

Review on Dynamic Aircraft Scheduling

¹Ayman Almabruk Mahmud and ²W. Jebersen

¹Computer Science and Information Technology.

Sam Higginbotom University of Agriculture,
Technology and Science.

aaa.mahmud@yahoo.com

²Department of Computer Science and Information Technology.

SHUATS, Uttar Pradesh, Allahabad.

jeberson@rediffmail.com

Abstract

Assigning of aircraft's landings is a salient issue that is rectified constantly as a division of an airport control tower's 'everyday operations. Every plane of the airspace in an airport is to be apportioned to landing spots through the responsibility of an air-traffic controller. The assistance of this specific decision issue with appropriated optimization methodologies has an elongated lasting custom in the operations research. This paper emphasis on aircraft landing issue according to data mining which is nothing but assigning landing times intended for aircraft reaching the airport by consideration. Landing time for every aircraft should stand in a time interval encircling an aimed landing time. When the real landing time diverges from the focused landing time extra costs happen and this relied on the quantity of earliness plus lateness. Hence there stands a requirement to diminish complete cost and having efficiency for aircrafts scheduling issue. This research article proffers an illustrated review of contemporary aircraft scheduling methods.

1. Introduction

With augmenting levels of air traffic, an effective planning with the application of airport operations derives more significant. A pivotal issue in this setting has been the aircraft landing problem (ALP). This targets at assisting air-traffic controllers in apportioning landings of every plane of the airspace in an airport to its runway(s) [1]. Airport arrival capability is subjected to huge modifications, importantly due to weather plus visibility conditions. However, the demand is significantly assumable as it mainly comprises scheduled flights. In rush hours, such demand is near to or even momentarily surpasses the optimum capability [3].

This occurs, particularly at hub airports. The landing aircraft expense, when diverged from its targeted landing time, is recognized to be a substantial amount. Reducing this cost would have significant from airlines and also passengers' observation. This has proffered the maximum aircraft landing schedule like one amongst the most vital operational schedule [2]. The sequencing plus scheduling of landings in an airport is one among the methods for enhancing the aircraft queues' management in a jammed terminal field. It ought to be completed by influencing operating conditions of the yardstick of separation betwixt aircraft, the yardstick of ordering and the runway system configuration. The separation criterion betwixt aircraft is a secured requirement and also it generally relied on the wake turbulence leagues of the leading along with trailing aircraft [4, 5].

The norm of sequencing resolves the adoptability of the aircraft management queue. A "first come, first served" policy is generally utilized. An aircraft with a former evaluated time of arrival in a metering point (for instance, the runway threshold) may initially land. Lastly, the runway system configuration resolves if departures must be reflected in the arrival issue [6-8]. For instance, in runways with combined operations, the departure flights should be appended to the landing queue with suitable separations [9-12]. In peak hours, controllers should handle securely and efficiently landings of a constant flow of aircraft entering the radar range [13] onto the allotted runway(s). Due to environmental, political and also geographical restraints, the capability may not be augmented easily by constructing new airports or else runways [14].

Henceforth there stands a necessity of enhancing efficient decision [15] assist tools which proffer beneficial assistance for controllers. Numerous kinds of planes are available. They land on a runway hence the secured distance betwixt any two aircraft relies upon their kinds. This secured distance betwixt any two aircraft may be converted easily for a secured time through recognizing the needed partition and also their associated speeds. While numerous runways are there for landing, this constraint application is aimed at aircraft landing [16] on various runways generally relies upon the runways' relative positions [17]. Decision making on the acquired landing series is frequently according to FCFS

technique in single- runway situation, [18]. The first aircraft piercing the radar range must and initially land and the second one must land later, etc. Typically, several analyses have been organized on the ALSP in the zone of operation research. Aircrafts are generally scheduled by FCFS technique [19]. While multi-runway [20] situation is considered, FCFS technique is frequently utilized; hence the aircrafts alight on the runway allotted to them and in series that they emerged in the radar area.

