

WORKPLACE VIOLENCE: WHAT IS IT?

¹A.PRAKRUTHI , ²Dr.MURUGAN RAMU

¹Student, First Year BBA ,LLB (Hons), Saveetha School Of Law, Saveetha University , Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences,Chennai -77, Tamil nadu , India.

²Assistant Professor of Management ,Saveetha School Of Law, Saveetha University , Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, Chennai -77, Tamil nadu , India.

¹ashokprakruthi@gmail.com, ²muruganramu.ssl@saveetha.com,

1. ABSTRACT

With an end goal to better comprehend working environment savagery, this exploratory investigation looks at it from a hierarchical level point of view. This point of view takes into account the recognizable proof of the sorts of counteractive action measures utilized by associations to address work environment brutality, and the viability of these procedures at forestalling it. Spellbinding insights demonstrate the sorts of authoritative strategies and methods used to counteract work environment viciousness, and additionally a portion of the conditions that place associations at a higher danger of encountering it. Also, the strategies for limiting savagery in the work environment, for example, advising and grievance methodology, are investigated to figure out which counteractive action systems give off an impression of being best at tending to working environment brutality. The essentialness of the discoveries and future research headings are examined.

KEYWORDS: violence, organization, workplace, victims, aggression

2.INTRODUCTION

Many organisations had many issues and complaints of workplace violence and mostly women become the victims for it. This work place violence exists from schools to IT companies. One of the famous case is Vishakha case, which is workplace harassment. There is existence if this every where and the ways to alter or reduce this are not known to maximum number of people. There are many ways to avoid and the first of them all is that everyone

must strong and bold not frightened or weak. This occurs in many forms and this paper discusses about what is **Organizational violence**.

3.RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This research is based on the secondary source of data which include:

- 1.Articles
- 2.books
- 3.magazines
- 4.Research paper

4.OBJECTIVES

- To know what organizational violence is
- To know organizational determinants of violence in the workplace

5.HYPOTHESIS

H0: There is no significant difference of violence prevailing in Organizations

Ha: There is significant difference of violence prevailing in organizations

6.REVIEW OF LITERATURE

ALISSA D. PORR (2008), Organizational Violence and Aggression:

In this study the author explains that organizational violence and aggression affects work done and it is derived from the concept safety climate literature.

FANNY KLERX – VAN MIERLO AND STEFAN BOGAERTS (2011), Vulnerability factors in the explanation of workplace aggression: The construction of theoretical framework:

This research mainly focuses on the factors which lead to workplace aggression which leads in the development or construction of theoretical framework for knowing about the workplace violence.

DEANNA PAULIN, BARBARA GRIFFIN (2016), The relationship between incivility, team climate for incivility and job-related employee well being: A multi level analysis

This study the author explains about workplace incivility relationship at different cross levels associations between team climate

MICHEL W. GAZICA, PAUL E SPECTOR (2016), A test of safety violence, prevention & civility climate domain – Specific relationships with relevant workplace hazards.

This study the author explains about the necessity of the test specifically on workplace violence and relevant workplace hazards.

K. AQUINO, S. THAU (2009), Workplace victimisation aggressive from the target's perspective

This article reviews research on workplace victimisation and its definition according to the author is the act which affects one or more members of an organisation.

7.ORGANIZATIONAL VIOLENCE: WHAT IS IT?

Workplace violence or organizational violence is nothing but violence or harm in physical or mental form which affect the workplace environment and the employees who are working. This has too many negative factors which not only affects employees but also family and friends of the employees get affected. There is no proper or uniform definition for organizational violence but most of definitions say it is an act of a person physically or psychologically.

7.1 DEFINITIONS

World Health Organization defines violence as “the deliberate utilization of physical power or control, debilitated or real, against oneself, someone else, or against a gathering or group, which either brings about or has a high probability of bringing about damage, passing, mental mischief, maldevelopment, or hardship”¹

The International Labour Organisation (ILO) defines workplace violence as “... any activity, episode or conduct that leaves from sensible lead in which a man is attacked, undermined, hurt, harmed throughout, or as an immediate consequence of, his or her work”²

Meeting organised by European Commission defines organizational violence as “Occurrences where people are manhandled, debilitated or struck in conditions identified with their work, including driving to and from work, including express or understood difficulties to their wellbeing, prosperity or wellbeing”³

7.2 Types of Organizational Violence

There are two types of organizational violence that is the internal and external violence.

Internal violence is violence taking place inside the organization between two employees by means of threatening or abusing him/her physically.

