

**A COMPARATIVE STUDY ON THE TOPIC OF APPEAL TO SUPREME COURT-  
INDIA VS WESTERN COUNTRIES**

**<sup>1</sup>AY. Nasaral Wadud**

<sup>1</sup>Saveetha School of Law, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences,  
Saveetha University, Chennai-77, Tamilnadu, India.

**<sup>2</sup>Mrs. V.Udayavani**

<sup>2</sup>Saveetha School of Law, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences,  
Saveetha University, Chennai-77, Tamilnadu, India.

<sup>1</sup>beinpsy95@gmail.com, <sup>2</sup>udayavani.ssl@saveetha.com

**ABSTRACT**

The privilege to advance must be given by statute. Area 9 presents on advance defendant, autonomously of any statute, bid ideal to found interest suit of common nature in advance official courtroom. <sup>1</sup>So he has request ideal to apply for execution of claim announce go to support him, yet he has no privilege to bid from advance pronouncement or request made against him, unless the privilege is plainly presented by statute. Area 96 of the Code gives bid appropriate to prosecutor to advance from a unique declaration. No interest lies against the declaration go by little reason court, if the estimation of the topic does not surpass Rs. 10,000 with the exception of on request question of law. Normally, just interest gathering to the suit unfavorably influenced by offer pronouncement or any of his agents in intrigue may document an interest. Be that as it may, claim individual who isn't bid gathering to the declaration or request may incline toward an interest with leave of the court, on the off chance that he is bound or generally preferentially influenced by such pronouncement or order, as in such a consequence he might be said to be a "distressed individual." The judgment of the redrafting court should

---

<sup>1</sup><http://www.legalserviceindia.com/article/150-Appeals-from-Original-Decrees---A-Comprehensive-Study.html>

express the focuses for assurance, the choice consequently, the reasons fro the choice, and the help to which the litigant is entitled. The re-appraising court should express its own particular reasons; along these lines it isn't sufficient to state in the judgment, 'I agree with the choice of the Munsiff has given on each point.' If this is done, the judgment will be put aside by the High court in second interest. After the judgment is articulated, the announcement will be drawn up.

**KEYWORDS:** appeal, civil, suit, judgment, court

## INTRODUCTION

This article fundamentally concentrates on claim from unique pronouncements made under Section 96 of the Code of Civil Procedure. The First piece of the Project Report manages acquaintance as with what is implied by claim and particularly request from unique pronouncements. The second Part of the Project Report manages the nature and extent of the Section. The third piece of the Project Repot manages the main case laws on appropriate to claim and Doctrine of Merger and in conclusion, the finish of the article. <sup>2</sup>

The articulation bid has not been characterized in the Code of Civil Procedure 1908. It is an application or request of to bid higher Court for are thought of the choice of bid bring down court. It is claim continuing for audit to be completed by request higher specialist of offer choice given by advance lower one. An interest is advance animal of statute and ideal to advance is neither an intrinsic nor common right. <sup>3</sup>

Offer individual abused by claim announce isn't qualified as or appropriate for bid from declare. The privilege to advance must be given by statute.<sup>4</sup> Area 9 presents on advance defendant, autonomously of any statute, bid ideal to found interest suit of common nature in advance official courtroom. So he has request ideal to apply for execution of claim announce go to support him, yet he has no privilege to bid from advance pronouncement or request made

---

<sup>2</sup> <http://ijtr.nic.in/APPELLATE%20JURISDICTION.pdf>

<sup>3</sup> <http://lawcommissionofindia.nic.in/Consultation%20paper%20on%20witness%20identity%20Protection%20and%20witness%20protection%20programmes-%20web%20page.pdf>

<sup>4</sup> [thelawstudy.blogspot.com](http://thelawstudy.blogspot.com) > CPC (Civil Procedure Code)

against him, unless the privilege is plainly presented by statute. Area 96 of the Code gives bid appropriate to prosecutor to advance from a unique declaration. SECTION 100 gives him offer appropriate to claim from a redrafting order in specific cases. SECTION 109 gives him ideal to speak to the Supreme Court in specific cases. Area 104 gives him appropriate to offer from orders as recognized from orde. The sole aim of this paper is to study how to file an appeal from original decree.

### **RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

The methodology used in this study is Doctrinal. It is based on the information and data collected from secondary source. They include publication research ,journals, historical information of both past and present. When a research is concerned with legal problem, issue or question, it is referred to as doctrinal, theoretical or pure legal research. Doctrinal research is a theoretical study where mostly secondary sources of data are used to seek to answer one or two legal propositions or questions or doctrines. Its scope is very narrow and there is no such need of field work.

