

## ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNALS UNDER INDIAN CONSTITUTION

<sup>1</sup>S. SARAN

<sup>1</sup> BBA.LLB(Hons) , Saveetha School Of Law , Saveetha Medical And Technical Sciences  
Saveetha University, Chennai-77,Tamilnadu,India.

<sup>2</sup>R. DHIVYA

<sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor, Saveetha School Of Law , Saveetha Medical And Technical Sciences  
,Saveetha University, Chennai-77,Tamilnadu,India.

<sup>1</sup>sarandxr8@gmail.com , <sup>2</sup>divyar.ssl@saveetha.com

### ABSTRACT:

Administrative tribunals are constituted with amendment to Articles 323A and 323B of the Indian Constitution. These are constituted to exclusively deal with service matters of the civil servants. However, Administrative Tribunal is a substitute to High Court. These tribunals are quasi-judicial in nature but assigned with adjudicate the matters referred before them. It is a sign of welfare state. As many tribunals are working today, regulatory mechanism is very much needed. The tribunals are established to avoid regular court approach by civil servants. The only strict restriction imposed on them is to follow Principles of Natural Justice, but the tribunals started to give their own construction to interpret the Principles of Natural Justice. This is because there are no settled definite principles to say these are the fundamental principles of Natural Justice. Administrative tribunals are specialised governmental agencies established under federal or provincial legislation to implement legislative policy. Some public boards and public decision makers also have had powers of decision making conferred upon them by statute. Such powers of decision making are conferred upon administrative tribunals, boards or other decision makers in order to provide a more expeditious, less formal and sometimes less expensive method (than the courts) for resolving certain types of disputes or issues. Administrative tribunals also aims to provide a forum in which complex issues can be decided by adjudicators with expertise in the particular field.

**Keywords:** Administrative tribunal, Indian Constitution, Quasi-judicial, Natural justice, fundamental principle.

### **INTRODUCTION:**

By giving up the traditional theory of 'laissez faire' and the police state, now the state has become not only a welfare state but more so a progressive democratic state. As a result, state started to seek the social security and social welfare for the common masses. According to Servai, the development of administrative law in a welfare state has made, administrative. Moreover, the modern government forced to employ a large work to carry out its diverse activities. The employed persons in the government today are better educated and more aware of their rights even if they are not in equal measures, aware of their duties and obligations. Even those not so well educated have become politically aware enough to be increasingly insistent on their rights. The issues arising out of this relation are not purely legal issues. Hence, the ordinary course of law failed to deal with all this kind of socio-economic problems. To meet such requirement, the governments in different countries are assigned this judicial type of function to tribunals which have been created under different statutes. India is one among them. (Gupta 1985)

Hence, tribunals play a very important role and tribunals have been increasing since from 1947 especially after 1976. The 42nd Amendment Act of Indian Constitution inserted Art. 323A and Art. 323B. Tribunals function differently from courts, from the manner of appointment to the procedure followed, yet they seek to achieve the same objective as that of courts- to deliver justice. In this light, the paper aims to analyze the tribunal system in India. (Gupta 1985; Sharma 2003)

### **Meaning and Definition –**

Tribunals can be called as "Judgment seat or court of justice or board or committee appointed to adjudicate on claims of a particular kind". Meaning of the tribunal can be gathered from the various Supreme Court authorities. Therefore, they are adjudicatory bodies (except ordinary courts of law) constituted by the State and entrusted with judicial and quasi-judicial functions as distinguished from administrative or executive functions.

In accordance with the Indian judiciary they are the bodies must maintain procedural safeguards while arriving at their decisions and observe principles of natural justice-their opinions were substantiated by the 14th Law Commission Report.

**Aim of the study:**

To know about the administrative tribunals in Indian Constitution and its governings.

