

## THE IMPORTANCE OF SHAREHOLDER AND THEIR ROLE IN CORPORATE GOVERNANCE IN INDIA

<sup>1</sup>Chewtha, <sup>2</sup>Arya R

<sup>1</sup> Student, B.A., LLB (Hons) 3<sup>rd</sup> year, Saveetha School Of Law, Saveetha institute of medical & technical sciences, Saveetha University, Chennai 77, Tamilnadu, India

<sup>2</sup> Assistant Professor, Saveetha School Of Law, Saveetha institute of medical & technical sciences, Saveetha University, Chennai 77, Tamilnadu, India

<sup>1</sup>chewthasasilatha@gmail.com, <sup>2</sup>adv.aryar@gmail.com

### **Abstract:**

This paper deals with the importance of shareholder and their role in corporate governance in India. An individual, gathering, or association that possesses at least one offers in an organisation, and in whose name the offer authentication is issued. It is lawful for an organisation to have just a single investor likewise called (in the US) investor. Where Corporate administration implies an arrangement of tenets and control or conditions and practices made by which a firm is coordinated and controlled. Corporate Governance has an expansive degree. It incorporates both social and institutional perspectives. Corporate Governance supports a reliable, moral, and in addition moral condition. The word 'Corporate' means an association or a relationship of individual, who cooperate to accomplish a shared objective. The most remarkable element which set a corporate unique in relation to other is that the 'shared objective' is connected with making benefit. Thus, unimportant a relationship of individual won't shape a corporate. The investors are the proprietor of the Company. Business morals mean the Statutory Compliances as well as past that, intend to state the correct good obligation of the Directors, duty, responsibility and Fairness. In an organisation where there are numerous investors, it ends up important to secure the enthusiasm of the considerable number of partners.

**METHOD:** This research paper has been made in a analytical and descriptive form in a sense that the issues has been resolved into elements and constituent parts not only that but also the structure of the issue has been described and classified.

**RESULT:** The paper also talks about the Role of shareholder in Corporate governance, Shareholder Rights under Companies Act, 2013 and Comparative Study on Corporate governance in U.K and India.

**CONCLUSION:** Share value of a shareholder is the motivation seeking improvement in corporate governance. Investor esteem is the esteem conveyed to investors in view of administration's capacity to develop deals, profit and free income after some time.

**Keywords:** Shareholder, Corporate Governance, Company Law, India and UK, Companies Act 2013, SEBI

## INTRODUCTION

Corporate Governance is a multi-faceted subject and hard to understand in a brief definition. The principle topic of corporate administration is to coordinate sound administration strategies in the corporate structure in such a way to get monetary effectiveness the association keeping in mind the end goal to accomplish twin objectives of benefit amplification and investor welfare. The term administration infers to the demonstration or way by which the Corporates are represented or regulated. As it has been talked about before that the corporate can't run or do shape administers whatever it loves, in the meantime if rules are made by the people of the association there may question emerge, so the Government decides the methods of maintaining the business through its council as 'Corporate Governance'. Be that as it may, that doesn't imply that the corporate will compel itself inside the guidelines of administration by the Government.(Shepherd and Ridley 2015) The investors are the vital proprietors of the organisation who give cash-flow to the organisation in lieu of return got by them in type of profits on the income of the organisation. The individual investors take part in corporate administration systems by practicing their voting rights on the key choices of the organisation in light of a legitimate concern for all partners. The other institutional investors of the organisation like, insurance agencies, trusts, venture banks, and so forth who have more noteworthy shareholding than different investors effectively have a more noteworthy part in checking corporate administration exercises of the organisation as they are occupied with showcase reasonability of the organisation in type of huge pieces of the pie.(Lessambo 2016) . Aims of this study is corporate governance in India and get to know about the importance of shareholder in corporate governance in India, find out the role of shareholder in corporate governance in India

**HYPOTHESIS:**

Share value of a shareholder is the motivation seeking improvement in corporate governance.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS USED :**

The researcher entirely depends on secondary sources. The secondary sources include books related to the topic the importance of shareholder and their role in corporate governance in India. Journal, Articles, Websites and Blogs are also been referred.

