

## Comparative Study on the Rights of Arrested and Accused Person in India and Malaysia

<sup>1</sup>R. Patricia and <sup>2</sup>Dhinesh

<sup>1</sup>Saveetha School of Law,

Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences,

Saveetha University,

Chennai.

[marypatricia2210@gmail.com](mailto:marypatricia2210@gmail.com)

<sup>2</sup>Saveetha School of Law,

Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences,

Saveetha University,

Chennai.

[lawyerdhine@gmail.com](mailto:lawyerdhine@gmail.com)

### Abstract

This paper deals with the "Rights of arrested and accused person in India and Malaysia". This article is based upon the norms of the criminal law is that the "thousand accused can be punished but one innocent shouldn't be punished". The paper would like to explicit that One of the basic tenets of our legal system is the benefit of the presumption of innocence of the accused till he is found guilty at the end of a trial on legal evidence in a democratic society even the rights of accused are sacrosanct, the accused in India are afforded certain rights, the most basic of which are found in the Indian constitution. Hope the paper provides an advanced comparison on rights of arrested and accused person in India and Malaysia.

**Key Words:** rights, legal provision, conventions, procedure, etc.

## 1. Introduction

The constitution of India and criminal system code gives some fundamental rights to the individual being captured. One of the fundamental precepts of our legitimate framework is the advantage of the assumption of guiltlessness of the charged till he is discovered blameworthy toward the finish of a trial on lawful proof in a popularity based society even the privileges of denounced are consecrated, the blamed in India are managed sure rights, the most essential of which are found in the Indian constitution. What's more, it has been contrast and the Malaysian constitution and code of criminal system the privilege to quiet ideal to know the grounds of capture individual, data with respect to one side to be discharged on safeguard comfortable right of free lawful guide a few rights are same aside from ideal to a quick trial in Malaysia and appropriate to be trail on confirm not flourished by infringement of key right. this will clarify in detail path with the cases.

The motivation behind a capture is to bring the arrestee under the steady gaze of a court or generally secure the organisation of the law. A capture serves the capacity of telling the group that an individual has been blamed for a wrongdoing and furthermore may reprimand and hinder the captured individual from carrying out different violations. Captures can be made on both criminal allegations and common charges, albeit common capture is an exceptional measure that isn't looked upon with support by the courts. The government Constitution forces confines on both common and criminal captures.

## 2. Aim and Objectives

- To evaluate the Rights of the arrested person,
- To trace out the Efficacy of comparison between India and Malaysia.
- To suggest various reforms for solving the issues and challenges.

## 3. Research Methodology

The methodology adapted for conducting the proposed research is **Doctrinal research** method. Doctrinal research in law field indicates arranging, ordering and analysis of the legal structure, legal frame work and case laws to search out the new thing by extensive surveying of legal literature but without any field work.

### Materials

The researcher has referred secondary sources namely books, journals, research articles, unpublished theses, newspapers and e- sources for the purpose of writing this paper.

### Privileges of Accused in India Under Constitution and Criminal Procedure Code

The rights which are been given in constitution of India and criminal philosophy code it imply that the every human have their own particular rights with them.

The "Constitution of India" and "Criminal Procedure Code" gives some major rights to the individual being caught consolidate,

1. The man caught may be instructed of the grounds of catch – Article 22 of the Constitution and Section 50 of the Criminal Procedure code.
2. In case the individual caught needs that the information about his catch may be passed on to any association or sidekick of his, it ought to be so passed on.
3. In case the offense is bailable, he ought to be taught that he is entitled for defend as an issue of right and may even be released without anyone else bond - Section 50 CrPc.
4. If he isn't released on shield, he ought to be looked for and with or without articles from wearing dress must be placed in safe guardianship and a receipt should be issued (section 51)
5. If the caught individual is a women, the interest should be done by the woman.
6. If the caught individual is responsible for any unfriendly weapons, they should be seized and passed on to the Court (Section 52).
7. According to Section 53, the impugned may be required to encounter helpful examination by two therapeutic officers (or two lady pros if the faulted is a woman).
8. If the individual breaks from expert, the individual having guardianship can look for after and catch him wherever in India (Section 60).
9. No man caught should be limited in police tend to more than 24 hours unless there is a satisfactory clarification behind it ( Section 57), travel period from the place of catch to Magistrate's Court is precluded to figure this season of 24 hours.
10. When an officer responsible for police central station instructs his subordinate to catch a man without warrant, may give a demand in forming communicating the name and convey of the person to be caught and the offence charged.
11. Every officer in charge of a police central station is required to reply to the District Magistrate, the occasions of individuals caught with warrant and without warrant.