**REVIEW OF LITERATURE :**

1. Welfare nature of the government is the transformative objective of presumably every sort of government nowadays in the contemporary world. a thorough research was finished utilizing auxiliary sources from books articles and over the internet. This has been directed to more litigation limitations on the flexibility of the people and consistent grindings amongst them and the authority.(Jha 2012)

2. The essential question of the administrative law is to keep forces of the Government inside their legal bounds in order to secure the subjects against their manhandle. the status of such court are perceived in the constitution of India.(Samanta 2007)

3.The governing of law is the traditional standard of the administrative law of the Dicey's administer of law and it will be the incongruity additionally is that the control of law is currently a vital piece of present day administrative law it is gone about as hindrance improvement of administrative law.the standards of the Dicey's manage of law and present day administrative law.(Ranjan 2010)

4.Inquirers who come to administrative tribunal in Canada .this paper investigates the structure and methods of reasoning behind onatorio's new tribunal groups and contrasts these and the change models in australia and U.K. the creators contend that tribunal groups offer an adaptable approach and as the nature of basic leadership.(Sossin and Baxter 2012)

5.This paper investigates how much administrative Tribunals in the U.K. which are embracing the tribunals hearings as recognized from conventional ill-disposed methodology and it will

likewise and it will also examine 2 particular tribunal system social security and immigration to be in a specific tribunal frameworks and this paper will recommends that the UK Tribunal framework.(Thomas 2012)

6.The enactment of administrative Tribunals act 1985 of the article 323-A of the Indian constitution of the administrative Tribunals should lie before the division seat of the High court and the target of tribunal is to accommodate the quick and cheap equity to the disputants.(“Website” n.d.);<https://www.archive.India.gov.in>)

7.Administrative Tribunals which are being particular by the legislature and actualized authoritative approach additionally the forces of the choice making.No particular arrangement of Tenets which is unwritten tribunal strategy or practice which is customary law procedural standards and the regular equity which is the Fairness.(“Lexology” n.d.)

8. Administrative tribunal is the 42nd correction act,1976 of the part XIV - An Article 323-A, And Article 323-B and the administrative Tribunal is having an advisory group called Swaran Singh Board of trustees and Article 323-An arrangements both Parliament and State Council can make laws on the issues of Article 323-B which is Liable to their authoritative capability.(“Prepare IAS Coaching Gومتinagar Lucknow” n.d.)

9. The SC watched that however the tribunals are clad in numerous things of the trappings of the court which isn't undeniable court and which will choose the discussions between the gatherings practices legal forces is having a portion of the trappings of a court yet not all.(Thakker and Thakker 2017) lectures on administrative law).

10. The high court held that the tribunal had suggested the power to concede such help when it is immovably settled standards a communicated allow and it will be a statutory power conveys. it is ramifications of the authority is utilized for all authority to utilize all the reasonableness intends to make a such concede viable.(Thakker and Thakker 2017)7 lectures on administrative law)

11. The inquiry was whether the central administration tribunal has the purview when it cases of the respondents on the easygoing premise in the workplace of the central railroad whose administrations were ended the cure of the respondents was before the tribunal and not the High court which has the ward which has been taken.(Thakker and Thakker 2017) lectures on administrative law.

12. A division seat of the Supreme court communicated the view that the choice rendered by a 5 judge constitution seat and in the light of the assessment of the division seat on the issue which is put before a bigger seat of the 7 judges.(Thakker and Thakker 2017) lectures on administrative law).

13. An administrative capacities which have been expanded by the conventional hypothesis to the debate in the selective ward in the customary courtrooms in the truth and it the same number of legal elements of the financial and it as different semi - legal issues in the place of normal official courtrooms.(Thakker and Thakker 2017) lectures on administrative law).

14. judge seat of the preeminent court which has been held that can be administrative tribunal which can be separated from everyone else it isn't skillful to hear the chosen cases.(“Website” n.d.)

15. The apex court which as been watched that any of the issue including inquiry of law and the interpretation of the protected arrangements that ought to be appointed to a 2 member bench.(“Website” n.d.)