¹ Krug, E.G., Dahlberg, L.L., Mercy, J.A., Zwi, A.B., & Lozano, R. World report on violence and health. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2002. Available at: [1]

² Chappell, D., & Di Martino, V., Violence at work (3rd Edition), Geneva, Switzerland: ILO, 2006

³ Wynne, R., Clarkin, N., Cox, T., & Griffiths, A., Guidance on the prevention of violence at work, Luxembourg: European Commission, DG-V, 1997 (Ref. CE/VI-4/97).

External violence is violence taking place due to some person who is outside the organization but has link to organization or the victim.

This leads to harassment and bullying.

In 2008, the ILO stated: “these actions may be relatively minor by themselves, but the accumulation may come to constitute a serious form of workplace violence.”

These kind of violences are done by

- Criminals
- Clients
- Employees
- Relationship

7.3 Consequences of organizational violence

This not only affects the employees but has direct and indirect effects on everyone who is related to him/her in the organization which happens only when violence is involved

7.3.1 Individual consequences

Physical and psychological problems are the results of organizational violence.

Physical consequences may lead to minor injuries to death it depends on incident's severity.

Psychological consequences lead to weak and unstable state of mind and also sometimes ends up in stress and depression. Sleep, stress, fatigue, depression have been reported to be in high levels in a survey conducted in 2010.

Job attitudes of a person differ due to the negative factors of the organizational violence plays a major part in it which results in employees behaviour²².

7.3.2 Organizational consequence

This is totally opposite of individual structure as the name itself refers that it is violence which involves direct or indirect participation of organization in it. The ones who work for organization from outside or clients who confer it. The victims are the ones who are especially working in the emotional and psychological department who is a counsellor in the organization. The time, situation and place gives an helping hand for the violence to be done. This leads to depression and stress for employees working in organization. Conditions that may lead to higher stress levels in workers (such as, interpersonal conflicts, role ambiguity, low job control, time pressure, low quality of teamwork and high workloads) increase the risk of both external and internal violence in the workplace. It has been observed that the mechanisms underpinning these observed relationships may be different.

7.3.3 Costs for society

The society considers working in organization leads to stress and depression for the employees and the same time the ones who work in IT organizations earn well is assumption of many which is not true. A study said that some of these violence lead to physical disability of the victims. The correct expenses may shift from nation to nation, contingent on the national wellbeing frameworks, administrative systems and the social administrations accessible in these nations. Three authors have studied that the ratio stress level at work is an economic burden (1% - 3.5% of Gross Domestic Product). Apart from this there is no separate estimation for organization violence²¹.

7.4 Case on Workplace Harassment

FACTS OF THE CASE

Vishakha and others v State of Rajasthan

This was very famous case which gave a landmark judgement in the favour of the women employees and it spoke about workplace harassment. A social worker named Bhanwari Devi was brutally gang raped in the year 1992 by upper class men for stopping child marriage. Then she decided to get justice by filing a case against the men and later many other women groups joined to support Devi. The main motive of this petition was to stop sexual harassment and gender inequality. Women must have equal rights and they must also be treated equally as men. After this case there was awareness amongst all the women especially the ones working in organization. The Supreme Court of India set guidelines for safety of women and defined sexual harassment.

8. ORGANIZATIONAL DETERMINANTS OF VIOLENCE

The behaviour of an employee if it is unethical it becomes a prevalent problem. The predominance and expenses of unfortunate behavior or aberrance in the work environment make its examination basic. In a prior examination, it was discovered that 33% to 75% of labourers have occupied with practices, for example, vandalism, attack, undesirable truancy, and through and through burglary (Harper, 1990). It is likely that the expanding pressure in associations that came about because of monetary changes, expanding worldwide competitiveness, and patterns toward scaling back and rebuilding, will prompt noteworthy wrongdoings in the working environment. Given that a significant part of the topic of hierarchical rowdiness had been for the most part misconstrued and ignored before, exact examination of remote and quick reason for authoritative unfortunate activities ought to

involve genuine concern. In this way, this investigation offers a significant scholarly test to modern/authoritative analysts, HR professionals, and without a doubt for successful administration rehearse.

