

A CRITICAL ANALYSIS ON CONFESSION UNDER INDIAN EVIDENCE ACT

1872

¹KARTHIKEYAN K, ²Ms.ROJA

¹ BA LLB(hons), Saveetha School Of Law, Saveetha University ,Saveetha institute of medical and technical sciences, Chennai, Tamilnadu, India

²Assistant Professor, BA,BL,LLM,PG,CF.IS, Saveetha School Of Law, Saveetha University , Saveetha institute of medical and technical sciences, Chennai, Tamilnadu, India

¹Karthikeyankumaran1999@gmail.com, ²rojak.ssl@saveetha.com

ABSTRACT

Confession of witnesses and the denounced is an essential determinant in the proclamation of blame in a Criminal Justice Trial. The extension and the parameters of 'Admission' and the confession booth proclamations have gone past the limits of the traditional Sections 24, 25, 27 and Sections 161, 164, 167 in the Indian Evidence Act, 1872 and the Code of Criminal Procedure Code, 1973 individually. With the emergence of new logical systems like Brain Fingerprinting, Brain Mapping, Narco-Analysis, Lie locators, and so forth to trace the correct data for reasons for verification of data and tolerability as bit of confirmation in the cases, a requirement for getting changes both the arrangements of Procedural Codes previously mentioned is a rising idea. Advance ' Confession' is an idea firmly interrelated to the worries of Part III rights revered in the Constitution of India, under Article 20(3), 21 and so forth. The gross-misuse of the forces of the examining offices (police) and the mishandle of counter-psychological oppression laws in the nation like the (canceled) POTA and TADA which are currently struck for the gross infringement of Fundamental Rights under Article 14 and 21 because of their natural ideas from the privileges of charged under the Indian Evidence Act, 1872. The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India has acknowledged certainty that 'Confession booth Statements' expected impact the whole discoveries and choices of the Criminal Trials. In this manner in different case laws the Courts in India the significance of Voluntary Confessions, Prevention of Retraction from Confessions, staying away from coercion and utilization of third degree constrain by the police while the blamed is in care. The significance of confession booth explanation by the witnesses is reflected in the Supreme Courts concern to bring in Witness Protection Mechanism for motivations behind the criminal equity framework.

KEYWORDS

Confession, criminal justice, frame work, Supreme Court, fundamental right

INTRODUCTION

Police cross examination constitutes a vital piece of the current criminal equity framework. In spite of the fact that Confirming law.The Indian Evidence Act 1872 and CriminalProcedure Code 1973 don't cast much weight on any announcement leaving an-accused individual while cross examined by the police which in legitimate terms isn't considered asan acceptable piece of confirmation according to Section 25(1) of the Indian Evidence Act, 1872. In any case police personals are applying third degree strategy to extricate statement which may encourage the examination. In India such affirmations are visit that they torture accused under guardianship to inspire confession booth articulation, however it is made to a magistrate and that legal officer needs to keep up some affability and take after the set request given under Cr.P.C. when recording confession booth explanation, however it is made to a magistrate and that legal officer needs to keep up some thoughtfulness and take after the set request given under Cr.P.C. when recording confession booth articulation of any person.

RESEARCH QUESTIONS

Whether the confession to the police officers is to be proved or not.

OBJECTIVE

- 1) To study on confession and then it's irrelevant
- 2) To study on when confession proved to the police officers and its relavent
- 3) To study on confession by accused while in custody of police where proved against him

HYPOTHESIS

HA: The confession to the police officers to be proved

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

- 1) **Critical analysis of confession under IEA, Mr.S.G. Goundappanavar, June,2014**
Confession under Indian evidence act1872 which also deals with police atrocities and criminal case which have been filed against the accused person and guilty main of an

accused person are dealt with Indian evidence act and custody are inadmissible of articles 20(3) of Indian constitution.

2) Confession appears for the first time in section 24 of IEA, Shraddha

Section 24 of Indian evidence act of confession deals with the judicial confession and extra-judicial confession and legal proceedings of the plea who has a guilty mind on arrangements of a particular person.

3) The Indian police: A critical evaluation, A.Varma, 2005

Design of adaptability of police officers and their structural problems, capabilities and performance of British rulers and colonial powers they are corrupt and has brutal practices.

4) Critical analysis on confession, Arupan Gupta,2014

Accused person in authority and inducement of promise and reasonable in bargaining the criminal procedure of charge of the accused person who has a offences in the police officers

5) Confession under Indian evidence act 1872, vol 3, Ritishkumar, shashikanth

Confession is headed by the police officers in the custody of the accused person ,confession given the accused person is distinguished in oral and documentary format and with suggestions of relevant evidence and act committed by accused person.

