

Supply Chain Risk Mitigation Strategies and Its Performance of SMEs

G Hariharan*

*Department of Management, Karpagam Academy of Higher Education
Research Scholar, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore.*

Dr P Suresh**

Professor, Muthayammal Engineering College

S. Nagarajan***

Department of Management, Karpagam Academy of Higher Education

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to examine the association between supply chain risk mitigation strategies and its performance of Small Medium Enterprises (SMEs), in South Indian textile units. The research method was used in explanatory analysis and the primary data was collected by structured questionnaire from 60 respondents of SMEs. Result shows that a positive relationship between mitigation strategies and supply chain performance.

Keywords: Supply Chain Risk Management, SMEs, Textile

1. Introduction

Today's marketplace is operated by turbulent and uncertainty condition. Market turbulence has tended to number of reasons including demand uncertainty, shorten product and technology life cycle, lack of skilled labor, production constraint and lack of innovation. Of late, the subject of supply chain management has been paid a good attention among the researchers and industry experts. Every organization is having a vision that maximizes the customer value and achieves a sustainable competitive advantage. In order to achieve the vision, there are many bottlenecks or risks that can adversely affect the supply chain performance¹. Risks have always been a part of a firm's operation and adapt common strategies to mitigate these risks.

Global perspectives of SMEs act is an important element of economy growth. These SMEs bring numerous advantages to developing country like India in terms of creating jobs; increase the average income of a person, and innovation. Due to volatile customers demand and pressure from the competitors, businesses today have restructured themselves and respond to operate on a global basis to take advantage of global product, technology, factors and capital markets. However, there are several issues in operating globally like political, social, economic and cultural. A firm is operating in a global basis and their supply chain network becomes more complex. There are many risks in supply chain irrespective of sizes of the company. These are

categorized into supply risks, demand risk, internal risks and external risks. These types of risks are common to small and large scale enterprises. Large scale enterprises have faced risks in their supply chain and apply proper strategies in order to mitigate these risks. In connecting with, SMEs don't have a proper risk management practices². They face abundant hidden and unhidden risks in supply chain. The risks are poor quality raw materials, and its price volatility, capacity shortage of suppliers, supplier failure to delivery, machine failures, poor production planning, limited investment, volatile demand, and increase customs duty³. In order to mitigate these risks, SMEs has to be found suitable strategies. The area of SCRM is essential for Indian SMEs to facilitate them sustain their business and mitigate current potential risks and challenges. The author is evidently noticed the supply chain risk management to SMEs as the advanced in innovation and technological up gradation⁴. This advancement is crucial to SMEs for bolstering the country's economy⁵.

2. Research Objectives

1. To study about the profile of Small Medium Enterprises (SMEs) in South Indian Textile units
2. To find the relationship between supply chain risk mitigation strategies and firm's supply chain performance.

3. Research Methodology

This paper aims at studying the association between the supply chain risk mitigation strategy and supply chain performance with respect to Small Medium Enterprises (SMEs) of textile units in south Indian textile units. The population was 6250 textile units in Tiruppur under six different operations. Sample size was 60 taken based on proportionate stratified random sampling. Data was collected a structured questionnaire among SMEs from textile segments. This structure questionnaire was in the form of five points Likert scale.

4. Literature Review

4.1. The concept of Supply Chain Management

Supply chain Management can be defined as managing the entire chain - that is interconnected between product, process and members. It begins from supplier, manufacturer, wholesaler, retailer and end customer. This chain process is not only for the movement of inventory from one origin to another origin, but also movement of money as well as information in both direction. Author stated that Supply chain management is a key strategic factor for

progressing organizational effectiveness and to achieve corporate objectives⁶. There are five major activities in supply chain management, include: (1). Plan; (2). source; (3) Make; (4) Deliver and (5) Return⁷. In each of the activities, the different types of risks exists. It influences the entire supply chain.