**RESULT OF THE STUDY:**

The research paper is divided into two segments which starts with an Introduction and end with a Conclusion. Role of shareholder in Corporate Governance in India, this chapter deals with Corporate governance in India, shareholder role in Indian corporate governance, and also talks about Shareholder Rights under Companies Act, 2013, with illustration. Investor rights differ according to the sort of stock claimed and the relevant state law. State law is vigorously impacted by legitimate sources, for example, the Model Business Corporations Act (Model Act). Comparative Study on Corporate Governance in India and UK, deals with Comparative study on: Corporate Governance in India and Corporate Governance in UK. Important Case Laws are also been discussed in this chapter. Indian corporate administration standards have progressed significantly since the pre-advancement period of the Indian economy.

**ROLE OF SHAREHOLDER IN CORPORATE GOVERNANCE IN INDIA**

Investors make a money related interest in the enterprise, which entitles those with voting offers to choose the chiefs. Investors don't ordinarily have any rights to be included specifically in organization administration. Their association with organization administration is normally by means of the Board of Directors. In the event that investors are not happy with the execution of the executives, they may evacuate the chiefs or decline to re-choose them. Investors are the proprietors of the enterprise. They have possession rights in the offers of corporate stock. The part of the investor in the company is constrained, be that as it may, as they have neither the privilege nor the commitment to deal with the everyday business of the endeavor. Investor rights differ according to the sort of stock claimed and the relevant state

law. State law is vigorously impacted by legitimate sources, for example, the Model Business Corporations Act (Model Act). The following is a clarification of basic rights managed corporate investors under state law. ("Corporate Governance - Definition, Scope and Benefits" n.d.)

**Right to Information** – Shareholders have the privilege to get to and look at corporate records and data concerning the administration and monetary execution of the element. Out in the open organizations, a great part of the operational and money related data about an enterprise must be accounted for to people in general by documenting with the Securities Exchange Commission. Organizations should likewise unveil this data straightforwardly to investors on to a great extent institutionalized announcing archives. Privately owned businesses, then again, don't openly report data. Further, there is no particular prerequisite to make intermittent revelations to investors. Dr.Lakshmi T and Rajeshkumar S(2018) Thusly, investors in non-open elements should by and large make demands for data. State law accommodates the substantive and procedural privileges of investors to access and survey corporate records.(Bruno and Ruggiero 2011) Illustration: James is worried that executives of ABC Corporation are not practicing due care in the administration of the organization. She may look for access to organization data to additionally comprehend administration's activities. Trishala A , Lakshmi T and Rajeshkumar S,(2018)This may incorporate minutes from chief gatherings. The organization should by and large bear the cost of her entrance to these records.

**Right to Vote** – All partnerships must have no less than one class of stock speaking to a possession enthusiasm for the organization. In many partnerships, the essential proprietorship share is known as "regular stock". These offers involve voting rights for the investor.

**Election of Directors** – At the yearly gathering, investors have the privilege to choose executives. A corporate designating panel of the governing body delivers a slate of chiefs and prescribes decision of a solitary executive for each accessible board situate. The names of assigned executives are recorded on an intermediary proclamation and sent to investors. The investors may either vote in favor of the named chief.

**Straight Voting** – This technique permits a typical investor one vote for every offer of normal stock for each accessible seat on the top managerial staff. Illustration: Gail possesses 100 regular offers of stock in ABC Corp. At the point when two chief seats come open, she may cast up to 100 votes to choose every executive. (Wen 2013)

**Cumulative Voting** – This strategy permits a typical investor various votes equivalent to her number of offers times the quantity of chief seats accessible. She may cast these votes in favor of any one or the majority of the accessible board seats. Illustration: Tammy claims 100 normal offers of stock in ABC Corp. At the point when two executive seats come open, she has 200 votes (100 offers x 2 chief seats) to cast. She can cast each of the 200 votes in favor of one chief or split up her votes as she wishes.

**Sale of Assets** – Shareholders must endorse the offer of all or significantly all" of the corporate resources. The thought is this is successfully what might as well be called merger or closing down the company.

**Disintegration** – Shareholders must endorse the closing down or "disintegration" of the partnership.

**Alterations to the Charter** – Directors must start any progressions to the articles of joining or sanction. Once proposed, investors vote to endorse or object the chiefs' proposition.

**Revisions to the Bylaws** – The local laws will coordinate the prerequisites and method for change. Without arrangements in the ordinances tending to this issue, state organization law will supply the default rules. All investors are qualified for vote on issues introduced at investor gatherings.