2. Overview of FCFS Algorithm

The most typical approach in sequencing aircraft has been sustaining the First-Come-First-Served (FCFS) sequence. In the schedule of FCFS, aircraft land according to their assigned arrival times at the runway. Air traffic controllers enforce the slightest separation requisitions. There are two significant benefits to the FCFS series and also landing times: (i) the FCFS schedule is simple for implementing and it endorses security through diminishing controller workload, (ii) the FCFS order sustains a sense of fairness, as aircraft basically alight in the order where they come at the runway; Here the FCFS sequence similarly decreases the standard deviation of slowdowns of the aircraft.

Anyhow, a disadvantage of the FCFS series of landings is that it can direct to diminished runway throughput because of huge spacing requisitions. Such as, a sequence of 10 alternating huge and undersized aircraft will require bigger spacing (and hence will consume more time for overall landing) than one in which 5 small aircraft are followed by 5 huge aircraft. Air traffic controllers may want to accomplish landing an order of aircraft as speedily as possible, as the constant occurrence of the aircraft in the sky provides to congestion and also controller workload, and then augments the related risks. Minimal runway throughput directs to congestion around an airport and also for consequent slowdowns, adjusting both security and effectiveness.

This proffers an incentive for differing from the FCFS series for attaining series that direct to runway maximum throughput. Anyhow, the terminal field is an absolutely dynamic environment, and also re-sequencing aircraft augments the controllers' workload.⁶ Because of restrained adoptability, it Air traffic controllers cannot execute an optimal series which significantly deviates from the FCFS order [5].

3. Survey Over Various Papers of Cloud Based VANET

Comparison for Various Algorithms Used in Scheduling

Author Name	Methods Used	Advantages	Disadvantages
Xiao-Bing Hu <i>et al.</i> [21]	GA based on a binary representation of reaching queues.	It has greatly effective uniform crossover operator, that is normally not appropriate to those permutation Representations	Those aircraft of the far future seldom impact the position shifting betwixt aircraft in the time coming.
Sahin Emrah Amrahov <i>et al.</i> [22]	The greedy algorithm was suggested for the solution of ALS issue.	The expressed algorithm may be generalized easily for the effective ALS issue too. A Greedy algorithm is simple, permits for attaining a decent solution at the actual time.	It has been presented that the algorithm may not proffer always the superlative solution for an easy example
Ghizlane Bencheikh <i>et al.</i> [23]	A memetic algorithm integrating an ant colony algorithm with a local heuristic.	It comprises the insert or eliminates nodes in the graph in an improved manner without impacting its path.	Require an accurate technique with the ant colony algorithm.
B. S. Girish [24]	Hybrid particle swarm optimization algorithm in a rolling horizon framework	The entire penalty cost by reason of deviation of aircrafts' landing times from the associated target landing times is reduced.	More calculation time.
W. H. Ip <i>et al.</i> [25]	Genetic algorithm accompanied by a hybrid encoding scheme	Attained improved solution than another genetic algorithm With the embedded greedy heuristics	It may not assure that the attained solution has been optimal

Various Dynamic Scheduling Methods

Enhancing Adaptively Using Standard Dynamic Scheduling Middleware

Christopher Gill *et al* [26] made three beneficences for research on QoS-facilitated middleware for unclosed distributed real-time embedded (DRE) systems. Initially, it demonstrates the design plus carrying out of a dynamic scheduling framework concerning the OMG Real-Time CORBA 1.2 specification (RTC1.2) which proffers capacities for (1) promulgating QoS parameters and also a locus of application through end systems by a distributable thread abstraction plus (2) imposing the assigning of multifarious distributable threads dynamically utilizing standard CORBA middleware. Next, it evaluates the outcome of empirical analysis that presents how adaptive dynamic scheduling plus management of distributable threads are imposed effectively in the standard middleware to the unclosed DRE systems. Thirdly, it offers outcomes of case analysis of several adaptive middleware QoS management methods for observing and controlling the timeliness, quality, and also key operations' criticality adaptively in a delegated DRE avionics system.