16. One of the Manifold purposes for the foundation of the administrative tribunal is the agreement of the justice which is the time compelling and furthermore the practical way in the great degree which is to maintain a strategic distance from the procedural simplicity and adjudicate it is the fundamental principles of the natural principles.(“Website” n.d.)

17. The real impacts which is coordinated by the creator which is towards by the understanding the administrative tribunals act,1985 in the length of the creator talks about the protected

legitimacy of a similar it will tries to clarify the working of the administrative tribunal which will be adjudicated upon the focal administrative tribunal.(Massey 2008):administrative law)

18. The development of Tribunal framework in Australia which is the tribunal framework in australia it is unduly compelled by the lawful ideal models of the developmental years of the administrative interests tribunals of the lawful strategy versus official technique.(Corporatename=australian Capital Territory et al. 2016)

19. A typical element of the commitments which is to the writing on tribunals watching the term tribunals on the AAT Which isn't the court on the linguistic flimsiness in portrayals of the AAT which is Significant.(Thomas 2011))

20. The protected and administrative law which oversee that the issues of the state because of absences of its clearness on the administrative demonstration whether the statutory or the non-statutory on the administrative law in the nation like the USA or India.(“Law Teacher | LawTeacher.net” n.d.)

### **RESEARCH QUESTION**

Whether administrative tribunals are effectively resolving the disputes?

### **OBJECTIVES**

- To know about characteristic of administrative tribunals
- To study about the expensive and limits to the government servants in service matter.
- To find the importance of administrative tribunal.

### **HYPOTHESIS**

- **NULL HYPOTHESIS** - The unsatisfactory position of law is pertaining to tribunals
- **ALTERNATIVE HYPOTHESIS** - the satisfactory position of law pertaining to tribunals.

**RESEARCH METHODOLOGY:**

- Doctrinal Research has been attempted in this paper.
- Secondary Sources have been used in this paper.
- Reference books and E-sources have been considered for research purpose.

**RESULT OF THE STUDY:**

The aim of the study to know about the administrative tribunals in Indian Constitution and its governings. It concludes its by knowing the Tribunal system in India.

**ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL**

The tribunals were established with the object of providing a speedy, cheap and decentralised determination of disputes arising out of the various welfare legislations and to address specifically cases come out of new socio-economic legislations. The word 'tribunal' takes its origin from the Latin term tribunes which means "a raised platform with the seat of judge, who elected by the pleas of protect their interests. According to Oxford Dictionary, the tribunal means "Judgment Seat or "a Court of justice.(Khare 2009)

According to Oxford Companion of law "any person or body of persons having to judge, adjudicate on or determine claims or dispute. Prof. Balram Gupta says that if an authority is to be considered as tribunal it must be constituted by the state invested with certain functions of the judicial powers of the state. Prof, M.P. Jain says that a body, besides being under a duty to act judiciary, should be one which has been constituted by and invested with a part of the judicial functions of the state.

Basu says that 'tribunal' is used in juxtaposition with the word 'code' and refers to the quasi-al tribunals excluding courts which have the trappings of a court. Administrative tribunals are particularly associated with the administration and their decision are administrative. But it is not significantly true but it is true to the extent of their concern with schemes in which the administration has an interest. Further, it is found in the majority of the cases that decisions of the administrative tribunals are more judicial in nature as there is a demand to apply rules

impartially without leaning towards their executive polity. There is no specific definition for “Administrative Tribunals” in the Constitution of India.(Khare 2009; Austin 2003)

However, Articles 227 and 136 of the Constitution of India provide only the word “tribunal” and nothing more. As there is no precise or scientific form of definition for tribunal, we should divert our concentration on the Supreme Court for its views regarding the tribunals by referring to certain case laws. In *Durga Shankar Mehta v/s Raghuraj Singh* the Supreme Court expressed that ‘Tribunal’ as used in Article 136 does not mean the same thing as ‘court’ but includes within its ambit, all adjudicating bodies provided they are constituted by the state and invested with judicial as distinguished from administrative or executive functions.