#### **Problem**

Right to appeal protects both private litigant and justice system as a whole.

#### **Intervention**

Right to appeal protect against wrongful conviction.

#### **Comparison**

To compare right to appeal in India and Western country.

#### **Outcome**

Appeal helps in creation and refinement of law and conviction of error.

#### **Objectives**

1. To study appeal to the Supreme court in constitution matter.
2. To analyze the purpose of appeal process

3. To examine the grounds on which appeal is granted
4. To study how appeal process work
5. To study special leave petition

### **Hypothesis**

#### **Negative Hypothesis:**

Right to appeal what not protect the aggrieved party from miscarriage of justice.

#### **Alternative Hypothesis:**

Right to appeal is a statutory right and it protects the aggrieved party from the miscarriage of justice.

### **SECTION 96 OF CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE**

#### **Appeal from Original decrees**

Bid is given under Section 96 of the CPC, which says that with the exception of as gave in CPC or some other law for timbering in compel, an interest should lie from any declaration go by court practicing Original Jurisdiction to offer Court approved to hear the interest from the choice of the Court i.e.

SECTION 96 influences it to clear that no interest lies from request declare go by the Court with the assent of the gatherings. Be that as it may, an interest may lie fro unique pronouncements which is passed exparte i.e. without becoming aware of the gatherings. No interest lies against the declaration go by little reason court, if the estimation of the topic does not surpass Rs. 10,000 with the exception of on request question of law. <sup>5</sup>Normally, just interest gathering to the suit unfavorably influenced by offer pronouncement or any of his agents in intrigue may document an interest. <sup>6</sup> Be that as it may, claim individual who isn't bid gathering to the declaration or request may incline toward an interest with leave of the court, on the off

---

<sup>5</sup> [www.advocatekhaj.com/.../decrees.php?...Appeal%20From%20Original%20Decrees](http://www.advocatekhaj.com/.../decrees.php?...Appeal%20From%20Original%20Decrees)

<sup>6</sup> [http://shodhganga.inflibnet.ac.in/bitstream/10603/8788/11/11\\_chapter%202.pdf](http://shodhganga.inflibnet.ac.in/bitstream/10603/8788/11/11_chapter%202.pdf)

chance that he is bound or generally preferentially influenced by such pronouncement or order, as in such a consequence he might be said to be a "distressed individual."<sup>7</sup>

### **Right To Appeal: A Statutory And Substantive Right**

Ideal to advance is statutory and substantive right. It isn't only interest procedural right. Statutory right means must be presented by statute unless it gives there won't be any privilege to advance. While ideal to establish a suit isn't presented by law. The privilege is characteristic. Be that as it may, appropriate to bid must be presented by request statute. Where statute accommodates ideal to request, it might constitute advance hardware where should the interest lie. While the same isn't valid for appropriate to sue. A common suit must be documented subject to state of locale. An interest is bid substantive right. Appropriate to advance can't be taken retrospectively on the grounds that general administer of particular elucidation. Substantive law works tentatively unless an express statute gives so.<sup>8</sup>

### **When does right to appeal to appeal accrues to any person?**

When judgment is articulated against party, ideal to bid emerges. Ideal to request doesn't emerge when unfavorable choice is given, yet on the day suit is organized i.e. procedures started, ideal to bid get presented. Along these lines, it can be said the Right to request is advance substantive right vested in parties from the date suit established. The privilege to advance can be postponed by a gathering under a lawful and substantial assention, and if a gathering has acknowledged the advantages under the announcement, he is ceased from testing its lawfulness. The privilege to bid likewise stands obliterated if the court to which offer falsehoods is nullified out and out with no gathering being substituted in its place.

The Court hearing the interest, has the ability to implead a man as respondent who has not been so impleaded where it appears to the court that he might be a man and keen on the consequence of the interest.

---

<sup>7</sup><https://www.quora.com/What-is-the-meaning-of-first-appeal-and-second-appeal-in-court-terminology-What-is-the-difference-between-them>

<sup>8</sup> <https://www.scribd.com/document/343805621/Appeal-Under-CPC>

**Appeal from final decree when no appeal from preliminary decrees**

In situations where preparatory and last declaration are required to be passed, and if a gathering abused by preparatory announcement does not lean toward an interest, he can't be allowed to raise debate about accuracy of such pronouncement in any interest against conclusive declaration.<sup>9</sup>