### **Benefits of Arrested Person**

There are two sorts of benefits of caught individual:

1. At the period of catch
2. (ii) At the period of trial

### **Security to Females**

- The General choose is that females are not be caught without the closeness of a lady constable and no female be caught after sun-set yet there are exclusions some of the time, where wrongdoing is extraordinary and catch is fundamental then the catch can be made with outstanding solicitations and it depends upon substances and states of each case. Disengage joltups to be obliged them. "Area of Maharashtra Vs Christian Community Welfare Council of India" (2003) 8 SCC 546

### **Some Other Provisions of Accused**

The above said rights are not the careful benefits of faulted/caught individuals; diverse rules have furthermore been made in the possibility of excitement of them. Some of them have been made by the legitimate and later on participated in the concerned laws. The idea essential is to secure the principal human benefits of censured in all conditions. Some of these are as

#### **Standards for Bail**

Protect not Jail" is the praised declaration of Justice Krishna Iyer. The law of shields "needs to dovetail two conflicting solicitations, to be particular, on one hand, the necessities of the overall population for being shielded from the threats of being exhibited to the setbacks of a man guaranteed to have completed a wrongdoing; and on the other, the real mandate of criminal statute, viz., the presumption of trustworthiness of a faulted till he is found culpable. The idea of a nation's civilisation can be, all things considered, measured by the methods it uses as a piece of the authorisation of criminal law.

#### **Ideal Against Solitary Confinement**

Albeit, one of the method of discipline is isolation, however certain limitations have forced on the sort of discipline to secure the privilege of convict to blend with different convicts. In Sunil Batra (1) v. Delhi Administration<sup>21</sup>, it was held 'if by forcing isolation there is add up to hardship of fellowship (kinship) among co detainees mixing together and talking and being conversed with, it would annoy Article 21 of the Constitution. The freedom to move, blend, talk, share organization with co-detainees if considerably reduced would be violating of Article 21 unless decrease has the sponsorship the law. The Court held that constantly keeping a detainee in chains day and night diminishes the detainees from a person to a creature and that this treatment was remorseless and strange that the utilization of bar shackles was against the soul of the Constitution.

#### **Ideal Against Inhuman Treatment**

The blamed and convict in criminal framework for the nation have the rights to live with poise. Along these lines, they ought not be subjected to the cruel treatment. In Kishore Singh v. Province of Rajasthan <sup>22</sup> the Supreme Court held that the utilization of third degree technique by police is violating of Article 21 and guided the Government to find a way to instruct the police in order to teach a regard for the human individual. The Court additionally held that discipline of isolation for a long stretch from 8 to 11 months and putting bar shackles on the detainees in prison for a few days on shaky ground like standing around in the jail, acting discourteously and in a graceless way, tearing of his history ticket must be viewed as primitive and against human pride and consequently violative of Article 21, 19 and 14 of the Constitution Krishna Iyer, J. pronounced, "Human nobility is an unmistakable estimation of our Constitution not to be traded away for unimportant anxiety engaged by imprison authorities. Additionally, torment and abuse of ladies suspects in police lockups has been held to be violative of Article 21 of the Constitution. The Court gave nitty gritty

guidelines to concern experts for giving security and wellbeing in police lockup and especially to ladies suspects. The female suspects ought to be kept in particular police lockups and not in the same in which male blamed are confined and ought to be protected by female constables. The Court coordinated the I.G. jails and State Board of Legal Aid Advice panel to give legitimate help to poor people and destitute charged male and female whether they are under trials or sentenced detainees 23.

### **Ideal to a Speedy Trial in Malaysia**

Article 5(1) of the Constitution gives:

'No individual might be denied of his life or individual freedom spare as per law. 'Even however the plain perusing of the Constitution does not explicitly accommodate the privilege to an expedient trial or a trial inside a sensible time it does, be that as it may, give upon a man accused of a criminal offense the privilege to a reasonable trial. This privilege to a reasonable trial, in the author's view, incorporates the privilege to a rapid trial. The privilege to be attempted quickly and immediately is an imperative aspect to a reasonable trial. This is on the grounds that deferral is a noteworthy contributing variable for the foreswearing of human rights. Mr DR Karthikeyan, Director General of the Indian National Human Rights Commission states that: 'The greatest contributing element for the disavowal of human rights to a dominant part of individuals is 'delay' - delay in taking choices, delay in imparting choice, delay by those holding any specialist at different levels in government. Most open workers are inhumane to their colleagues natives ...Unless we devise a framework where delay in the basic leadership process is stayed away from, a huge number of individuals will remain casualties of human rights infringement ...We can guarantee better perception and assurance of human rights just by expanding individuals' mindfulness about their rights, and sharpening security powers and open hirelings of their obligations.'

In the neighbourhood setting, the privilege to a quick trial was explicitly managed on account of open prosecutor v choochuanwang. Edgar Joseph Jr J (as he at that point seemed to be) cited a few Indian Supreme Court choices and held that Article 5(1) of the Federal Constitution implies for a denounced individual the privilege to a reasonable hearing inside a sensible time, by an unprejudiced Court set up by law. He at that point cited from the Indian Supreme Court choice of Madheshwardhari Singh and Anor v State of Bihar which-held as takes after:

'That, now by precedential order the essential human ideal to a fast open trial in every single criminal arraignment has been explicitly composed as though with pen and ink in the sacred right identifying with life and freedom ensured under Article 21 of our Constitution. Further, that this privilege is indistinguishable in content with the express protected certification embedded by the Sixth Amendment in the American Constitution. That the American points of reference on the Sixth Amendment of that Constitution would be similarly

pulled in and relevant as convincing on this aspect of Article 21 of our Constitution as well. That once the sacred assurance on a fast trial and the privilege to a reasonable, just and sensible system under Article 21 has been abused, at that point the blamed is qualified for an unrestricted discharge and the charges levelled against him would tumble to the ground.