4.2. The concept of Supply Chain Risks Management (SCRM)

SCRM or Supply Chain Risk Management is defined as the risk management efforts of entire firm's supply chain coordination and collaboration with among the members in order to ensure certainty of profitability and sustainability of the business. Authors described "*Supply Chain Risk Management is the intersection of supply chain management and risk management. It has a collaborative and structured approach, and is included in the planning and control processes of the supply chain, to handle risks which might affect the achievement of the supply chain goals*"^{8,9}. Risks in Supply Chain and Mitigate risk approach that aim to support the management of demand, supply, products and information¹⁰. The role of supply chain risk management is an integration of supply chain partners; implement the risk management tool in order to cope with risk and uncertainty events in supply chain¹¹. Further, this risk management process aid to mitigate the risks in the supply chain. SCRM is the competency of an organization to recognize the potential risks, assess the risks and to reduce the risks in supply chain¹². Risks in supply chain with the likelihood and negative impact of imbalances of supply and demand¹³. Another study articulated the identification of potential supply chain risks and mitigate with suitable strategies¹⁴. A consistent SCRM practices to increase the risk performance¹⁵. The flow of supply chain risk management can be divided into five categories, which are risk identification, risk assessment and evaluation, selection of risk management strategies, implementation of strategy, and mitigation of supply chain risks¹⁶. However in this study, the researcher will focus on risk mitigation strategies and supply chain performance.

4.3. Risk Mitigation Strategies

There are two types of supply chain risk mitigation strategies which are proactive and reactive^{17, 18}. The proactive as preventive that is before risk occurs take an appropriate action, whereas reactive is defined as the action taken after the event occurred that is after the risky events take a step to recovery from the uncertain situation^{19, 20}. During the supply chain uncertainty events, organizations may use either proactive or reactive or both to handle the situations. Many SCRM studies have addressed mitigation strategies to handle the supply chain risks such as collaboration with key suppliers^{21, 22}, sharing knowledge and resources^{23, 24}, finding universal source of suppliers and faith and integration among the partners^{25, 26}. The

various supply chain risk mitigation strategies practiced by organizations such as robustness' resilient strategy^{27, 28, 29}, lean strategy³⁰, agile strategy^{31,32,33,34} and flexible strategy^{35, 36}. They emphasized that robustness and agile strategies which are used to significantly improve the supply chain performance¹⁹. For taking this point, this paper is critically examine the relationship between strategies and performance of supply chain. In order to test the association between these variable, the author formulated the hypothesis.

H_a: There is a positive association between risk mitigation strategies and firm's supply chain performance.

5. Findings and Analysis

Table 1 Demographic Profile if SMEs

Number of Years Experience			
S. No	No. of Years	Frequency	Percentage
1	1 year-5 years	8	13.3
2	6 years-10 years	16	26.7
3	11 years- 15 years	21	35
4	16 years -20 years	9	15
5	21years - 25 years	4	6.7
6	More than 26 years	2	3.3
Total		60	100
Total Investments			
S. No	Total Investment	Frequency	Percentage
1	6-10 lakhs	4	6.6
2	11-15 lakhs	13	21.7
3	16-20 lakhs	43	71.7
Total		60	100
Number of Employees			
S. No	Number of Employees	Frequency	Percentage
1	1-5	6	10
2	06 to 10	14	23.3
3	11 to 15	14	23.3
4	Above 15	26	43.4
Total		60	100

From the data it may be observed that about 35 % of the SMEs fall in the 11 years to 15 years experiences and 26.7% of the SMEs is having 6 years to 10 years experiences and only 3.3 % of SMEs fall more than 26 years experiences in textile fields. From the data it may be concluded

that about 71.7 % of the SMEs invested more than 16 lakhs and only 6.6 % of the SMEs invested only below 10 lakhs. From the data it may be observed that about 43.4 % of the SMEs are holding more than 15 employees and only 10 % of the SMEs are having below 5 employees.