An interest is a constitution of procedures. The re-appraising court can reconsider inquiries of reality and law and May even re-acknowledge prove. The forces of the main redrafting court are co-broad with those of the common court of unique ward. In any case, there might be sure deliberate restrictions in the activity of such powers. Be that as it may they are optional and Do not shackle ward of the courts. Not at all like modification or audit where restricted grounds of impedance are accessible, the redrafting procedures offer a significantly more extensive degree in choosing about accuracy of the judgments of the courts underneath. To start with claim might be recorded on an issue of reality or on an issue of law or on a blended inquiry of actuality and law may emerge for a situation. In deciding the re-appraising gathering, the estimation of the topic of the suit is material and not the claim in request.<sup>10</sup>

The judgment of the redrafting court should express the focuses for assurance, the choice consequently, the reasons from the choice, and the help to which the litigant is entitled. The re-appraising court should express its own particular reasons; along these lines it isn't sufficient to state in the judgment, "I agree with the choice of the Munsiff has given on each point.' If this is done, the judgment will be put aside by the High court in second interest. After the judgment is articulated, the announcement will be drawn up.<sup>11</sup>

---

<sup>9</sup> <https://www.nls.ac.in/lib/bareacts/civil/cpc/cpc8.html>

<sup>10</sup> <https://www.slideshare.net/...leo/appeals-unbder-code-of-civil-procedure-india-1908>

<sup>11</sup> <https://www.2thepoint.in/witness-protection-law-india-brief/>

**Who can appeal?**

1. Any gathering to the suit, who is unfavorably influenced by the pronouncement or the transferee of enthusiasm of such gathering has been antagonistically influenced by the declaration gave his name was gone into record of suit. <sup>12</sup>
2. An sale buyer from a request in execution of a declaration to set aside the same on the grounds of extortion.
3. Any man who is bound by the declaration and pronouncement would work res judicata against him.

**Kaleidoscope India Pvt. Ltd. v. Phoolan Devi AIR 1995 Delhi 316**

For this situation, the Trial Court judge restricted the presentation of film both in India and abroad. Session Judge allowed the presentation of film in abroad. In this manner, a gathering who moved in claim did not have locus standi. It was switched by division seat saying that its not legitimate with respect to judge as he engaged the suit on which party has no locus standi.

**LAW OF APPEAL IN WESTERN COUNTRIES :**

In Germany the Bundesgerichtshof (Federal Court of Justice) is concerned primarily with a unified interpretation of the law, and there is a separate Bundesverfassungsgericht (Federal Constitutional Court) to deal with constitutional questions. The court of appeals (Oberlandesgericht) retries cases both on issues of law and fact in civil matters and on issues of law only in criminal matters. The Supreme Court of the United States hears appeals on fact, interpretation, constitutional cases from lower federal courts, and appeals from state courts concerning issues of federal law. In England appeals on matters of fact in some instances go to different courts than do those on matters of law. The Supreme Court is the final court of appeal. The Supreme Court of Japan serves as a final appeals court on questions of fact, law, and constitutional compatibility.

---

<sup>12</sup> [www.legalblog.in/2011/01/second-appeal-under-code-of-civil.html](http://www.legalblog.in/2011/01/second-appeal-under-code-of-civil.html)

As a practical and legal matter, only the party aggrieved by an order or judgment is entitled to seek a review in the appellate court. Neither an outsider nor the party who prevailed in the case is permitted to seek a review of the decision by a higher court. However, when persons not originally parties to the action have been permitted to intervene or have been represented by others, as in class actions, they generally have the same rights of appeal as the original parties. There are few jurisdictions in which an appeal on a verdict of acquittal in a criminal case is allowed.

Orders and judgments of trial courts may be divided into two categories for the purposes of appeal: final and interlocutory. A final judgment is one that brings an end to litigation and leaves nothing but the execution of the judgment. In the course of a trial, however, a court is required to enter decisions that settle only subsidiary questions or some but not all of the ultimate issues. These decisions are regarded as interlocutory decrees. Although all jurisdictions sanction appeals from final judgments, appeals from interlocutory decrees are far less permissible.

An appeal serves two basic functions. Its first and primary function is to ensure the litigants that justice under law has been accorded in the resolution of a specific controversy. The second function is the promulgation of rules of decision that will be binding on all lower courts within a judicial system and thus ensure uniformity of treatment and some measure of certainty and guidance to those whose actions bring them within the scope of the rule.

## CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS

The articulation bid has not been characterized in the Code of Civil Procedure 1908. It is an application or request of to claim higher Court for are thought of the choice of offer lower court. It is advance continuing for audit to be done by offer higher expert of advance choice given by advance lower one. In request is claim animal of statute and appropriate to bid is neither an intrinsic nor common right.<sup>13</sup>

---

<sup>13</sup> State of Punjab v. Amar Singh AIR 1974 SC 994



Advance individual bothered by advance pronouncement isn't qualified as or ideal for bid from announce. The privilege to offer must be given by statute. SECTION 9 gives on request prosecutor, freely of any statute, offer ideal to organize claim suit of common nature in bid official courtroom. So he has claim ideal to apply for execution of request proclaim go to support him, however he has no privilege to bid from advance announcement or request made against him, unless the privilege is plainly given by statute. SECTION 96 of the Code gives claim appropriate to defendant to advance from a unique pronouncement. Area 100 gives him offer appropriate to request from a re-appraising declaration in specific cases. Area 109 gives him appropriate to engage the Supreme Court in specific cases. SECTION 104 gives him ideal to claim from orders as recognized from orders.<sup>14</sup>

When judgment is articulated against party, appropriate to bid emerges. Ideal to bid doesn't emerge when antagonistic choice is given, however on the day suit is organized i.e. procedures started, ideal to offer get presented. In this way, it can be said the Right to request is offer substantive right vested in parties from the date suit initiated<sup>22</sup>.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. (aDvantage n.d.)
2. (“[No Title]” n.d.)
3. thelawstudy.blogspot.com > CPC (Civil Procedure Code)
4. www.advocatekhoj.com/.../decrees.php?...Appeal%20From%20Original%20Decrees(“Website” n.d.)
5. [https://www.quora.com/What-is-the-meaning-of-first-appeal-and-second-appeal-in-court-terminology-What-is-the-difference-between-them\(“What Is the Meaning of First Appeal and Second Appeal in Court Terminology? What Is the Difference between Them?” n.d.\)](https://www.quora.com/What-is-the-meaning-of-first-appeal-and-second-appeal-in-court-terminology-What-is-the-difference-between-them(“What Is the Meaning of First Appeal and Second Appeal in Court Terminology? What Is the Difference between Them?” n.d.))
6. [https://www.scribd.com/document/343805621/Appeal-Under-CPC\(“Appeal Under CPC | Appeal | Supreme Courts” n.d.\)](https://www.scribd.com/document/343805621/Appeal-Under-CPC(“Appeal Under CPC | Appeal | Supreme Courts” n.d.))

---

<sup>14</sup> 8 Gopal Krishna v. Meenakshi AIR 1967 SC 155

7. <https://www.nls.ac.in/lib/bareacts/civil/cpc/cpc8.html>("THE CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE, 1908" n.d.)
8. ("Website" n.d.)
9. ("Second Appeal under the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 : Scope" n.d.)
10. ("[No Title]" n.d.)
11. [tilakmarg.com/.../time-limitation-to-file-regular-second-appeal-in-civil-case-in-high-c...](http://tilakmarg.com/.../time-limitation-to-file-regular-second-appeal-in-civil-case-in-high-c...)
12. [www.shareyouressays.com/knowledge/legal-provisions...appeals.../114349](http://www.shareyouressays.com/knowledge/legal-provisions...appeals.../114349)("Legal Provisions of Section 100 of Code of Civil Procedure 1908, (C.P.C.), India - Appeals from Appellate Decrees" 2013)
13. Chamber' Section 20th Century Dictionary, 1997, property 59(Kayden, n.d.)
14. Sita Ram V. State of U.P. AIR 1979 SC 745("Website" n.d.)
15. State of Punjab v. Amar Singh AIR 1974 SC 994("Website" n.d.)
16. Manik Chandra Nandy v. Debdas nandy & Others AIR 1986 SC 446("Website" n.d.)
17. Gopal Krishna v. Meenakshi AIR 1967 SC 155("Website" n.d.)
18. V.R.Manohar and W.W.Chitley, The AIR Manual, pg. No. 192
19. ("[No Title]" n.d.)
20. ("NEED FOR WITNESS PROTECTION AND ITS LAW IN INDIA: LEGISLATIVE AND JUDICIAL TRENDS" 2017)
21. (Team 2017)
22. ("[No Title]" n.d.)
23. ("[No Title]" n.d.)
24. ("[No Title]" n.d.)
25. Dr.Lakshmi T and Rajeshkumar S "In Vitro Evaluation of Anticariogenic Activity of Acacia Catechu against Selected Microbes", International Research Journal of Multidisciplinary Science & Technology, Volume No. 3 , Issue No. 3, P.No 20-25, March 2018.
26. Trishala A , Lakshmi T and Rajeshkumar S," Physicochemical profile of Acacia catechu bark extract –An In vitro study", International Research Journal of Multidisciplinary Science & Technology, Volume No. 3 , Issue No. 4, P.No 26-30, April 2018.