**Meeting Rights** – All state corporate statutes (and additionally huge open trades) expect enterprises to hold yearly investor gatherings. Amid these gatherings, the company will lead any required or wanted corporate administration activities, for example, choosing chiefs. The prerequisite to hold gatherings might be mitigated for little companies that handle these issues through consistent composed assent by the investors. Executives and huge pieces of investors may assemble exceptional conferences for any number of purposes. Remarkably, unique gatherings are fitting when investors must vote upon a basic change to the company. Different state laws secure investor meeting rights.

**Right to Make Proposals** – Certain investors have the privilege to propose particular corporate moves to be made at corporate gatherings. This is typically done through adding these plan things to corporate intermediary explanations. Under state law, an investor holding 1% of the extraordinary offers or \$2,000 worth of offers may ask for a proposition be set in the corporate intermediary material for investor vote.

**Appropriate to Dissent** – In many states (and under the Model Act), corporate law takes into consideration "dissident rights". Protester rights are a unique gathering of rights intended to give assurances to investors in enterprises that are not effectively exchanged the market. In a generally held, open organization, investors who don't concur with principal issues of

corporate administration or administration can offer their proprietorship premium. This is by and large impossible for investors in firmly held and private companies. Protester rights enable these investors to drive the organization to purchase back their offers at "reasonable esteem".

The directors and not the investor(Shareholder) are responsible for the management of the corporation. However, under the corporate statutes, certain matters are considered so fundamental that they require the approval of the shareholders:

- Effecting certain amalgamation or reorganisations;
- Selling all or substantially all of the corporation's assets;
- Adding or removing restrictions on the business that the corporation may carry on;
- Changing the corporation's or corporation share capital;
- number of directors shall be increasing or decreasing or the minimum or maximum numbers of directors;
- Confirming by-laws; and,
- transfer or ownership of shares will be Adding or changing restrictions on the issue(Bhalla 2016)

### **Shareholder Rights under Companies Act, 2013**

Investors of an organization are the proprietors of the organization owning value shares issued by the organization. Investors of an organization are allowed different rights and insurances under the Companies Act, 2013.

**Changes to MOA or AOA:** Memorandum of Association or Articles of Association of a Company can be revised just in a general gathering of the organization, which can be assembled by giving adequate notice to the investors of the organization. All investors have a privilege to vote on revisions identifying with changes to MOA or AOA. A confirmed vote of at the very least 75% of investors is required for a few alterations that require uncommon larger part.

**Convene General Meeting:** The Board of Directors of an organization is required to gather an additional common general gathering (EGM) if a demand to cloister an EGM is gotten from investors holding at the very least 10% of the paid-up capital of the organization. The board is required to require the EGM inside 21 days of the date of demand by investors out on the town at the very least 45 days from date of demand for EGM. In the event that the

Board of Directors neglect to require an EGM inside the time gave, at that point the investors would themselves be able to require an EGM. (Nath 2017)

**Attend and Vote at General Meeting:** All organizations are required to hold a yearly broad gathering each year, without any than 15 months passing between two yearly broad gatherings. All investors of an organization have a privilege to get a notice gathering yearly broad gatherings and unprecedented general gatherings and to vote at such gatherings for or against every one of the resolutions proposed to be passed at such gatherings.

**Transfer Shares:** Shareholders of an organization have the privilege to exchange shares held by them in the organization openly, aside from that, the board may decline to enroll an exchange of offers on the off chance that they are not completely paid or where the transferee isn't a man affirmed by the board. A private constrained organization, anyway may, by its articles of affiliation, limit the exchange of offers and give preemptive rights to its individuals for acquiring shares proposed to be exchanged by the transferee. (Chiu and McKee 2015)

**Receive Dividends:** Dividends can be paid by an organization for any money related year out of the benefits of the organization for that year touched base at subsequent to accommodating devaluation or out of the benefits of the organization for any past budgetary year or years landed at in the wake of accommodating deterioration and staying undistributed, or out of both. The affirmation of profits is liable to investors endorsement at a yearly broad gathering. When profits are declared, it must be paid inside 30 days and any unpaid profits must be exchanged to a unique profit account opened by the organization in a booked bank. ("The Shareholder's Rights in a Corporate Governance" n.d.)

