes 1 data, and the activity factor of the discharging Write_Bit is less than "1", which makes the proposed 9T CNTFET SRAM cell more power effective during writing operation compared with the conventional CMOS SRAM cell
The first step in the read operation is the pre-charging of all the Read Bit lines. During read operation, transistor M7 is turned on by setting W_bar signal high and the Read_Row (RD) high to turn on M8 and M9. When Q2="0", the M6 is turned off making the Read_Bit voltage not change from the pre-charged value, which means the cell data Q2 holds 0. On the other hand, if Q2 is 1, the transistors M6, M8 and M9 are turned on. In this case, due to charge sharing, the Read_Bit voltage will be dropped about 100–200 mV and this voltage drop is enough to be detected in the sense amplifier.

B. CNTFET configuration

When writing 0, Write_Bit is pre-charged high (VDD) and M7 is turned off. The node voltage at Q1 is less than VDD due to the threshold voltage drop between the gate and source of the transistor M5. To compensate this voltage drop, the transistor M3 and M4 must be designed as a low-skew inverter to guarantee that Q2 is at a solid ground level to represent 0 state. A low-skewed inverter has a weaker PMOS transistor. If the PMOS CNTFET has only one tube, the current can be minimised. On the other hand, the operation of writing 1 is stable because NMOS transistor M5 can pass 0 faithfully. Assume that initially the cell stores 0 at Q2 and 1 at Q_bar after WL (Word Line) is deactivated and W_bar is activated. In this case, the voltage at Q1 is less than VDD due to the threshold voltage drop across the gate and source of the transistor.
M7. The degraded voltage at Q1 may turn on the transistor M4 slightly causing short circuit current through transistors M4 and M3. To overcome this problem, the low skewed inverter (M3 and M4) mentions for writing 0 case is justified again and the Vth of the transistor M7 needs to be controlled low to reduce the voltage difference between Q_{bar} and Q1.

Fig. 4 The proposed 9T CNTFET SRAM Cell structure

a low skewed inverter with transistors M3 and M4, to have a solid ground level at Q2. However, by increasing the number of tubes, the M4 and M3 area sizes can be same. That is, if M4 has only one tube and M3 has 2 tubes, then the current ratio M3/M4 can be more than 2. This means that the inverter transistor sizes M3/M4 can be smaller than 2 by controlling the number of tubes. Transistor ratio M5/M4 of 1.3, M1/M4 of 3, and low Vth of the transistor M7 guarantees a stable READ operation when Q_{bar} stores "0". However, if the similar approach to M3/M4 sizing is used to optimise transistor ratios among M1, M5 and M4, the transistor sizes can be further reduced. If M5 has only one tube, M1 has two tubes and M4 has one tube, the transistor M1 needs to be only 1.5 times larger than transistor M4 to satisfy the relationships among M1, M5, and M4.

5 SIMULATION RESULTS

using bulk CMOS and CNTFET transistors respectively. HSPICE simulations are performed at 18nm technology node using the Stanford CNTFET model and the Predictive Technology Model (PTM) to compare the performance of the 3VL 9T CNTFET and 6T
A. Simulation Setup

The following technology parameters are used for simulation of 3VL 9T SRAM cell using CNTFET Technology: Lch (physical channel length) = 18.0nm, Lss (the length of the doped CNT drain-side/sourceside extension region) = 18.0nm, Ef (Fermi level of the doped S/D tube) = 0.6 eV, Tox (The thickness of high-k top gate dielectric material) = 4.0nm, (n1, n2) (chirality of tube) = (19,0), pitch = 10nm, Vfbn and Vfhp (Flatband voltage for n-CNTFET and p-CNTFET) = 0.0eV and 0.0eV, physical gate length = 18.0nm, Lgeff (the mean free path in intrinsic CNT channel region due to non-ideal elastic scattering) = source/drain extension region) = 18.0nm, the mean free path in p+/n+ doped CNT = 15.0nm, the work function of Source/Drain metal contact = 4.6eV, and CNT work function = 4.5eV. The minimum transistor sizes used for CMOS and CNTFET technologies are W=48nm and L = 18nm for bulk CMOS, and L=18nm and the number of tubes =1 for CNTFET. A Power supply of 0.9 V is used [8]. Table 1 shows the summarised results to compare the proposed 3VL 9T CNTFET SRAM characteristics with the conventional CMOS 6T SRAM cell. 