The simple regression analysis was used to test the hypothesis. The table no: 04 manifested there is a positive association between supply chain risk mitigation strategies and its performance in which the significance value is ($p=.000$) and F value is equal to 32.391. Results show that $R^2=0.329$. It indicates that 32.9 % of the total discrepancy in supply chain risk mitigation strategies contributed to its performance. The coefficient value of t -risk mitigation strategies is 6.236 and the significant value is ($p=.000$). This finding stated that the association between risk mitigation strategies implemented by the textile units of SMEs and their performance of supply chain. According to Table 05 show that the constant value is 0.172, which addresses that there is some other factor that cause to the supply chain performance. Hence, supply chain risk mitigation strategies influenced the firm's supply chain performance with the value of 0.524. The results proposed that risk mitigation strategies have a positive association with supply chain performance.

Table 2: Regression Analysis

Independent Variables/ Dependent Variables	R	R ²	F	Significance
Risks Mitigation Strategies/ Supply Chain Performance	0.5735	0.329	32.391	0.000

Table 3: Risk mitigation strategies t-value

Model	Un-standard Coefficients		Standard Coefficients	t-Value	Significance
	B	Standard Error	Beta		
Constant	0.172	0.078		2.576	0.015
Risk Mitigation Strategies	0.524	0.083	0.405	6.236	0.000

6. Limitation and Future research

The study was limited to textile units in Tirupur district. The sample was restricted. The drawback of this is to find the association between the supply chain risk mitigation strategies and performance of supply chain instead of examine the full process of SCRM. Further, the future research will be carried out by defining the relationship between risk sources, risk assessment and mitigation and performance of supply chain in SMEs.

7. Conclusion

This study was to test the association between the supply chain risk mitigation strategies and supply chain performance. In addition, robustness strategies were used to mitigate the risks in upstream of supply chain and agility strategies were used to mitigate the risks in downstream of supply chain. It is additionally noticed that these strategies have a positive association with supply chain performance.

8. References

1. Giunipero, L. C., & Eltantawy, R. A. (2003). Securing the upstream supply chain: a risk management approach. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 34(9): 698-713.
2. Lavastre, O. Gunasekaran, A. Spalanzani, (2012). Supply chain risk management in French companies. *Decision Support Systems* 52, 828–838
3. Hariharan Ganeshan, Dr P Suresh. (2016). Strategy Development by SMEs' While Practicing Supply Chain With Respect To South Indian Textile Sectors. *International Journal of Management Research & Review*, 6(6): 861-870. ISSN:2249-7196.
4. Klonowski, D. (2012). Liquidity gaps in financing the SME sector in an emerging market: evidence from Poland. *International Journal of Emerging Markets*, 7(3): 335–355.
5. Hariharan Ganeshan (2013). A Case Study on Tamilnadu Government's Welfare Schemes To motivate SMEs manufacturing Wet Grinders in Coimbatore. *Indian Journal of Management*, 6(3).
6. Gunasekaran, A (1997). Performance Measure and Metrics in A Supply Chain Environment. *International Journal Operation and Production Management*, 28, (4):71-81.
7. Huan, S., Sheoran, S dan Wang, G., (2004). A Review and Analysis of Supply Chain Operations Reference (SCOR) Model. *Supply Chain Management: an International Journal*, 9, (1): 23-29
8. Paulsson, U. (2004). Supply Chain Risk Management. In C. Brindley (Ed.), *Supply Chain Risk*, Ashgate, London.
9. Kajuter, P. (2003). Risk Management in Supply Chains . In: Seuring, S Müller, M., Goldbach, M., Schneidewind, U. (eds.) *Strategy and Organization in Supply Chains, Physical, Heidelberg*, pp. 321-336.
10. Vanany, Iwan; Zailani, Suhaiza Dan Pujawan, Nyoman, (2009). Supply Chain Risk Management: Literature Review and Future Research. *International Journal of Information System and Supply Chain Management*, 2(1):16-33
11. Brindley, C. (2004). *Supply Chain Risk*. Hampshire: Ashgate Publishing.
12. Carter, C. R., & Rogers, D. S. (2008). A framework of sustainable supply chain management: moving toward new theory. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 38(5), 360-387.
13. Gaonkar Roshan S and N. Viswanadham (2007), Analytical Framework for the Management of Risk in Supply Chains. *IEEE Transactions on Automation Science And Engineering*, 4(2):265-273.
14. Juttner, U., Peck, H. and Christopher, M., (2003). Supply chain risk management: outlining an agenda for future research. *International Journal of Logistics* 6 (4), 197-210.