**Minority Shareholders Protection:** if there should arise an occurrence of abuse or blunder of the undertakings of the organization by dominant part investors, minority investors appreciate insurance and ideal to alleviation from mistreatment. On the off chance that at least 100 investors, or a number speaking to at the very least 10% of the aggregate number of investors, can apply to the Company Law Board on the off chance that they are of the view that the issues of the organization are being conducted in a way biased to the general population premium or organization's advantage or in a way harsh to any investor. (OECD 2014) Even in an Advanced Economy like the US, which have failed to get top-ranking in the World Bank's latest business report, India has scored higher in terms of protecting shareholders rights and has got a much higher 4th position for protecting shareholders. Within the area of 'protecting investors', India scored the topmost ranking for protection of shareholders rights. Thus concluding, India has a high market value for Shareholders and the Investors rights are highly protected. (Staff 2008)

## **COMPARATIVE STUDY ON CORPORATE GOVERNANCE IN INDIA AND UK**

Corporate administration issues are not one of a kind in the Indian setting, but rather as Indian organisations get or set up activities outside India or access the universal money related markets, corporate administration issues are winding up progressively significant for Indian organisations. Indeed, even local Indian organisations need to centre around corporate administration, to pick up certainty of capital market speculators or while participating in business exchanges with multinational organisations. (“Corporate Governance in India and the UK Compared” n.d.) Enactments, for example, the Sarbanes Oxley Act, 2002, the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, 1977 in the United States and the current Bribery Act, 2010 in the UK, have additional regional application. (“Corporate Governance - Definition, Scope and Benefits” n.d.)

As Indian organisations end up worldwide in their approach and activities they should be delicate to the worldwide outcomes of their lead that may fall foul of hostile to defilement. Organisations need to consider the corporate administration standards that apply to them in various purviews and receive a standard that can meet the varying necessities of every ward, regardless of whether that implies intentionally embracing higher principles in specific locales. Inability to sufficiently conform to the material standards can have colossal cost, time and repetitional results. (Sarkar and Sarkar 2012)

Further, a cautious way to deal with corporate administration is justified as pay off and debasement issues are a zone of examination in the due tirelessness process identifying with M&A exchanges, joint endeavours or other business contracts and portrayals and guarantees are looked for in legally binding reports on consistence with enactments like the FCPA and Sarbanes Oxley Act. As the Bribery Act comes into compel from April 2011, comparable methodologies for consistence with the Act are relied upon to the embraced. (Shagunbahl 2017) Inside India we can expect that corporate administration standards will develop with the development in Indian budgetary markets, increment out in the open shareholding (late alterations require open buoy of exchanged Indian organisations to increment to 25% of an organisation’s capital) and as institutional investors play a proactive part in their dealings with investee organisations. (Moore and Petrin 2017) The intentional corporate administration rules issued by the Ministry of Corporate Affairs and

SEBI's current guidelines to resource administration organisations and common assets expecting them to make revelations concerning activity of their voting rights in investee organisations are applicable ("Website" n.d.) illustrations. Indian corporate administration standards have progressed significantly since the pre-advancement period of the Indian economy. While the improvement of these standards is a transformative procedure, extension by Indian organizations outside India can give impulse to usage of standards that outcome in great administration and straightforwardness, eventually prompting the fruitful development of corporate India. (Mäntysaari 2006)

### **CASE LAWS :**

***Salomon v. Salomon & Co. Ltd, 1897 AC 22:*** The son of the proprietor of the organisation needed to turned into the business accomplice and after that later the organisation had debentures and was put on liquidation. The issue raised was if the counter-guaranteed needing the sums paid to Salomon paid back, and his debentures be wiped out. The essential of isolated of lawful substance was clarified and underlined in this notorious case. It has built up that an enrolled organisation is a substance particular from its individuals, regardless of whether the individual holds every one of the offers in the organisation. (Verma n.d.)

***Bates v. Standard Land Co. (1910) 2 Ch 408 271:*** Where questions came before the court, if organisation is a man. It was held that organisation is a manufactured individual who acts through a top managerial staff chose by investors and are the brains and the main brains of the organisation, which is the body. An organisation can most likely act just through them.

***Kaye v. Croydon Tramways Co. ltd [1898] 1 Ch 358: 91 :*** Where there was a temporary assention between 2 organisations for the offer of the endeavour of the one organisation to the next, the notice assembling the conference of the investors to consider the understanding available to be purchased of the endeavour did not reveal that there was an arrangement in the assention for the instalment of remuneration to the executives. The issue that preceded the court was if the notice was to be considered. The Court held that the notice couldn't make the full and reasonable divulgence of all the material certainties to the considered and voted upon at the gathering and in this manner the resolutions go at the gathering were invalid and in effectual.