Dynamic Programming Model for Optimization Problem

Chaug-Ing Hsu *et al.* [27] have enhanced a stochastic dynamic programming model aimed at maximizing airline decisions according to purchasing, leasing, or else aircraft disposing over time. Furthermore, Grey topological models and Markov-chain were engaged to estimate passenger traffic then seize the arbitrariness of the demand. Also, the effects presented which severely demanding fluctuations might urge the airline for leasing instead of purchasing its aircrafts. This would permit greater adaptability in fleet handling and permits to match short-term variations of the demand. This study's result provided a beneficial reference to airlines in their substitution decision-making process through considering the fluctuations of the market demand and also the status of the aircraft.

Alexander Lieder *et al.* [28] have suggested an innovative algorithm which can generate optimum landing schedules on several independent runways to aircraft with affirmative target landing times with restricted time windows. The numerical analysis present that issues with up to 100 aircraft may be optimally resolved within seconds.

Justin Montoya *et al.* [29] have suggested an issue as a multi-objective maximization issue, regarding total aircraft slow down along with runway throughput. Utilizing ethics of multi-objective dynamic programming, an algorithm is enhanced by us for finding a collection of Pareto-optimal solutions which mention completely the non-dominated frontier. Further, for finding such solutions, this paper proffers an evident of the algorithm's accurateness and proffers an evaluation of its performance opposite to a baseline algorithm utilizing the operational data to a model of the Dallas/Fort worth International Airport.

Shaolei Ren *et al.* [30] have presented an innovative framework to dynamic scheduling for energy minimization (DSE) which leverages this mushrooming hardware heterogeneity. Through optimally resolving the processed speeds intended for hardware implementation classifiers, DSE reduces the average energy absorption while fulfilling an average slow down restraint. For assessing the DSE performance, an application of face detection as per the Viola-Jones classifier chain was constructed and then conducts evaluation analysis through heterogeneous processor method emulation. The outcome present that, below the similar slowdown requirement, DSE lessens the average energy absorption up to 50% matching with traditional scheduling which does not make use of hardware heterogeneity. Also, illustrate that DSE is powerful opposite to processing node switching overhead plus model incorrectness.

Dynamic Modeling Framework for Aircraft Recovery

August G. Roesener *et al.* [31] have suggested a tabu search algorithm meant for rectifying the powerful airlift loading issue. When a sequence of palletized cargo items are given, which need transport from an aerial haven of

embarkation to an aerial port of debarkation amidst a pre-determined time frame, the powerful airlift loading issue searches for partitioning the pallets into the aircraft loads, chose an effective and efficacy subset of aircraft from possible aircraft, and allot the pallets for permissible positions on such aircraft. The powerful airlift loading issue diverges from several segregating and packing issues illustrated in the literature since, besides the spatial restraints, factors of permissible cabin load, temporal restrictions, balance restrictions, on cargo plus aircraft are integrated. The enhanced algorithm in this given research, the powerful airlift loading issue-tabu search, was examined on a multiplicity of issue examples. As real-world solutions are hand created by subject matter proficient and no earlier research attempt has rectified this specific issue, the algorithmic outcomes are matched with calculating lower bounds on the total sum of aircraft trips needed.

Hans-Wieger M. Vos *et al.* [32] offered a new dynamic modeling framework designed aimed at the aircraft schedule recovery problem (ASRP). The ASRP is denoted as the disputes of amending the flight in addition to aircraft schedules for compensating the unequal operations' presence which proffer the outcome in the temporary or else permanent absence of aircraft. Former tasks on this topic frequently utilize static disruption test scenarios, replicating a sequence of distorted events in a single time analysis. Here, the presented modeling framework, termed Disruption Set Solver (DSS), is new since it handles aircraft schedule disruptions in an efficient method (i.e., the recovery issue is rectified as disruptions occur, concerning the solutions of innovative distortion but also recognizing decision the present solution).