B. Dynamic Power Consumption

The newly proposed 3VL 9T CNTFET SRAM cell achieves 51% writing power saving while maintaining the cell performance, read/write delay, and stability of the conventional cell. The power saving comes from the fact that the cell keeps Write. Bit ”high” instead of discharging when it writes ”0”, which reduces the activity factor of the Write_Bit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE I SUMMARISED SIMULATION RESULTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6T CMOS SRAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic Power (W)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leakage Power (nW)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Write Delay (ps)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Read Delay (ps)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNM (mV)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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While conventional 6T CMOS SRAM always discharges one of the bit lines to write a data into the cell, the proposed 9T CNTFET SRAM discharges the Write Bit only when it writes 1. As the probability of writing 0 gets higher, the power dissipation due to the bit line discharging is reduced comparing to the conventional case. Fig. 5 shows the dynamic power consumption of the CNT-FET 9T SRAM cell for different VDD. As shown in the Fig. 5, the power saving of the 9T CNTFET SRAM cell becomes greater as VDD increases since the dynamic power difference between the 6T CMOS SRAM and the proposed 9T CNTFET SRAM cell increases exponentially as VDD increases.

**C. Leakage Power Consumption**

The leakage power of the 6T CMOS SRAM cell and 3VL9T CNTFET SRAM cell. In the 3VL9T CNTFET SRAM cell, the bit line leakage is significantly reduced by adding a NMOS transistor (M9), because of the so-called stack effect between M8 and M9. The reduced bit-line leakage makes it possible to have more SRAM cells on a bit-line for high-density SRAM designs. 9T CNTFET SRAM cell, the bit-line leakage is significantly reduced by adding a NMOS transistor (M9), because of the so-called stack effect between M8 and M9. The reduced bit-line leakage makes it possible to have more SRAM cells on a bit-line for high-density SRAM designs.

**D. Static Noise Margin**

Static Noise Margin (SNM) is the standard metric to measure the stability in SRAM bit-cells. The SNM of SRAM cell is defined as the minimum DC noise voltage necessary to flip the state of the cell. The voltage transfer curves (VTCs) of the back-to-back inverters in a bit-cell are used to measure SNM. Separating the Read...
and Write bit offers wider SNM during read operation as shown in Fig. 7. When reading the stored data, only Read Bit affects inverter1 (M1/M2) output voltage. Consequently, this fact makes the cell hard to flip. Table 1 shows 9T CNTFET SRAM cell has the highest SNM because of the relatively higher Vth and lower leakage current than CMOS based SRAM cells.

E. Write and Read Delay

For write operation, the write delay is defined as the time from the 50% activation of the WL to the time when $Q_{\text{bar}}$ becomes 90% of its full swing. The write delay is approximately equal to the propagation delay of the inverter2 (M3/M4) and inverter1 (M1/M2). Because the inverter1 is only driving the diffusion capacitor of M7, it is desirable to reduce the input capacitance of the inverter1 as much as possible to reduce the load capacitance on inverter2. The proposed 3VL 9T CNTFET SRAM cell is slightly slower than 6T SRAM in writing operation because of this reason. The read time depends on the READ paths transistors sizes. The proposed 3VL 9T CNTFET SRAM cell READ delay is almost same as the conventional 6T CMOS SRAM cell since the transistor sizes are very similar. The READ access time at the cell level is determined by the time taken for the bit-lines to develop a potential difference of at least 100mV.

6 CONCLUSION

This paper has investigated the use of MOSFET-like CNTFET in place of the conventional CMOS in the design of SRAM cell. This new 9T CNTFET SRAM cell is compared with CMOS based 6T SRAM cell. This new 3VL 9T CNTFET SRAM cell cuts off the feedback connection between the two back-to-back inverters in the SRAM cell when data is written and separates the write and read port with 9 transistors. Compared to 6T SRAM structure, the proposed 3VL 9T CNTFET SRAM saves power up to 5158% higher SNM during read operation at the minimal cost of 4% delay increase. These Simulation results show that the CNTFET based 9T SRAM cell design achieves improvements in stability and power consumption, especially at a low power supply.
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