***Macaura v. Northern Assurance Company [1925] AC 619:*** The litigant, Mr. Macaura, earlier claimed a timber home in Northern Ireland, who therefore sold the timber to a Canadian Milling Concern, consenting to acknowledge instalment in the offers of the

organisation. The appealing party got 42,000 completely paid up £1 shares, making him the entire proprietor. He was additionally an unsecured loan boss for £19,000. The appealing party took out a protection approach individually name, and in a matter of seconds a short time later harm was caused by the fire. The litigant looked to recoup from such a protection approach, yet Northern Assurance Co. declined to pay up as timber was claimed by the organisation, and the way that organisation is a different legitimate substance.(Raja n.d.)

***VB Rangaraj v. VB Gopalakrishnan AIR 1992 SC 453:*** Family comprising of two brothers Baluswamy Naidu and Guruviah Naidu owning a Private organisation being the sole investors of the organisation, with measure up to appropriation of offers among them. They went into an oral assention , that each of the branches of the family would dependably keep on holding level with number of offers and that if any part in both of the branches wished to offer his offers, he would give the primary choice of procurement to the individuals from that branch and just if the offer so made was declined, the offers would be sold to others. There was a confinement on exchange of offers by method for a privilege of pre-emption which were not expressed in the assention. The confinements are in the last occasion the offers of the expired part might be first appropriated among the current individuals similarly and on the off chance that they are to be exchanged to any new part, it would be done as such with the assent of most of the current individuals.(“Top 20 Landmark Judgements of Corporate Law” 2017)

The above mentioned case laws denotes about the importance of shareholders and how far Shareholder are important for a company. Shareholders plays an important role in a company and they are the basic foundation of a company. Protection of shareholders rights is crucial for attracting capital of company. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development principles recognise that availability of productive, successful,non- expensive remedies for violations of shareholder rights are an important indicator to which Shareholder protected. Confidence of Shareholder increases if the legal system has mechanisms that allow shareholders to appeal to courts when their rights are violated. Shareholder protections can be incorporated in the company charter and by-laws and this is imperative in nations where weak shareholder protection on the legislative level. Reasonable and equal treatment of all holders of common shares is the major key principle to run a effective Corporate Governance.

**RECOMMENDATION:**

The company can enhance the adequacy of its revelation rehearse by methods for Internet. Utilisation of Internet sites is brisk and advantageous for investors. Electronic data is simpler to refresh than printed version renditions, allowing the investors to acquire the latest data accessible about the organisation. A viable pay framework is a fundamental apparatus for execution of proprietorship control. The reason for the pay framework is to expand the dedication of the board, the overseeing and different administrators to advancing the interests of the organisation and its investors. Notwithstanding essential pay, the remuneration framework covers in addition to other things execution related motivator plans, annuity plans, compensating as offers, and offer related pay frameworks. A controlling investor may need the partnership to make certain move that might be to its greatest advantage, yet not really to the greatest advantage of the organisation. The correct answer for these sorts of issues depends especially on the actualities of every circumstance.

**CONCLUSION:**

Corporate administration is the methods and not an end. It ought to get reflected in the execution of the organisation regarding benefits, productivity, welfare and warm modern relationship at all levels. Corporate Excellency is the finish of any corporate. Corporate administration ought to be pillared on the estimations of genuine understanding, deliberate endeavours and genuine responsibility. Just very much sustained frameworks and practices of corporate administration will change the mechanical society to take India to the best position in the created countries by 2020, if not prior. Hence hypothesis is proved that Share value of a shareholder is the motivation seeking improvement in corporate governance. Investor esteem is the esteem conveyed to investors in view of administration's capacity to develop deals, profit and free income after some time. An organisation's investor esteem relies upon key choices made by senior administration, including the capacity to make astute ventures and produce a solid profit for contributed capital. On the off chance that this esteem is made over the long haul, the offer cost increments and the organisation can pay bigger money profits to investors. A solid speculator assurance is related with successful corporate administration. Speculators are given different rights and they can go to Investors Grievance and Redressal Mechanism for the security of their rights. the changing part and profile of investors as speculators has advanced from dynamic proprietors to an aloof financial specialist. In this manner, investors today have constrained means and minimal motivating force to take an interest in corporate administration. This is in any case, the forces, as

visualised under the Companies Act, 2013 and the Constitution of the organisation to check the unbridled forces of the Board of Director. By nature, value investors are faint-hearted allies, not dissident crusaders, and this makes investor oversight troublesome. They may vote with their feet if despondent with the administration and even individual financial specialists have a tendency to disregard right-authorising activities. Be that as it may, given the ongoing strategy patterns towards great corporate administration, the part of Board of Directors is to be sure picking up centre on account of the organisation of free chiefs, other than; banks and money related establishments can even now keep on being cognisant guardians. Part of speculator assurance association particle and intermediary warning firms have a distinct part to play in instilling the way of life of investor. Investors make a money related interest in the company, which entitles those with voting offers to choose the chiefs. Investors don't ordinarily have any rights to be included specifically in organisation administration.