In *Bharat Bank Ltd. v/s Employees*, the Supreme Court observed that though tribunals are clad in many of the trappings of court and though they exercise quasi-judicial functions, they are not full-fledged court. In *Associated cement companies Ltd. v/s P.N. Sharma*, the Supreme Court concluded about the tribunal as that it is an adjudicating body which decides controversies between the parties and exercises judicial powers as distinguished from purely administrative functions and the possesses some of the trappings of a court, but not all.(Villalpando 2017)

However, there is basis test within Article 136 or 226 for tribunals that –

- a. It is an adjudicating authority other than the court.
- b. The power of adjudicating must be derived from a statute or a statutory rule.
- c. The power of adjudicating must not be derived from an agreement between the parties.

S.N. Jain defines the tribunal, as “the work is a name given to various types of administrative bodies. The only common element running through these bodies is that they are quasi-judicial and are required to observe principles of natural justice or fair hearing while determining issues”. So, it can finally be defined as a judicial body not being an ordinary court that functions on constitutional mandate or under statutory empowerment performing judicially quasi-judicially as the arm of judicial system with a repository or expertise a unique to its nature.



### Characteristics of Administrative Tribunals -

The following are the characteristic of an administrative tribunal:

1. An Administrative tribunal has statutory origin as it is creature of statute;
2. It has the get –up of a court with having a some of the trapping of a court but not all;
3. It performs quasi-judicial functions as it is entrusted with judicial powers of the State which is distinguished from pure administrative or executive functions;
4. It is a self-styled entity within the ambit of the Act regarding rigid procedures. It means it is not bound by the strict rules which should be followed by the court i.e. rules of evidence;
5. In some aspects of procedural matters such as to summon witnesses, to administer oath, to compel production of documents etc. it has possessed power as of the court;
6. Tough the discretion is conferred on them, it is to be exercised objectively and judicially. It means that most of its decision is recorded the finding of facts objectively and apply the law without regard to executive policy.
7. It is confined exclusively to resolve the disputes/cases in which government is a party but often it moves to decide the disputes between two private parties for example Election tribunal, Rent Control Board;
8. It enjoys independent states free from any administrative interference in the discharge of their judicial or quasi-judicial functions;
9. The prerogative writs of certiorari and prohibition are available against the decisions of administrative tribunals.<sup>19</sup>Hence tribunal cannot dispose the matters as final arbitrator;
10. IT should act without any bias;
11. Once the issues settled by the High Court cannot be entertained by the administrative tribunal;
12. It is perpetual in nature and tribunal have been established specially to deal with a particular type of case or with a number of closely related types of cases.

**Importance of Administrative Tribunal –**

The reasons why parliament increasingly confers powers of adjudication on special tribunals rather than on the ordinary courts may be stated positively as showing the greater suitability of such tribunals, or negatively as showing the inadequacy of the ordinary courts for the particular kind of work that has to be done.

The growth of administrative decision making was the need to explore new public law standards based on moral and social principles away from the highly individualistic norms developed by the courts. Realising their limitation, the Supreme Court once said that leaving such technical matters to the decision of the court is like giving surgery to a barber and medicine to an astrologer. (O'Neil, n.d.)

An even more important practical reason for the growth of tribunals was the desire to provide a system of adjudication, which was informal, cheap and rapid. Litigation before a court of law is not only time consuming but is a luxury for the rich man. The reasons why parliament increasingly creates tribunals may be the ordinary courts are already over burden with work, their procedures is technical and costs are prohibitive and questions arising out of a social or industrial legislation are better decided by persons who have an intimate and specialised knowledge of the working of that Act. Hence for a government, this has taken on ambitious and massive plans of public health, education, planning, social security, transport, agriculture, industrialisation, national assistance. It is impossible to carry out these programs and determine legal questions involved therein with the assistance of the law courts because of their highly individualistic and ritualistic approach. No intensive form of government can function without a decision making system of its own. (Hulsroj, n.d.)