Since it is the first time which parallel time-space networks are utilized for tracking specific aircraft in the fleet. The framework relies upon the integrated utilization of effective aircraft selection algorithm plus a linear-programming model based on parallel aircraft particular time-space networks. The intention of the maximization model utilized for resolving the ASRP is to reduce costs, comprising operational, passengers slow down and cancellation expensiveness. The decision variables occupy the annulment of flights, the slowdown of flights together with the interchange of aircraft betwixt flights. The endorsement of the framework is accomplished by utilizing a collection of actual disruptive days in the significant African airline operation. The outcome proffers two culminations: (1) that the conventional static approach may direct to undependable solutions, not concerning realistic challenge and also belittling the disruption expensiveness; and (2) that the suggested dynamic DSS framework may rectify actual aircraft schedule disruption issues amidst a time-window appropriate for actual-time operations.

Comparison of Different Techniques that Concentrate on the Aircraft landing Issue

Author Name	Methods Used	Advantages	Disadvantages
Amir Salehipour <i>et al.</i> [2]	First, a combined integer aim programming model is enhanced	The algorithm is greatly competitive and can derive very high-quality elucidations for instances till 500 aircrafts in a minimal time.	The neighborhood structures must be very speed since because of the size of the huge-scale issues, calculation time are a salient factor.
Hai-Jun Rong <i>et al.</i> [6]	Dynamic fuzzy system termed sequential adaptive fuzzy inference system (SAFIS) and it escalate a prevailing conventional controller termed Baseline Trajectory Following Controller (BTFC).	It can bear (i.e., land association the touchdown specifications with) huge stuck up deflections in the actuators for both single and also double failures where the typical controller cannot do land meeting the terms for several single failure cases itself.	Time Intricacy.
AlainFaye [38]	The approach of estimation of the parting time matrix and on time discretization.	Time discretization proffers easiness in modeling. Different objective functions, runway dependent restraints can be modeled effortlessly by this method.	The effectiveness of the powerful restraint generation algorithm must be enhanced.
Jih-Gau Juang <i>et al.</i> [39]	Genetic algorithm (GA), particle swarm optimization (PSO) plus chaotic particle swarm optimization (CPSO) are used for regulating the parameters of the sliding mode control	SMC controller holds improved performance than typical PID controller. Guaranteed easy calculation and local optimum.	Tracking performance should be enhanced.
Alexander Lieder <i>et al.</i> [40]	Rolling planning horizon heuristic for huge instances which returns close-to-optimal outcomes	High computational performance of both methodologies in a Numerical analysis. The RPH heuristic returns near-to-optimal outcomes in very short calculation times.	The performance yet to be enhanced.
Fubin Qian <i>et al.</i> [41]	Utilized the technique of split scenario which utilized two algorithms for transforming an infeasible minimal risk schedule within a feasible split schedule.	Transformation accomplished by one among the algorithms augments the risk less than twice, matched with the global, not reachable, lower bound.	Required for enhancing approximation algorithms plus meta-heuristics intended for managing enhanced safe helicopter transportation issues.
Satish Vadlamani <i>et al.</i> [42]	Utilized an innovative decomposition related heuristic by rectifying two sub-issues for the ALP having a single runway.	This algorithm rectified up to 150 aircraft instances of the problem optimally With the rational amount of calculation time.	New destroy plus restore Operators must be appended for improved performance.
YuWu <i>et al.</i> [43]	Uses a "least fuel first service" (LFFS) principle associated technique; the ant colony optimization algorithm (ACO) based technique, the static sequencing algorithm of ant colony (SSAAC) accompanied by the DSAAC.	The DSAAC holds the probability of effecting a higher level of secured and effectiveness than LFFS and also SSAAC while creating directions for a landing mission.	The landing sequence amends cause STA modifications of aircraft while ending for the opposite direction does not prevail
Sheng-Peng Yu <i>et al.</i> [44]	The method of cellular automata optimization (CAO) system to the ALS issues is offered.	CAO handled to obtained good solutions in extremely short time periods, typically in 4 s.	CAO may not manage the Multi-runway ALS issue.
Xiaopeng Ji <i>et al.</i> [45]	An online method which is centered on evaluation of distribution algorithm (EDA) is introduced in this paper	The technique can always receive an optimal solution that is not inferior then the static case much more effective and much nearer to the reality than static algorithms	Time complexity must be improved.