15. Kern, D., Moser, R., Hartmann, E., & Moder, M. (2012). Supply risk management: model development and empirical analysis. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 42(1): 60-82.
16. Manuj J. and Mentzer T. (2008a), Global supply chain risk management strategies. *International Journal of Physical Distribution and Logistics Management*, 38(3):199-223.
17. Johnson, A. R., & Nagarur, N. (2012). A discussion on supply chain robustness and resiliency. *Industrial and Systems Engineering Research Conference*.
18. Ghadge, A., Dani, S., & Kalawsky, R. (2012). Supply Chain Risk Management: Present and Future Scope. *The International Journal of Logistics Management* , 23(3).
19. Wieland, A., & Wallenburg, C. M. (2012). Emerald Article: Dealing with supply chain risks: Linking risk management Practices and Strategies to Performance. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 42(10): 1-24.
20. Wieland, A., & Wallenburg, C. M. (2013). The influence of relational competencies on supply chain resilience: a relational view. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 43(4): 300-320
21. Mikkola, J. H., & Skjøtt-Larsen, T. (2006). Platform management: Implication for new product development and supply chain managment. *European Business Review*, 18(3): 214-230
22. Kannan, V. R., & Tan, K. C. (2010). Supply chain integration: cluster analysis of the impact of span of integration. *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, 15(3): 207-215.
23. Eyaa, S., Ntayi, J. M., & Namagembe, S. (2010). Collaborative relationships and SME supply chain performance. *World Journal of Enterprenuership, Management and Sustainable Development*, 6(3): 233-245.
24. Wiengarten, F., Humphreys, P., Cao, G., Fynes, B., & McKittrick, A. (2010). Collaborative supply chain pratices and performance: exploring the key role of information quality. *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, 15(6): 463-43.
25. Christopher, M., Mena, C., Khan, O., & Yurt, O. (2011). Approaches to managing global sourcing risk. *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, 16(2): 67-81.
26. Faizal, M. N., Banwet, D., & Shankar, R. (2006). Mapping supply chains on risk and customer sensitivity dimensions. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 106(6): 878-895
27. Sheffi, Y. (2005). Supply chain strategy: Building a resilient supply chain. *Harvard Business Review*, 1(8): 1-4.
28. Isotupa, K. P. S., Kelly, M., & Kleffner. A. (2004). Building Resilient Supply Chains using Supply Chain and Traditional Risk Management and Insurance Techniques.
29. Carvalho, H., Duarte, S., & Machado, V. C. (2011). Lean, agile, resilient and green: Divergencies and synergies. *International Journal of Lean Six Sigma*, 2(2): 151-179.
30. Masson, R., Iosif, L., MacKerron, G., & Fernie, J. (2007). Managing complexity in agile global fashion industry supply chains. *The International Journal of Logistics Management*, 18(2): 238 - 254.
31. Charles, A., Luras, M., & Wassenhove, L. V. (2010). A model to define and assess the agility of supply chains: Building on humanitarian experience. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 40(8/9): 722-741.
32. Hariharan Ganeshan, Dr P Suresh. (2015). Implementation of Green Supply Chain in Small Scale Industries. *International Journal of Applied Engineering Research*, 10(19): 14903-14915. ISSN 0973-4562.

33. H Ganeshan, P Suresh. (2017). An Empirical Analysis on Supply Chain Problems, Strategy, and Performance with Reference to SMEs. *Prabandhan: Journal of Management*, 10 (11): 19-30.
34. Skipper, J. B., & Hanna, J. B. (2009). Minimizing supply chain disruption risk through enhanced flexibility. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 39(5): 404-427.
35. Sabariah Yaakub and Hamidatun Khusna Mustafa. (2015). Supply Chain Risk Management for the SME's. *Academic Journal of Interdisciplinary Studies*, 4(1):151-158.