Therefore, administrative decision making through administrative tribunals is inevitable and essential. The Administrative Tribunal can adjudicate on the matters: levy, assessment, collection and enforcement of any tax; foreign exchange, import and export across customs frontiers; industrial and labour disputes; land reforms by way of acquisition by the State of any estate as defined in Article 31A or of any rights therein or the extinguishment or modification of any such

rights or by way of ceiling on agricultural land or in any other way; ceiling on urban property; elections to either House of Parliament or the House or either House of the Legislature of a State, but excluding the matters referred to in Article 329 and Article 329A; production, procurement, supply and distribution of foodstuffs (including edible oilseeds and oils) and such other goods as the President may, by public notification, declare to be essential goods for the purpose of this article and control of prices of such goods; any matter incidental to any of the above specified matter. (Cane 2009)

### **Objectives of the administrative tribunals -**

Administrative tribunals constituted with few objectives:

- a. To provide for a forum to deal exclusively with service matters which off loaded the burden of the cases of High Court from their jurisdiction;
- b. To provide inexpensive and speedy relief to government servants in service matters;
- c. To provide special powers to the tribunals to make their own special powers and procedures and not be guided by the Civil Procedure Code or the Law of Evidence but to work according to rules of natural justice.
- d. As far as creation of tribunals is concerned constitution is silent. No express provision in the Constitution, as it stood originally, provides for the establishment of tribunals. However, Articles 262(2) and 263(1) are important in this regard. (Kagzi and Saharay 2014)

- Article 262(2) provides for the creation of tribunal to adjudicate the disputes relating to water of interstate rivers or valleys.
- Article 263 (1) provides for creation of council charged with the duty of inquiry into the disputes between states. Apart from these two Articles, the creation of tribunals is implied in the Articles 136, 226 and 227 of the Constitution as the term 'tribunal' is used in these Articles. However, forty second Constitutional Amendment expressed the provision for the creation of tribunals. This Amendment opened the possibility for the proliferation of the tribunals system in the country.

- Article 323A empowers the parliament to establish service tribunals, which will deal with the service matters i.e., recruitment, conditions of service of persons appointed to public services and posts in connection with the affairs of the Union or any State or any local or other authority in India or under the control or owned by the government and Article 323B empowers the appropriate legislature to provide the law, for adjudication or trial by tribunals of any disputes and offences with respect to several matters.
- Further the Article 323B is wide amplitude and it provides that tribunals may try certain criminal offences also. In 1985, Parliament passed the Administrative Tribunals Act in pursuant of Article 323 A of the Constitution.
- And under Article 323B parliament and state legislatures are passing law from time to time which provided for the creation of tribunals. The work assigned to the tribunal is very complex in nature. It requires qualified and experienced members to the adjudication of the subject matters.

Hence the chairman must come from judiciary with an experience of adjudication to his credit. He must be legally qualified person because he only can apply statute law or case law to complex situations other members of the tribunal shall have the sound professional knowledge and practical experience of the service matters. So they are to be senior executive officers who are men of character, integrity and having best ability.

Each tribunal shall consist of chairman, Vice chairman and judicial and administrative members in such number as the appropriate government may deem fit. The qualifications are fixed by the President of India after consulting Chief Justice of India and for their members' consultation with the Government of the concerned State i.e. in case of State Administrative Tribunal or joint Administrative Tribunal will be made. The chairman of tribunal has been given the exclusive power to constitute bench. He may transfer the vice chairman or other member from one bench to another. He can constitute a bench composed of more than two members and also single member bench.