Different Scheduling Techniques for Augmenting Maximize Runway Throughput

Catarina Avelino *et al.* [33] have presented the issues of scheduling the randomly reaching of aircraft features (with or else with no pre-assigned customer needed dates) and of optimally providing them amidst the technicians in the renovate groups. They recommended a formula to prioritize the list of repairs, mentioning the significance of choosing good elevators for the inter-arrival times betwixt repair appeals, the turn-around-times plus the man hours for repairing. Moreover, the assignment model and scheduling issue were planned and a preliminary algorithm with a numerical explanation was proffered.

Bryant d. Elrod [34] has enhanced an easy technique that utilizes range ordering of aircraft regarding transmitter satellites, yet is autonomous of receiver satellite locations together with aircraft distribution. Construction of Bounds on roll-call duration is performed, presenting that cross-examination of 80 000 aircraft requirements betwixt 4.0 and 6.4 seconds having the ASTRO-DABS transmission format. When aircraft distribution stands concentrated regionally (e.g., clustered), the roll-call time goes near to the lower bound, as fewer gaps betwixt interrogations are required to preclude garbling.

Xiao-Bing Hu *et al.* [35] have suggested the idea against receding horizon control (RHC) for the issue of arrival scheduling plus ordering in a powerful environment. The effective advantageous of RHC might proffer regarding airborne slow down and calculation issue was examined through Monte Carlo simulations. It is noticed that while attaining same performance like prevailing techniques, the innovative arrival scheduling and also sequencing plan pivotally diminishes the computational burden and proffers potential for enhancing new maximization algorithms for additional reducing airborne slow down.

Sina Khanmohammadi *et al.* [36] have suggested an innovative common systems issue rectifying framework combining computational intelligence methods (GSPS-CI) was announced. The two salient functions of the framework were: (1) adaptive network related fuzzy inference system (ANFIS) for predicting flight cunctation, and (2) fuzzy decision making procedure for assigning aircraft landings. The efficacy of the GSPS-CI framework is examined on the JFK airport in the USA, which is one amongst the utmost intricacy real-life systems.

Reza Tavakkoli-Moghaddam *et al.* [37] have evaluated the techniques of landing aircraft with the most minimal enduring time in the time windows under crucial situations, like the nearest time of landing to the focused times for every aircraft or else the least duration of landing the planes. Hence, they confront with two conflicting objectives, called reducing the complete cost of the deviation from the focused times and diminishing the accomplishment time of the landing series. For rectifying this issue, they utilized a fuzzy programming

method and also an estimator to land the series of planes. The outcomes were matched with real landings.

M.J. Soomer *et al.* [3] have recognized the strategic single runway arrival issue. The recent target on collaborated decision making was considered by proffering airlines the feasibility to proffer cost functions associated with arrival conctation aimed at their flights. For such cost, a scaling technique was announced to assure equity. Their formulation will allot landing duration for the flights, while in consideration of the expenses. A problem-specific local search heuristic was executed to derive rational solutions amidst agreeable calculation times. A huge number of examples created utilizing schedule data from the main European hub have been analyzed. Such experiments present huge cost savings to the airlines matching with recent practice. All airlines attain enhancements, which was significant for approving the technique. The heuristic can rectify examples with over 100 flights in some minutes. A simulation experiment presents that the technique can likewise be utilized in a powerful setting and also produces effective schedules.