INTERROGATION BY POLICE AND CONFESSIONS

The announcements made to the police are not conceded for the reasons for allowable bits of proof as can be followed from perusing Section 162(3) Of Cr. P.C with Section 25the Indian Evidence Act. Articulations to police not to be marked: Use of explanations inevidence.A plain perusing of segments 61 and 167 of the Cr.P.C. uncovers that the police investigation of the offences on account of a man captured without warrant ought to be completed in the principal case inside 24 hours under area 61 or if not then inside 15days under segment 167. Any cop making an examination may look at orally any individual expected to be familiar with the actualities and conditions of the case, the finding can from that point be illustrated in composing however these statements aren't to be marked by the creator of such proclamations under Segment 162 (2). Segment 161 (2) gives what civilities ought to be trailed by cop when making oral examination. A person during oral examination might will undoubtedly answer all inquiries identifying with the case put to him by the concerned cop, other than questions the responses to which would have a propensity to uncover him/her to a criminal allegation or to a punishment or relinquishment. Any statement

made to a cop can't be utilized for any reason for any request or trial in regard of any offence under scrutiny. This announcement might be used to contradict such witness. [Section 162 of the Cr.P.C]

CONFESSION IN POLICE CUSTODY

The Evidence Act gives a few shields with regards to the time when a man is interrogated by police. While cross examining a speculate the scrutinising must not be coercive or excessively scary. The police ought not remove confirmation or admission by third degree method. Explanation made to cop by the accused isn't allowable in confirm aside from that piece of the announcement which drives to discovery of implicating material. The alert with regards to the suitability of confession made to a cop is proposed to secure the denounced individual against third degree method by the police. The proving law is clear in that an admission made to a cop isn't permissible, yet it can be utilized as a part of confirmation of the thing recuperated as a result of the admission made to a cop by the charged. In this way if a weapon utilized in a number of cases is recuperated by the police because of admission made by a blamed individual, the recuperation is a pertinent bit of confirmation. In this manner it would nor not be right to say that the arrangements of the Evidence Act unmistakably censure the police and don't keep trust on them. In the event that we read together the arrangements of the Constitution and the Evidence Act, the message is clear. There is no command under the plan of the Constitution and Evidence Act that a man can be undermined, tormented or any route controlled to extract any sort of explanation which has implicating sway on the arrested or blamed individual. In any case, the miserable and the awful piece of the story is that despite the arrangements of the Constitution and Evidence Act, Police is applying third degree strategy, which renders the authentication of a genuine and bona fide admission by the blamed, the observers under suspicion and the whole criminal procedures under legal displays. The practicality of Indian Prisons and Police custodial torment has turned out to be endemic to the point that consistently numerous individuals kicked the bucket of or seriously harmed of police torment. In view of different reasons third degree strategy is by and by. In any case solidified lawbreakers have some training to survive extreme treatment thus, police can't extricate data from them without the guide of third degree technique. This implies a huge level of confession booth explanations that are made will be made automatic out of the extraordinary pressure that is forced behind the bars or while in police guardianship. Other than this admissions of the witnesses additionally continue changing by the impact and the circuitous weight that the criminals or

denounced in the prominent cases can practice by goodness of their high societal position Secondly , police captures a few people and undermines to torment or torment them as a result of inspiring cash and numerous pure individuals on premise of constrained Confessions are arrested by police and rebuffed by the courts. This sort of affirmation has been frequently leveled against police. Thirdly, normal people have some average ethos with reference to how criminals should be dealt with by the police. A extensive area of police intensely consider that police can't be successful on the off chance that they do not take depend on extreme treatment against solidified criminals. Fourthly, criminal equity arrangement of the Indian sub-mainland depends on the Anglo-Saxon accusatorial framework under which the focal point of the legal isn't on truth, yet on proof and this makes the 'Confessionary Statements' to be conceded as-evidence as critical in Criminal Adjudication.

RECORDING OF STATEMENT

The law gives that the confession booth explanation should be recorded "either in writing or any mechanical or electronic gadget like tapes, tapes or soundtracks from out of which sound or pictures can be repeated" (Sec.32 (1) POTA). This is the verbatim replication of the applicable arrangement in the TADA Act (Section 15 (1)), except for the expansion of the word 'electronic'. As indicated by both these arrangements just a police officer not bring down in rank than a Superintendent of Police can record the admission.