**Tribunal is not a substitute for High Court**

The tribunals empowered to adjudicate disputes and entertain complaints with respect to service matters. All other courts except Supreme Court are barred to entertain these cases. Therefore, tribunals do enjoy the same status or are at par with High Court. But a tribunal will not have power to issue writ as power is not given to them. (Kumar 1986)

The Supreme Court in S.P. Sampath Kumar's case<sup>24</sup> declared that the tribunal is the substitute of High Court and is entitled to exercise the power thereof. The position emerges that the High Court and tribunals are not rival institutions. The tribunals are apart of the jurisdiction of High Court i.e., relating to service matters an appeal can not lay within the High Court against the order or judgment and as a matter of right before the Supreme Court. But Supreme Court can entertain appeal in the exercise of its extraordinary jurisdiction under Article 136. Hence, the tribunal's decision is made appealable within the tribunal itself before a large bench as an ordinary employee cannot be accepted to afford the cost of litigation in the Supreme Court, which may sometimes result in the denial of his right to seek justice.

But in Chandrakumar v/s Union of India case<sup>25</sup>, the Supreme Court reversed its earlier judgment and ruled that power of judiciary vested in the Supreme Court and High courts is constituted part of the basic structure of the constitution and could not be taken away.

Now the tribunals are allowed to function as courts of first instance subject to the jurisdiction of High Courts. This downgraded the role of tribunals from the substantial role to supplemental role.

There is a condition to invoke tribunals to a civil servant that he should have availed to him under the service rules and he should have locus standi in the subject matter. The Government of India has framed rules for filing an application before Administrative Tribunal that it shall be presented in Form 1 by the applicant in person or by an agent or by a duly authorized advocate to the Registrar or an other officer authorized by the Registrar to received the applications or sent by registered post with acknowledgement only addressed to the Registrar.

After the application has been filed, the Registrar or the officer authorized by Registrar shall endorse the date on which it is presented for deemed to have been presented and sign the endorsement. In the scrutiny, any irregularity is found in the application the Registrar may allow the parties to remove in presence. Otherwise he may refuse to register such application with reasons recorded in writing an appeal against the order of Registrar will be filed within fifteen days of such order. Tribunal empowers to regulate its own procedure including fixing of places and times of its enquiry and deciding whether to sit in public or private place.(Endicott 2015)

The tribunal can admit evidence, in lieu of any originals document, a copy attested by a gazette of officer. It can avoid oral evidence and evidence on affidavits. No evidence will be taken in the absence of both the parties and hearing will commence when both the parties present. The person who is aggrieved by an order of the government or its agencies can approach the tribunal within a period of one year from the date on which the delinquent official was penalized and this representation has to be disposed of within the period of six months.

However, delay can be condoned by the tribunal if it is satisfied with sufficient cause. The tribunal shall follow the principles of natural justice. It is empowered to review its own decision and may reject the application of review if it is satisfied that there is no sufficient ground for it such rejected application of review is not appealable. It excludes the jurisdiction of other courts but subject to the writ jurisdiction of High Court and Jurisdiction of Supreme Court under Article-136. (Nayak 1989)

The grounds for Supreme Court to interfere with the findings are:

- The tribunal has acted in excess of jurisdiction or has failed to exercise apparent jurisdiction.
- It has acted illegally. There is an error of law.
- The order of it is erroneous or has approached the question in a manner liable to result in injustice.
- It has acted against the principles of natural justice.
- No civil servant is to be dismissed or removed without a departmental enquiry.