Mayara Conde Rocha Murca *et al.* [4] have suggested an optimization method to dynamically schedule aircraft operations and also assisting air traffic controllers in both resolving and executing operationally possible landing and also departure duration at an airport. The suggested combined integer linear programming model integrates air traffic control infrastructure regarding route network, announces the conception of alternative method routes and is planned for creating an output which is modified into efficient advisories to implementable flight commands. It presents logical computational times to attain the ideal solution and also slow down deductions of up to 35% with realistic size instances from the International Airport of Sao Paulo/Guarulhos.

Hamsa Balakrishnm *et al.* [5] have recognized the issue of scheduling landings of aircraft in a CPS environment for optimizing runway output (reduce the accomplishment time of the landing series), liable to operational restraints like FAA-specified minimum inter-arrival spacing restrictions, precedence relationships amidst aircraft which ascend either from airline preferences or else air traffic control measures that restrict overtaking, and also time windows (signifying feasible control actions) amid which every aircraft landing can occur. Suggested a Dynamic Programming-related method that scales linearly in the aircraft number, and illustrates the calculation experience with a prototype execution on actuality data for Denver International Airport

4. Conclusion

This paper analyzed the literature on aircraft scheduling issue and the different techniques handled by the researches to rectify it. And have recognized various aspects from which to categorize the prevailing literature. Each category selection is exemplified by the excerpt of key references, tables where all the references are mentioned. The salient contribution of this specific review is

enabling the unearthing of published work in appropriate fields of interest, and recognizing trends and also indicating fields for upcoming research in the aircraft landing issues. By assessing the literature, devised a few recommendations aimed at future research. Yet the scheduling issues plus automatic reduction of the cost is the challenges confronted by the researches in this zone. And have elucidated few technologies associating with the aircraft scheduling issue that proffers motivation to the researches for innovative solutions for the prevailing issues.

References

- [1] Boysen Nils, Malte Fliedner, Scheduling aircraft landings to balance workload of ground staff, *Computers & Industrial Engineering* 60(2) (2011), 206-217.
- [2] Salehipour Amir, Mohammad Modarres, Leila Moslemi Naeni, An efficient hybrid meta-heuristic for aircraft landing problem, *Computers & Operations Research* 40(1) (2013), 207-213.
- [3] Soomer M.J., Geert Jan Franx, Scheduling aircraft landings using airlines' preferences, *European Journal of Operational Research* 190(1) (2008), 277-291.
- [4] Murça Mayara Condé Rocha, Carlos Müller, Control-based optimization approach for aircraft scheduling in a terminal area with alternative arrival routes, *Transportation research part E: logistics and transportation review* 73 (2015), 96-113.
- [5] Tang Jiangjun, Hussein A. Abbass, Behavioral learning of aircraft landing sequencing using a society of probabilistic finite state machines, *IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation (CEC)* (2014), 610-617.
- [6] Rong Hai-Jun, N. Sundararajan, P. Saratchandran, and Guang-Bin Huang, Adaptive fuzzy fault-tolerant controller for aircraft autoland under failures, *IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems* 43(4) (2007).
- [7] Bencheikh Ghizlane, Jaouad Boukachour, Ahmed El Hilali Alaoui, A memetic algorithm to solve the dynamic multiple runway aircraft landing problem, *Journal of King Saud University-Computer and Information Sciences* 28(1) (2016), 98-109.
- [8] Bai Chongyang, Xuejun Zhang, Aircraft landing scheduling in the small aircraft transportation system, *International Conference on Computational and Information Sciences* (2011), 1019-1022.
- [9] Peng Yang, Gao Wei, Sun Jun-Qing, Capacity analysis for parallel runway through agent-based simulation, *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* (2013).