8.1 Workplace deviance

Work environment abnormality alludes to deliberate practices by representatives that damage noteworthy hierarchical standards, approaches, or governs and in this manner debilitate the prosperity of the association and additionally its individuals or both (Robinson and Bennett, 1995). Working environment abnormality additionally can be portrayed as the ponder or purposeful want to make hurt an association (Omar, Halim, Zainah, Farhadi, Nasir and Kairudin 2011). Cases of work environment aberrance incorporate the two practices coordinated at associations (e.g., robbery, attack, hostility, non-attendance, savagery, coming to work late, and putting little exertion into work) and people in the working environment, for example, directors or collaborators (e.g., ridiculing others, playing mean tricks, acting inconsiderately, contending). Such conduct at work had gotten much communicate play and media ink in the course of recent years (Kidwell and Martin, 2004). This reputation is frequently because of the incredible negative outcomes related with inappropriate conduct in associations: monetary demolish of numerous general population specialists because of unlawful activities by corporate administrators, different homicides and other savagery conferred by representatives in the working environment, and costly lewd behavior decisions. It would be troublesome, if certainly feasible, to create a genuinely exact gauge of the cost of freak conduct in the working environment, especially when one incorporates its numerous structures—corporate misrepresentation, worker burglary, tormenting and badgering, vindicate, withholding work exertion, medication and liquor manhandle, and savagery—and the measures taken to anticipate and remedy them. However add up to gauges in the billions of dollars are standard (Bennett and Robinson, 2003).

8.2 Types of workplace behaviour

- **Organizational wise**

Production deviance : working very slowly on purpose, leaving early from work, usage of resources unnecessarily and on a regular basis taking leave.

Property deviance : for example, attacking hardware, tolerating kickbacks, lying about hours worked, and taking from organization.

- **interpersonal-wise**

political deviance : for example, bias, tattling about colleagues, accusing associates, and contending non usefully.

personal aggression : abuse verbally , harassment, stealing and putting co workers to danger.

Preventing and managing workplace violence

Types of interventions

Interventions for counteracting and overseeing violence at work may center around the individual, the individual-hierarchical interface, the activity/assignment, association, or the societal/arrangement level. Mediations may likewise be delegated being essential, optional or tertiary in nature, each filling diverse needs. In essential intercessions the objective is to take out or lessen introduction to brutality. The point of optional intercessions is to successfully oversee rough episodes once they have happened, and to limit the outcomes for the casualties. At long last, tertiary interventions center around the treatment and recovery of labourers who experience the ill effects of the results of presentation to viciousness.

Some of the strategies used were

- Training
- Screening
- Selecting
- Protection specific design
- Information
- Communication

Assessing the viability of working environment brutality mediations, an audit of almost 100 intercessions found that most by far of them were set inside the retail or human services parts .Hazard appraisals, work approaches, preparing and administration methodologies got blended outcomes, albeit methodological confinements, including: constrained example measure, absence of control gatherings, and high dropout rates, were recognized discovered that cooperation in a preparation or mediation program enhanced staff familiarity with work environment vicious occurrences and their administration abilities, made "an additionally tolerating, non-corrective state of mind in regards to [the issue of] brutality towards staff" and in this way turned into a boost for staff to report fierce episodes.

National approach

The circumstance in Europe on the control of working environment violent is greatly shifted and evolving. EU bodies assume a critical part in cultivating new advancements around there. At the European level there are no authoritative arrangements which allude unequivocally to viciousness and harassing at work. Be that as it may, this is regularly considered to fall verifiably inside the extent of the EU structure order on wellbeing and security at work (Council Directive 89/391/EEC), and all the more particularly showed by "sectoral and cross-industry social discourse managing different parts of working conditions." With this assertion, European social accomplices censure business related brutality in every one of its structures, and perceive that savagery can adverse affect the working environment of every labourer. The point of this assertion is to expand mindfulness and comprehension regarding the matter by bosses, specialists, and their agents; and in addition to give them an activity situated structure to distinguish, anticipate, and oversee work environment viciousness and provocation. The assertion obviously expresses that the obligation to decide, analyze and screen the proper measures to handle viciousness is with the business in interview with the specialists as well as their delegates. As per the understanding, businesses need an unmistakable articulation underscoring that badgering and brutality won't go on without serious consequences. Likewise, techniques plotting how to manage brutality ought to be determined. The understanding has prompted a few social discourse activities, for example, the improvement of multi-sectoral rules to handle outsider savagery and badgering identified with work.

CONCLUSION

Working environment viciousness is brutality or the risk of violence against labourers. It can happen at or outside the working environment and can run from dangers and verbal manhandle to physical strikes and murder, one of the main sources of occupation related passings. In any case it shows itself, work environment savagery is a developing worry for businesses and representatives across the country. While there is no government law expecting associations to forestall work environment brutality, businesses have an obligation to give a protected workplace under the Occupational Safety and Health Act. Under this demonstration, associations are required to submit to consistence reviews by OSHA and can be issued references for any infringement found that could make a dangerous workplace.