The tribunal has the power of judicial review for the validity of such disciplinary proceeding but power is limited as it cannot change the decision. However, the Supreme Court under equitable jurisdiction under Article 136 enjoys the power to change such decision or opinion of the disciplinary proceedings. For the proper implementation of welfare schemes the tribunals were found to be essential and inevitable. Thus, the tribunal system cannot be inconsistent with rule of law in fact they have become the agencies for ensuring rule of law. Before excluding the power of the High Courts under Articles 226 and 227 over administrative tribunals, a direct access is in fact not provided under Article 136, because the Supreme Court will grant special leave only in special cases. (Takwani and Thakker 2008)

The result is that of the closure of the doors of judiciary in certain matters. The result is that of the closure of the doors of judiciary in certain matters. The Administrative Tribunals system is surely effective and useful. But it is hardly a substitute for administrative reform, which continues to be pressing need of our developing country. Nor is the Administrative Tribunal intended to replace or supplant the regular governmental system of the country. The Union Public Service Commission must continue to do its work and the departmental promotion committees must continue to meet. The Administrative Tribunal does not and is not intended to interfere, even in the slightest way, in the functioning of the executive. It is only when a complaint is filed that tribunal activates itself and begins moving.

#### **RECOMMENDATIONS:**

The unsatisfactory position of the law pertaining to tribunals calls for urgent reforms. It is high time to legislate to step in and introduce reforms at least on the following lines:

1. Need to list the tribunals working under various enactments.
2. A legislation providing for minimal uniform procedures for tribunals may be passed.
3. A watchdog committee to supervise the administrative tribunals should be constituted. It must be independent, permanent and autonomous body composing of men of the highest integrity, legal background and deep knowledge of administration. Because the composition consists of retired judicial or non-judicial members. It is an admitted fact that a retired officer or a judge cannot work the same of zeal, courage and hard work as a direct recruit appointed on a permanent basis.

4. An appellate tribunal with a power to review question of facts may be constituted.
5. Existing jurisdiction of the High Courts and the Supreme Court should be maintained unimpaired.
6. An administrative division bench of the Supreme Court in each High Court to hear appeals under Article 136 may be constituted.
7. Instead of the existing appointing committee under the Act, 1985, the committee should consist of -
  1. The Chief Justice of India as a chairman.
  2. The union Minister of Law.
  3. The Chief Justice of the concerned State Government.
  4. The Chief Secretary of the concerned State Government.
8. The salaries of the chairman, vice chairman and other members of tribunal, fall much short of the status and position of them. Therefore, to attract best legal brains of the country for these assignments, the present salaries should be revised.
9. Politics should be kept out of the appointment process and complete security of tenure shall be provided to the members.
10. The decisions of a High Court is appealable with in the High Court before a larger bench, there may be a suitable provision for at least on appeal within the tribunals.

### **CONCLUSION:**

It is hoped that by in to consideration the aforementioned suggestions, the prevailing vagueness and uncertainty in the sphere of administrative adjudication may be removed and in its place prompt and effective means of adjudication may be installed with full strength to render such person his due. The trend of future development of law appears to be definitely on the lines of the tribunal era where more segments of a society in legal system make use of this type of justice delivery system. It will also herald the era of prompt legal justice and socio-economic justice.