OTHER CONSIDERATION

These procedural shields don't deplete the security offered to the accused. Though custodial admissions are allowable in prove, it is still for the court to decide on its worthiness or evidentiary or probative esteem. Under the appropriate , be that as it may, the evidentiary estimation of custodial admissions is held to be unimpeachable. It was frequently contended that a confession booth proclamation being recorded by a cop is a powerless sort of confirmation and should dependably be authenticated before basing a conviction. In a progression of cases, the Supreme Court has held that once the confessional statement is observed to be intentional and honest, it ends up substantive confirmation and does does not require any validation, and the creator of an admission can be sentenced on such uncorroborated admission.

INCREASING VULNERABILITY TO CUSTODIAL TORTURE

As a signatory to the Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment, India has resolved to not do anything that is inconsistent with its protest and reason. The denial of torment is total and may not be suspended regardless of how deplorable the wrongdoing for which somebody has been arrested, even in circumstances of crisis. However there is little uncertainty that torment and other pitiless, cruel and degrading treatment keep on being endemic all through India. Incomparable Court requests, NHRC guideline and authority sanctions have not discouraged authorities from exacting torment on individuals in their guardianship. The Mali math Committee's suggestions talked about beneath may additionally build powerlessness to torment.

CONFESSION UNDER MEDIA TRIAL

While shedding the worn out old cover of bookkeeping proof the Courts in India have as of late begun perceiving the significance of confession booth statements made in media. The Supreme Court included another, and noteworthy, part to the conservative criminal statute and given a part to the media in criminal trials by ruling that meetings given by a denounced to TV channels could be thought about proof by courts.

CONCLUSION

On a closing note it is well-suited to express that the significance of confession can never be undermined for the reasons for a criminal trial. Admission under the Criminal Procedure Code, 1973 has numerous features to it. It has a key an incentive in the Criminal Justice System, and in this manner the sacredness of the validness of confessionary explanations be kept up and the consistent procedure of evolvement of new structures and components of remembering confessionary confirm, might well be adjusted in the standard arrangements of the current Procedural laws and the whole evidential law in the nation. In India, the significance and the holy of the admission is all the more vital for the reason that the Law implementing office is the same as the examining office is both vested in the police. So now and again the over energy and the tension of the police agency is reflected in their curse of intense means and falling back to the utilization of third degree violence for the reasons for the withdrawing and drawing out the admission out of the accused people in authority or the one's gone up against police remand.

REFERENCE

- 1) **Critical analysis of confession under IEA, Mr.S.G. Goundappanavar, June,2014**
- 2) **Confession appears for the first time in section 24 of IEA, Shraddha**
- 3) **The Indian police: A critical evaluation, A.Varma, 2005**
- 4) **Critical analysis on confession, Arupan Gupta,2014**
- 5) **Confession under Indian evidence act 1872, vol 3, Ritishkumar, shashikanth**
- 6) **Confessions, Manu Gupta, September 15, 2015**
- 7) **Indian evidence act, 1872-confessions, Admin lawn, September 1, 2014**
- 8) **Section 24 of Indian evidence act,1872, Shantanu chakrat**
- 9) **In custody law, impurity and prisoner abuse in South Asia, Nitya Ramakrishnan,2013**
- 10) **Delhi judicial service pre-exam, Dr.Lal and Abhinav Misra,2002**
- 11) **Delhi assistant public prosecutors recruitment test, Abhinav Mishra,2010**
- 12) **West Bengal judicial service pre-exam, Dr.Lal & Misra**
- 13) **Pratiyogata darpa ,December, 2009**
- 14) **Text book on law of evidence, M.Monir, 2012**
- 15) **The Indian evidence act , No.1 of 1872, Sri Henry Stewart,Cunningham,1873**
- 16) **<https://www.scribd.com/doc/3936103/The-law-of-Confession>**
- 17) **http://seajbel.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/09/KLIBEL7_Law-35.pdf**
- 18) **http://shodhganga.inflibnet.ac.in/bitstream/10603/7860/1/11/11_chapter%204.pdf**
- 19) **<http://www.legalservicesindia.com/article/1547/Confession-under-Indian-Evidence-Act.html>**
- 20) **https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2758057**
- 21) **Dr.Lakshmi T and Rajeshkumar S “In Vitro Evaluation of Anticariogenic Activity of Acacia Catechu against Selected Microbes”, International Research Journal of Multidisciplinary Science & Technology, Volume No. 3 , Issue No. 3, P.No 20-25, March 2018.**
- 22) **Trishala A , Lakshmi T and Rajeshkumar S,“ Physicochemical profile of Acacia catechu bark extract –An In vitro study”, International Research Journal of Multidisciplinary Science & Technology, Volume No. 3 , Issue No. 4, P.No 26-30, April 2018.**