## PAPERPILE CITATIONS:

1. aDvantage. n.d. "Appeals from Original Decrees - Section 96." Accessed June 14, 2018.  
<http://www.legalserviceindia.com/article/150-Appeals-from-Original-Decrees---A-Comprehensive-Study.html>.
2. "Appeal Under CPC | Appeal | Supreme Courts." n.d. Scribd. Accessed June 14, 2018.  
<https://www.scribd.com/document/343805621/Appeal-Under-CPC>.
3. Kayden, Jerold S. n.d. "Charting the Constitutional Course of Private Property: Learning from the 20th Century." *Private Property in the 21st Century*.  
<https://doi.org/10.4337/9781781008461.00011>.
4. "Legal Provisions of Section 100 of Code of Civil Procedure 1908, (C.P.C.), India - Appeals from Appellate Decrees." 2013. World's Largest Collection of Essays! Published by Experts. February 13, 2013.  
<http://www.shareyouressays.com/knowledge/legal-provisions-of-section-100-of-code-of-civil-procedure-1908-c-p-c-india-appeals-from-appellate-decrees/114349>.
5. "NEED FOR WITNESS PROTECTION AND ITS LAW IN INDIA: LEGISLATIVE AND JUDICIAL TRENDS." 2017. JURISEDGE. January 11, 2017.  
<http://www.jurisedge.com/need-witness-protection-its-law-india-legislative-judicial-trends/>.
6. "[No Title]." n.d. Accessed June 14, 2018a.  
<http://ijtr.nic.in/APPELLATE%20JURISDICTION.pdf>.
7. ———. n.d. Accessed June 14, 2018b.  
[http://www.supremecourtcases.com/index2.php?option=com\\_content&itemid=54&do\\_pdf=1&id=260](http://www.supremecourtcases.com/index2.php?option=com_content&itemid=54&do_pdf=1&id=260).
8. ———. n.d. Accessed June 14, 2018c.  
<http://lawcommissionofindia.nic.in/reports/rep198.pdf>.
9. ———. n.d. Accessed June 14, 2018d.  
[http://shodhganga.inflibnet.ac.in/bitstream/10603/8788/12/12\\_chapter%203.pdf](http://shodhganga.inflibnet.ac.in/bitstream/10603/8788/12/12_chapter%203.pdf).
10. ———. n.d. Accessed June 14, 2018e.  
[http://shodhganga.inflibnet.ac.in/bitstream/10603/8788/11/11\\_chapter%202.pdf](http://shodhganga.inflibnet.ac.in/bitstream/10603/8788/11/11_chapter%202.pdf).
11. ———. n.d. Accessed June 14, 2018f.  
<http://lawcommissionofindia.nic.in/Consultation%20paper%20on%20witness%20identity>

- %20Protection%20and%20witness%20protection%20programmes-%20web%20page.pdf.
12. "Second Appeal under the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 : Scope." n.d. Accessed June 14, 2018. <http://www.legalblog.in/2011/01/second-appeal-under-code-of-civil.html>.
  13. Team. 2017. "Witness Protection Law in India : A Brief - 2thepoint." 2thepoint. November 15, 2017. <https://www.2thepoint.in/witness-protection-law-india-brief/>.
  14. "THE CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE, 1908." n.d. Accessed June 14, 2018. <https://www.nls.ac.in/lib/bareacts/civil/cpc/cpc8.html>.
  15. "Website." n.d. Accessed June 14, 2018a. [www.advocatekhoj.com/.../decrees.php?...Appeal%20From%20Original%20Decreases](http://www.advocatekhoj.com/.../decrees.php?...Appeal%20From%20Original%20Decreases).
  16. ———. n.d. Accessed June 14, 2018b. <https://www.slideshare.net/...leo/appeals-unbder-code-of-civil-procedure-india-1908>.
  17. ———. n.d. Accessed June 14, 2018c. <https://indiankanoon.org/doc/1594547/>.
  18. ———. n.d. Accessed June 14, 2018d. <https://indiankanoon.org/doc/265262/>.
  19. ———. n.d. Accessed June 14, 2018e. <https://indiankanoon.org/doc/1281857/>.
  20. ———. n.d. Accessed June 14, 2018f. <https://indiankanoon.org/doc/199284/>.
  21. "What Is the Meaning of First Appeal and Second Appeal in Court Terminology? What Is the Difference between Them?" n.d. Quora. Accessed June 14, 2018. <https://www.quora.com/What-is-the-meaning-of-first-appeal-and-second-appeal-in-court-terminology-What-is-the-difference-between-them>.