- [10] Hu Xiao-Bing, Ezequiel Di Paolo, Binary-representation-based genetic algorithm for aircraft arrival sequencing and scheduling, *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems* 9(2) (2008), 301-310.
- [11] Mokhtarimousavi S., Rahami H., Kaveh A., Multi-Objective Mathematical Modeling of Aircraft Landing Problem on a Runway in Static Mode, Scheduling and Sequence Determination Using NSGA-II 5(1) (2015), 21-36
- [12] Mesgarpour Mohammad, Chris N. Potts, Julia A. Bennell, Models for aircraft landing optimization, *Proceedings of the 4th international conference on research in air transportation* (2010).
- [13] Diallo Coumba, Babacar Mbaye Ndiaye, Diaraf Seck, Scheduling aircraft landings at LSS airport, *American Journal of Operations Research* 2 (2012), 235-241.
- [14] Niemeier Hans-Martin, Expanding airport capacity under constraints in large urban areas: The German experience, *International Transport Forum Discussion Paper* (2013).
- [15] Bromberg Emily, Matthew Elliott, Shervin Ahmad Beygi, Ved Sud, Decision support tools for capability-aware Traffic Flow Management, *Integrated Communications, Navigation and Surveillance Conference* (2014).
- [16] Mahmoudian M., Aminnayeri M., Mirzadeh Ph A., Aircraft landing scheduling based on unavailability of runway constraint through a time segment heuristic method, *International Journal of Conceptions on Management and Social Sciences* 1(2) (2013).
- [17] Awasthi Abhishek, Oliver Kramer, Jorg Lassig, Aircraft landing problem: An efficient algorithm for a given landing sequence, *IEEE 16th International Conference on Computational Science and Engineering* (2013), 20-27.
- [18] Ma Weimin, Bo Xu, Ming Liu, Hui Huang, An efficient approximation algorithm for aircraft arrival sequencing and scheduling problem, *Mathematical Problems in Engineering* (2014).
- [19] Seyedmirsajad Mokhtarimousavi, Hossein Rahami , Mahmoud Saffarzadeh, Saeed Piri, Determination of the Aircraft Landing Sequence by Two Meta-Heuristic Algorithms, *International Journal of Transportation Engineering* 1(4) (2014).
- [20] Bojanowski Luc, Dimitri Harikiopoulo, Natasha Neogi, Multi-runway aircraft sequencing at congested airport, *American Control Conference* (2011), 2752-2758.

- [21] Hu Xiao-Bing, Ezequiel Di Paolo, Binary-representation-based genetic algorithm for aircraft arrival sequencing and scheduling, *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems* 9(2) (2008), 301-310.
- [22] Amrahov Sahin Emrah, Turkan Ali Ibrahim Alsalihe, Greedy algorithm for the scheduling aircrafts landings, *5th International Conference on Application of Information and Communication Technologies* (2011), 1-3.
- [23] Bencheikh Ghizlane, Jaouad Boukachour, Ahmed El Hilali Alaoui, A memetic algorithm to solve the dynamic multiple runway aircraft landing problem, *Journal of King Saud University-Computer and Information Sciences* 28(1) (2016), 98-109.
- [24] Girish B.S., An efficient hybrid particle swarm optimization algorithm in a rolling horizon framework for the aircraft landing problem, *Applied Soft Computing* 44 (2016), 200-221.
- [25] Ip W.H., Dingwei Wang, Vincent Cho, Aircraft ground service scheduling problems and their genetic algorithm with hybrid assignment and sequence encoding scheme, *IEEE Systems Journal* 7(4) (2013), 649-657.
- [26] Gill Christopher, Louis Mgeta, Yuanfang Zhang, Stephen Torri, Yamuna Krishnamurthy, Irfan Pyarali, Douglas C. Schmidt, Enhancing adaptivity via standard dynamic scheduling middleware, *Journal of the Brazilian Computer Society* 10(1) (2004), 19-30.
- [27] Hsu Chaug-Ing, Hui-Chieh Li, Su-Miao Liu, Ching-Cheng Chao. Aircraft replacement scheduling: A dynamic programming approach, *Transportation research part E: logistics and transportation review* 47(1) (2011), 41-60.
- [28] Lieder Alexander, Dirk Briskorn, Raik Stolletz, A dynamic programming approach for the aircraft landing problem with aircraft classes, *European Journal of Operational Research* 243(1) (2015), 61-69.
- [29] Montoya Justin, Sivakumar Rathinam, Zachary Wood, Multiobjective departure runway scheduling using dynamic programming, *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems* 15(1) (2014), 399-413.
- [30] Ren Shaolei, Nikos Deligiannis, Yiannis Andreopoulos, Mohammad A. Islam, Mihaela Van Der Schaar, Dynamic scheduling for energy minimization in delay-sensitive stream mining, *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing* 62(20) (2014), 5439-5448.