Another factor which extraordinarily adds to the deferral of the transfer of criminal procedures is the postponement with respect to the police to finish their examinations previously the date of hearing.

Perfect to be endeavoured on affirm not gotten by encroachment of essential rights.

Undeniable in Article 5(1) is proper to a sensible trial, which originates from the guidelines of normal value and administer of law. When one talks about perfect to a sensible trial, one can't slight the pre-trial process or framework, in light of the way that a trial is a delayed consequence of course of action pre-trial shapes; which begins with catch of a suspect and examinations, just to name a few. Corollary to this would be the benefit to ensure that when an impugned individual is brought

#### **4. Conclusion**

Disregarding the different protects in the CRPC and in addition the in the constitution the energy of capture given to the police is being abused till this day. It is the obligation of the police to ensure the privileges of society. It must be remembered that this general public incorporates all individuals, including the captured. The denounced are the captured individual is made mindful of the rounds of his capture educated whether he is qualified for safeguard and obviously created before a judge. Inside twenty four hours of his capture. The examination is separating India and Malaysia more than a few law are be revised in view of the wrongdoing rate. My investigation is demonstrate in light of my work the rights which are accommodated captured and denounced individual are for the most part same couple of rights are changed .Through this near examination the Right to be attempted on confirm not acquired by infringement of principal rights, ideal to quick trail Malaysia Hence I might want to finish up with that, by saying it ought to be freedom, uniformity, and in a nobility route additionally there ought not be infringement of any rights of any individual .

#### **References**

- [1] Art 20(3) of The Constitution of India
- [2] Art 22(1) of The Constitution of India
- [3] Section 47 of the Criminal Procedure Code
- [4] Section 51 of the Criminal Procedure Code

- [5] Section 164 of the Criminal Procedure Code
- [6] Khatri (II) v. State of Bihar (1981)1 SCC 627
- [7] HussainaraKhatoon&Ors. V. Home Secretary, Bihar, Patna, (1980) 1 SCC 98
- [8] State of M.P. v. Shobharam , AIR 1966 SC 1910 : (1966) Cri LJ 1521
- [9] Article 14 of the Constitution of India
- [10] Criminal procedure code Sec 327
- [11] U/s 376 - 376D of Indian Penal Code
- [12] Section 191 of the Criminal Procedure Code 1973
- [13] Section 138 of the Indian Evidence Act
- [14] Section 33 of the Indian Evidence Act, 1872
- [15] HussainaraKhatoon&Ors. V. Home Secretary, Bihar, Patna, (1980) 1 SCC 98
- [16] Section 309 of the Criminal Procedure Code
- [17] Section 167 of the Criminal Procedure code
- [18] Article 22(2) of the Constitution of India
- [19] Section 167 of the Criminal Procedure Code 1973
- [20] Section 50 of the Criminal Procedure Code
- [21] Section 58 of the Criminal Procedure Code
- [22] Section 50A of the Criminal Procedure Code
- [23] D.K Basu vs. State of West Bengal (1997) 1SCC, 416
- [24] State of Punjab v. BaldevSingh , (1999) 6 SCC 172 , at page 206.
- [25] PursottamJathanand v. State of Kutch, AIR 1954 SC 700
- [26] Section 460-466 Chapter XXXV of the Criminal Procedure Code
- [27] Section 358 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1973

## **Book**

- [1] Prof. N.R. MadhavaMenon, Law And Justice: A Look At The Role And Performance Of Indian Judiciary, 2013.
- [2] Chinnappa Reddy, 'The Court And The Constitution", (2008) 18-19.
- [3] MadanLal Sharma, The Role And Function Of Prosecution In Criminal Justice

- [4] S.P. Srivastave, "Criminal Justice Administration in India," Indian Journal of Criminology, Vol.15, 1987(July) P.95
- [5] "Halsbury' Law of India", Butterworths, 2000 Ed. Vol.15
- [6] Role Of Judicial Officers In Criminal Justice Administration, Speech delivered by Hon'bleMr. Justice P.Sathasivam, Judge, Supreme Court of India on 05.01.2013
- [7] S. Govind Swaminadhan, Advocate General , Madras, "Investigation and Prosecution in Criminal Cases", Indian Journal of Criminology, 1977, P No 23
- [8] Basu, N.D., "Code of Criminal Procedure", Volume 1 & 2, 9th Fields' C.D.,
- [9] Gururajah Rao B., "Law of Evidence" Vol.1, 11th Edition, 2001, Ashoka Law House, New Delhi" Ancient Hindu Judicature" Ed.