**REFERENCES**

1. Austin, Granville. 2003. "Governing under the Constitution." In *Working a Democratic Constitution*, 431–52.
2. Cane, Peter. 2009. *Administrative Tribunals and Adjudication*. Bloomsbury Publishing.
3. Corporatename=australian Capital Territory, Jurisdiction=australian Capital Territory (act), Address=gpo Box 442canberra Act, address=Level 5, Childers Square, 14 Childers Street, Canberra City ACT, contact=1300 362 072 (local call charge within Australia), international=+, and email=. 2016. "Home - Commonwealth Ombudsman," January. corporateName=CommonwealthOmbudsman;jurisdiction=Commonwealth. <http://ombudsman.act.gov.au/pages/about-us/>.
4. Endicott, Timothy. 2015. "12. Tribunals." In *Administrative Law*, 449–82.
5. Gupta, Balram K. 1985. "Administrative Tribunals and Administrative Justice." *The Indian Journal of Public Administration: Quarterly Journal of the Indian Institute of Public Administration* 31 (3): 626–37.
6. Hulsoj, Peter. n.d. "The Development of International Administrative Law by Administrative Tribunals Perfection, Best Practice, Adequacy? The Standard Applied by International Tribunals to the Behaviour of International Organizations." In *The Development and Effectiveness of International Administrative Law*, 127–35.
7. Jha, Abhishek Kumar. 2012. "'Administrative Tribunals of India': A Study in the Light of Decided Cases." *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1989780>.
8. Kagzi, Mangal Chandra Jain, and Madhusudan Saharay. 2014. *The Indian Administrative Law*.
9. Khare, Shubham Manoj. 2009. "Administrative Discretion & Limitation on Administrative Discretion By Article 14 & 16 of the Indian Constitution." *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1465519>.
10. Kumar, H. L. 1986. *Commentaries on the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985, as Amended in 1986: Practice & Procedure*.
11. "Law Teacher | LawTeacher.net." n.d. Accessed June 1, 2018. <https://www.lawteacher.net>.
12. "Lexology." n.d. Accessed May 31, 2018. <https://www.lexology.com>.

13. Massey, I. P. 2008. *Administrative Law*.
14. Nayak, Radhakant. 1989. *Administrative Justice in India*. SAGE Publications Pvt. Limited.
15. O’Neil, James. n.d. “An Analysis of Government’s Use of Administrative Tribunals.” [https://doi.org/10.5353/th\\_b3197487](https://doi.org/10.5353/th_b3197487).
16. “Prepare IAS Coaching Gomtinagar Lucknow.” n.d. Prepare IAS Coaching Lucknow. Accessed May 31, 2018. <http://www.prepareias.in/>.
17. Ranjan, Vivek. 2010. “Rule of Law and Modern Administrative Law.” *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1761506>.
18. Sharma, Ajit. 2003. “Working of Administrative Tribunals.” *The Indian Journal of Public Administration: Quarterly Journal of the Indian Institute of Public Administration* 49 (4): 773–83.
19. Sossin, Lorne, and Jamie Baxter. 2012. “Ontario’s Administrative Tribunal Clusters: A Glass Half-Full or Half-Empty for Administrative Justice?” *Oxford University Commonwealth Law Journal* 12 (1): 157–87.
20. Takwani, C. K., and M. C. Thakker. 2008. *Lectures on Administrative Law*.
21. Thakker, C. K., and M. C. Thakker. 2017. *Lectures on Administrative Law*.
22. Thomas, Robert. 2011. “Administrative Tribunals and Adjudication. By Peter Cane. [Oxford: Hart Publishing, 2009. Ix, 274, and (Index) 10. Hardback £55.00. ISBN 978184113-009-5.]” *The Cambridge Law Journal* 70 (01): 274–76.
23. ———. 2012. “From ‘Adversarial v Inquisitorial’ to ‘Active, Enabling, and Investigative’: Developments in UK Administrative Tribunals.” *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2144457>.
24. Villalpando, Santiago. 2017. *International Administrative Tribunals*.
25. “Website.” n.d. Accessed June 1, 2018a. <https://www.shodhgana.inflibet.ac.in>.
26. ———. n.d. Accessed June 1, 2018b. <https://www.shodhgana.inflibet.ac.in>.
27. ———. n.d. Accessed June 1, 2018c. <https://www.researchgate.net>.
28. Dr.Lakshmi T and Rajeshkumar S “In Vitro Evaluation of Anticariogenic Activity of Acacia Catechu against Selected Microbes”, International Research Journal of Multidisciplinary Science & Technology, Volume No. 3 , Issue No. 3, P.No 20-25, March 2018.

29. Trishala A , Lakshmi T and Rajeshkumar S,“ Physicochemical profile of Acacia catechu bark extract –An In vitro study”, International Research Journal of Multidisciplinary Science & Technology, Volume No. 3 , Issue No. 4, P.No 26-30, April 2018.