- [31] Roesener August G., Wesley Barnes J., An advanced tabu search approach to the dynamic airlift loading problem, *Logistics Research* 9(1) (2016), 1-18.
- [32] Vos Hans-Wieger M., Bruno F. Santos, Thomas Omondi, Aircraft schedule recovery problem—a dynamic modeling framework for daily operations, *Transportation Research Procedia* 10 (2015), 931-940.
- [33] Avelino Catarina, David Bourne, Fátima Ferreira, Deolinda Rasteiro, Jorge Santos, Scheduling the repair of aircraft components-a case study, *Mathematics-in-Industry Case Studies* 7(1) (2016).
- [34] Elrod Bryant D., Aircraft interrogation scheduling with ASTRO-DABS, *IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems* 5 (1974), 595-604.
- [35] Hu Xiao-Bing, Wen-Hua Chen, Receding horizon control for aircraft arrival sequencing and scheduling, *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems* 6(2) (2005), 189-197.
- [36] Khanmohammadi Sina, Chun-An Chou, Harold W. Lewis, Doug Elias, A systems approach for scheduling aircraft landings in JFK airport, *IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems* (2014), 1578-1585.
- [37] Tavakkoli-Moghaddam Reza, Mojtaba Yaghoubi-Panah, Farzad Radmehr, Scheduling the sequence of aircraft landings for a single runway using a fuzzy programming approach, *Journal of Air Transport Management* 25 (2012), 15-18.
- [38] Faye Alain, Solving the aircraft landing problem with time discretization approach, *European Journal of Operational Research* 242(3) (2015), 1028-1038.
- [39] Juang Jih-Gau, Shuai-Ting Yu, Disturbance encountered landing system design based on sliding mode control with evolutionary computation and cerebellar model articulation controller, *Applied Mathematical Modelling* 39(19) (2015), 5862-5881.
- [40] Lieder Alexaander, Raik Stolletz, Scheduling aircraft take-offs and landings on interdependent and heterogeneous runways, *Transportation research part E: logistics and transportation review* 88 (2016), 167-188.
- [41] Qian Fubin, Vitaly Strusevich, Irina Gribkovskaia, Øyvind Halskau, Minimization of passenger takeoff and landing risk in offshore helicopter transportation: Models, approaches and analysis, *Omega* 51 (2015), 93-106.

- [42] Vadlamani Satish, Seyedmohsen Hosseini, A novel heuristic approach for solving aircraft landing problem with single runway, *Journal of Air Transport Management* 40 (2014), 144-148.
- [43] Wu Yu, Liguo Sun, Xiangju Qu, A sequencing model for a team of aircraft landing on the carrier, *Aerospace Science and Technology* 54 (2016), 72-87.
- [44] Yu Sheng-Peng, Xian-Bin Cao, Jun Zhang, A real-time schedule method for Aircraft Landing Scheduling problem based on Cellular Automation, *Applied Soft Computing* 11(4) (2011), 3485-3493.
- [45] Ji Xiaopeng, Jing Fang, Ran Yan, An online method for the real-time aircraft arrival sequencing and scheduling problem, 11th World Congress on Intelligent Control and Automation (2014), 1067-1070.