#### REFERENCE:

1. Bhalla, Sandeep. 2016. *Company Law in India: Part - 1*. IEbooks Inc.
2. Bruno, Sabrina, and Eugenio Ruggiero. 2011. *Public Companies and the Role of Shareholders: National Models Towards Global Integration*. Kluwer Law International.
3. Chiu, Iris H-Y, and Michael McKee. 2015. *The Law on Corporate Governance in Banks*. Edward Elgar Publishing.
4. "Corporate Governance - Definition, Scope and Benefits." n.d. Accessed June 9, 2018. <https://www.managementstudyguide.com/corporate-governance.htm>.
5. "Corporate Governance in India and the UK Compared." n.d. Grant Thornton UK LLP. Accessed June 9, 2018. <https://www.grantthornton.co.uk/en/insights/corporate-governance-in-india-and-the-uk-a-comparative-analysis/>.
6. Lessambo, F. 2016. *The International Corporate Governance System: Audit Roles and Board Oversight*. Springer.
7. Mäntysaari, Petri. 2006. *Comparative Corporate Governance: Shareholders as a Rule-Maker*. Springer Science & Business Media.
8. Moore, Marc, and Martin Petrin. 2017. *Corporate Governance: Law, Regulation and Theory*. Macmillan International Higher Education.
9. Nath, Pradipta. 2017. "Corporate Governance and Shareholder Activism - iPleaders." iPleaders. iPleaders. December 26, 2017. <https://blog.ipleaders.in/shareholder-activism-corporate-governance/>.

10. OECD. 2014. *Corporate Governance Improving Corporate Governance in India Related Party Transactions and Minority Shareholder Protection: Related Party Transactions and Minority Shareholder Protection*. OECD Publishing.
11. Raja, Vinay. n.d. "Important Caselaws on Company Law." CAclubindia. Accessed June 9, 2018. <https://www.caclubindia.com/articles/important-caselaws-on-company-law-7630.asp>.
12. Dr. Lakshmi T and Rajeshkumar S "In Vitro Evaluation of Anticariogenic Activity of Acacia Catechu against Selected Microbes", International Research Journal of Multidisciplinary Science & Technology, Volume No. 3 , Issue No. 3, P.No 20-25, March 2018
13. Trishala A , Lakshmi T and Rajeshkumar S, " Physicochemical profile of Acacia catechu bark extract –An In vitro study", International Research Journal of Multidisciplinary Science & Technology, Volume No. 3 , Issue No. 4, P.No 26-30, April 2018.
14. Sarkar, Jayati, and Subrata Sarkar. 2012. *Corporate Governance in India*. SAGE Publications India.
15. Shagunbahl. 2017. "Corporate Governance under the Companies Act, 2013 - iPleaders." iPleaders. iPleaders. October 10, 2017. <https://blog.ipleaders.in/corporate-governance-companies-act-2013/>.
16. Shepherd, Chris, and Ann Ridley. 2015. *Company Law*. Routledge.
17. Staff, Investopedia. 2008. "Shareholder Value." Investopedia. February 25, 2008. <https://www.investopedia.com/terms/s/shareholder-value.asp>.
18. "The Shareholder's Rights in a Corporate Governance." n.d. Accessed June 9, 2018. <http://smallbusiness.chron.com/shareholders-rights-corporate-governance-61933.html>.
19. "Top 20 Landmark Judgements of Corporate Law." 2017. July 17, 2017. <https://lawnn.com/top-20-landmark-judgements-corporate-law/>.
20. Verma, Vivek Kumar. n.d. "Companies Act 2013." Accessed June 9, 2018. <https://indiancaselaws.wordpress.com/category/corporate-law/companies-act-2013/>.
21. "Website." n.d. Accessed June 9, 2018. <http://www.granthornto.co.uk>.
22. Wen, Shuangge. 2013. *Shareholder Primacy and Corporate Governance: Legal Aspects, Practices and Future Directions*. Routledge.