REFERENCES

1. Krug, E.G., Dahlberg, L.L., Mercy, J.A., Zwi, A.B., & Lozano, R. World report on violence and health. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2002.
2. Chappell, D., & Di Martino, V., Violence at work (3rd Edition), Geneva, Switzerland: ILO, 2006.
3. Di Martino, V., Hoel, H., & Cooper, C., Preventing violence and harassment in the workplace. Dublin: European Foundation for the Improvement of Living and Working Conditions, 2003.
4. EU-OSHA - European Agency for Safety and Health at Work, Workplace Violence and Harassment: a European Picture. Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, Luxembourg, 2010.
5. Wynne, R., Clarkin, N., Cox, T., & Griffiths, A., Guidance on the prevention of violence at work, Luxembourg: European Commission, DG-V, 1997 (Ref. CE/VI-4/97).
6. European Communities, Luxembourg, 2015. Available at: [5]
7. Lehto, A-M., Violence, bullying and harassment in the workplace. Dublin: European Foundation for the Improvement of Living and Working Conditions, 2004.
8. Parent-Thirion, A., Macías, E.F., Hurley, J. & Vermeulen, G., Fourth European Working Conditions Survey, Dublin: European Foundation for the improvement of living and working conditions, 2007. Available at: [7]
9. Estryng-Behar, M., van der Heijden, B., Camerino, D., Fryl, C., Le Nezet, O., Conway, P.M. & Hasselhorn, H-M., 'Violence risks in nursing: results from the European 'NEXT' Study', Occupational Medicine, Vol. 58, 2008, pp.107-114.
10. Hahn, S. Zeller, A., Needham, I., Kok, G., Dassen, T., & Halfens, R. J. G., 'Patient and visitor violence in general hospitals: A systematic review of the literature', Aggression and Violent Behavior, Vol. 13, 2008, pp. 431-441.
11. Høgh, A., Borg, V., & Mikkelsen, K.L., 'Work-related violence as a predictor of fatigue: A 5-year follow-up of the Danish Work Environment Cohort Study', Work & Stress, Vol. 17, 2003, pp. 182–194.
12. Aquino, K., 'Structural and individual determinants of workplace victimization: the effects of hierarchical status and conflict management style', Journal of Management, Vol. 26, 2000, pp. 171–193.

13. Harvey, S., & Keashley, L., 'Predicting the risk for aggression in the workplace: risk factors, self-esteem and time at work', *Social Behavior and Personality*, Vol. 31, 2003, pp. 807–814.
14. Eurofound - European Foundation for the Improvement of Living and Working Conditions, 'Physical and Psychological Violence at the Workplace', Dublin, Eurofound, 2013. Available at: [8]
15. Calabro, K. & Baraniuk, S. 'Organizational factors related to safety in a psychiatric hospital: employee perceptions'. *AAOHN Journal: Official Journal of the American Association of Occupational Health Nurses*, 51, 2003, pp. 425–432.
16. Lawoko, S., Soares, J. J. F., & Nolan, P. 'Violence towards psychiatric staff: A comparison of gender, job and environmental characteristics in England and Sweden.' *Work & Stress*, 18, 2004, pp. 39-55.
17. Leather, P., Claire, L., Beale, D., Cox, T., & Dickson, R.. 'Exposure to occupational violence and the buffering effects of intra-organizational support'. *Work & Stress*, 12, 1998, pp. 161–178.
18. Spector, P. E., Coulter, M. L., Stockwell, H. G., & Matz, M. W. 'Perceived violence climate: A new construct and its relationship to workplace physical violence and verbal aggression, and their potential consequences.' *Work & Stress*, 21, 2007, pp. 117–130.
19. Yagil, D. 'When the customer is wrong: A review of research on aggression and sexual harassment in service encounters'. *Aggression and Violent Behavior*, 13, 2008, pp. 141-152.
20. Bayman, P. A., & Hussain, T. 'Receptionists' perceptions of violence in general practice'. *Occupational Medicine*, 57, 2007, pp 492–498.
21. Dr.Lakshmi T and Rajeshkumar S "In Vitro Evaluation of Anticariogenic Activity of Acacia Catechu against Selected Microbes", *International Research Journal of Multidisciplinary Science & Technology*, Volume No. 3 , Issue No. 3, P.No 20-25, March 2018.
22. Trishala A , Lakshmi T and Rajeshkumar S, " Physicochemical profile of Acacia catechu bark extract –An In vitro study", *International Research Journal of Multidisciplinary Science & Technology*, Volume No. 3 , Issue No. 4, P.No 26-30, April 2018.

